

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	5003	Zhang, Yu	12	75.0%	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.30	4.89	4.33	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.57
	6003	Tuo, Ling	26	57.7%	4.80	4.73	4.73	4.87	4.47	4.67	4.71	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.80	4.80	4.87	4.84
	2023	Tuo, Ling	22	31.8%	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.57	3.86	3.86	3.83	4.29	3.29	4.29	3.71	3.57	3.29	3.74
ARC	1012	Schwartz, Martin	34	55.9%	4.42	4.53	4.37	4.53	4.00	4.32	4.36	4.58	4.42	4.53	4.37	4.58	4.53	4.50
	1213	Crandell, Breck	13	69.2%	3.67	3.89	4.33	3.89	4.00	4.11	3.98	4.33	3.56	4.44	4.00	4.56	4.11	4.17
	1223	Crutcher, Kenneth	16	50.0%	2.71	2.86	2.71	3.00	2.29	2.43	2.67	3.14	2.71	2.17	2.71	2.86	2.29	2.68
	1223	Haam, Songho	29	51.7%	4.87	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.73	4.80	4.77	4.73	4.73	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.76
	1223	Maricak, Gretchen	16	43.8%	2.80	3.80	3.20	2.50	2.40	2.60	2.90	3.20	2.60	2.80	3.00	2.80	2.60	2.83
	1223	Williams, Thomas	16	62.5%	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.45	4.70	4.10	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.43
	2116	Lancaster, Mary	8	37.5%	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.89	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.39
	2116	Osler, Peter	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.28	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.50
	2313	O'Geen, Charles	17	58.8%	3.80	3.50	3.70	3.40	3.50	3.60	3.58	4.40	4.10	4.10	3.60	4.30	4.10	4.10
	2323	Michalowski, Marie	12	41.7%	3.60	3.80	4.20	4.00	4.00	3.40	3.83	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80
	2323	Tiseo, Benedetto	6	66.7%	2.50	3.25	3.00	2.00	2.67	2.67	2.75	3.50	2.50	3.25	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.13
	2323	Ward, Eric	12	66.7%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
	2513	Bukaita, Wisam	16	93.8%	4.27	4.13	4.33	4.07	3.73	3.80	4.06	4.73	4.07	4.93	4.47	4.73	4.47	4.57
	2513	Faoro, Daniel	14	57.1%	4.13	3.88	4.13	3.63	3.75	4.14	3.94	4.75	3.63	4.38	3.75	3.50	4.25	4.04
	2813	AbuSeir, Zain	10	60.0%	4.00	3.67	3.83	3.67	4.17	3.67	3.83	3.83	3.17	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.17	3.36
	2843	Grant, Janice	12	41.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.97	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
	2843	Hall, Alan	11	100.0%	4.18	4.09	4.36	4.09	4.00	4.27	4.17	4.45	4.27	4.20	4.09	4.36	4.36	4.28
	3116	Nishikawa, Sara	12	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.83
	3116	O'Geen, Charles	12	41.7%	3.80	4.20	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.80	3.93	4.20	3.60	3.40	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.77
	3126	Adhya, Anirban	10	60.0%	3.50	4.17	3.83	3.50	3.50	3.33	3.64	4.33	4.17	3.67	3.67	4.17	3.83	3.97
	3126	Bejo, Irsida	10	50.0%	3.40	3.20	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.20	3.33	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.40	3.43
	3126	Hoekstra, Ross	11	81.8%	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.11	4.31	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.57
	3126	Kelly, Erin	10	60.0%	3.83	4.00	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.67	3.86	4.17	4.00	4.17	3.83	4.17	3.83	4.03
	3126	Plowright, Philip	11	72.7%	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.42	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.58
	3126	Wong, Margaret	10	50.0%	3.60	3.40	3.40	3.00	3.60	3.60	3.43	4.00	3.60	3.80	3.20	3.80	3.60	3.67
	3423	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	15	40.0%	4.33	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.19	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
	3423	Walters, Filza	10	90.0%	3.63	3.50	3.50	3.13	3.38	3.25	3.40	4.38	3.63	3.75	3.63	4.50	3.88	3.96
	3513	Habba, Faris	13	100.0%	4.46	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.25	4.46	4.37	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.38	4.69	4.69	4.63
	3513	Shih, Kelchen	6	100.0%	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3613	Hennebury, Deirdre	24	54.2%	4.46	4.62	4.46	4.54	4.31	4.08	4.41	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.54	4.77	4.54	4.67
	3623	Gyure, Dale	29	69.0%	4.35	4.30	4.30	4.25	4.10	4.15	4.24	4.75	4.55	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.50	4.53
	3823	Chidester, Jacob	17	58.8%	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.87	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.87
	3823	Oltrogge, Brian	18	61.1%	3.82	4.00	3.82	3.91	3.82	3.82	3.86	4.27	3.82	3.82	4.00	4.18	4.09	4.03
	3823	Vliet, Kasey	16	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.31
	4114	Nashlen, Thomas	4	50.0%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.17
	4116	Bodurow, Constance	6	33.3%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.58	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.83
	4116	Brown, Tiffany	14	35.7%	4.00	4.20	4.40	3.60	3.60	3.80	3.93	4.20	3.60	4.00	3.25	4.00	3.60	3.77
	4116	Styczynski, Michael	8	50.0%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.75
	4126	Avdoulos, John	16	62.5%	3.70	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.40	3.60	3.65	3.70	3.10	3.60	3.30	3.20	3.20	3.35

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	4126	Nashlen, Thomas	13	61.5%	3.63	3.75	3.88	3.75	3.50	3.38	3.65	4.13	3.88	3.88	3.50	4.13	3.75	3.88
	4126	Reeves, Randall	13	61.5%	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.44	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.52
	4183	Gyure, Dale	26	57.7%	4.13	4.00	3.87	3.73	3.93	3.93	3.93	4.67	4.27	4.07	3.93	4.33	4.27	4.26
	4443	Jovanovic, Julia	40	52.5%	4.40	4.40	4.45	4.45	4.35	4.45	4.42	4.65	4.50	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.55	4.54
	4443	Oranchak, Joseph	40	52.5%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.56	3.56	3.39	3.50	4.37	3.79	4.00	3.84	3.84	3.53	3.88
	4543	Faoro, Daniel	11	72.7%	3.63	3.63	3.63	3.50	3.38	3.38	3.52	4.38	3.63	4.00	4.13	3.88	3.88	3.98
	4543	Makkawy, Abdel	14	50.0%	3.14	3.57	3.71	3.14	3.43	2.83	3.33	3.43	2.43	2.86	2.71	3.86	2.71	3.00
	4543	Sitaram, Pattabhi	2	100.0%	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.50	2.58	3.50	2.50	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.42
	4813	Altschul, Dustin	5	40.0%	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.08	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.50	3.58
	4843	Altschul, Dustin	3	100.0%	3.33	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
	5013	Bejo, Irsida	11	54.5%	3.80	3.20	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.67	4.60	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.33
	5013	Hoekstra, Ross	7	57.1%	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	3.50	4.08	4.50	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.46
	5423	Bodurow, Constance	11	36.4%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.54
	5423	Schmehl, Shannon	9	66.7%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.53	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.40	4.83	4.67	4.62
	5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	12	66.7%	4.13	3.50	4.13	3.88	4.25	3.88	3.96	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.00
	5643	Plowright, Philip	9	100.0%	4.78	4.89	5.00	4.78	5.00	5.00	4.91	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.91
	5913	Bohde, Matthew	9	33.3%	3.00	2.00	3.33	4.00	3.33	2.33	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.33	2.33	2.00	2.00	2.22
	5913	Harmala, John	22	72.7%	4.25	4.25	4.19	4.06	4.38	4.25	4.23	4.50	4.44	4.06	4.19	4.38	4.13	4.28
	5942	Herzog, Ronald	10	70.0%	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.48	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.74
	5952	Harmala, John	10	50.0%	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.40	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.67
	6003	Coy, Steven	4	50.0%	2.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	3.17	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.00	4.50	3.50	3.50
	6884	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	10	80.0%	3.17	3.33	3.67	3.00	3.20	3.40	3.29	3.25	3.00	3.75	3.25	3.75	3.25	3.38
	6884	Bebawy, Mena	10	80.0%	3.83	3.83	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.83	3.72	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.63
	6884	Nelson, Ralph	10	80.0%	4.50	4.71	4.63	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.59	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.25	4.63	4.63	4.56
	6883	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	21	76.2%	2.44	2.88	2.63	2.13	2.25	2.19	2.42	2.56	2.44	2.63	2.44	2.81	2.31	2.53
	6883	Nowicki, Michael	12	83.3%	5.00	4.90	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.95	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.98
	2126	Blendowski, Aaron	13	30.8%	4.25	4.00	4.50	3.75	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.50	4.17
	2126	Dechard, Elise	13	15.4%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42
	2126	Haam, Songho	13	53.8%	4.14	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.57	4.39	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.29	4.86	4.43	4.45
	2126	Jones, Aaron	13	15.4%	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92
	2126	Orlowski, Edward	13	23.1%	4.33	3.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.61
	2126	Vliet, Kasey	13	53.8%	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.43	3.86	4.43	4.38	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.60
	5824	Abela, John	6	66.7%	4.25	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.50	4.75	4.33	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.54
	5824	Blendowski, Aaron	13	38.5%	4.00	4.20	3.80	3.60	4.20	4.00	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.60	4.00	4.00	3.93
	5824	Crandell, Breck	11	72.7%	4.25	3.88	4.00	3.25	4.38	4.50	4.04	4.13	3.88	4.13	3.63	4.25	4.13	4.02
	5824	Daubmann, Karl	6	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.83	3.83	4.67	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.00	4.83	4.83	4.69
	5824	Farlow, Mark	7	100.0%	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5824	Feehan, Benje	6	83.3%	3.40	4.25	4.00	2.60	3.20	3.80	3.47	4.40	3.20	3.80	3.00	4.40	3.80	3.77
	5824	Jones, Aaron	13	38.5%	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.40	4.00	4.00	3.87	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.80
	5824	Nelson, Ralph	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
	5824	Shall, Scott	11	72.7%	4.38	4.13	3.88	3.38	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.00	4.88	4.75	4.63
	5824	Styczynski, Michael	11	72.7%	4.25	4.00	3.88	3.25	4.50	4.38	4.04	4.63	4.38	4.38	3.88	4.75	4.63	4.44
	6833	Abela, John	8	62.5%	5.00	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.57	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.77

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	4524	Palazzolo, Joseph	11	36.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.96	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
	4526	Gaffka, Douglas	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.28	4.33	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.00
	4526	Hanzel, Andrew	8	37.5%	1.67	2.00	2.33	1.67	1.67	1.33	1.74	2.33	1.67	2.67	1.67	3.00	1.33	2.11
	2826	Cooper, Stuart	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.88
	2826	Cowles, Paul	6	66.7%	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63
	2826	Crowley, Joseph	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2826	Dawson, Andrew	6	66.7%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
	2826	English, Derek	6	66.7%	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2826	Lanyon, Jacob	6	66.7%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	1924	Dawson, Andrew	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.92	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.33	3.75	4.25	4.21
	1924	English, Derek	10	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83
	1924	Kangas, Daniel	10	50.0%	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.83
	1924	Lanyon, Jacob	10	30.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1924	Nagara, Keith	10	20.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	1924	Riccobelli, Adam	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.50	4.33
	3726	Eash, Matthew	10	40.0%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.88	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.92
	3726	Ghobrial, Rimon	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.96
	3726	Gould, Aaron	10	40.0%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.88
	3626	Hanzel, Andrew	6	83.3%	1.40	1.40	1.60	1.40	1.80	1.20	1.47	1.80	1.40	1.80	1.20	1.40	1.40	1.50
	3626	Huacuja, Roberto	7	71.4%	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.73	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.87
	3626	Preuss, Kevin	7	42.9%	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.72
	3626	Sims, Russell	6	50.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3626	Zhang, Jing	6	50.0%	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.78
	3626	Zingalie, Anthony	7	28.6%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.58
	2933	Ratliff, Nathaniel	8	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
	2933	Vandenbrink, Sean	8	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96
	2823	Rider, Joseph	6	66.7%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
	2823	Robie, Kyle	6	66.7%	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BIO	1153	Fawaz, Remie	9	77.8%	3.43	3.43	3.57	3.14	3.43	3.33	3.38	3.86	2.57	3.29	3.29	3.00	3.00	3.17
	1213	Siddiq, Fauzia	20	75.0%	3.87	3.93	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.90	4.07	3.73	3.93	4.00	3.67	3.80	3.87
	1221	Siddiq, Fauzia	11	72.7%	4.38	4.00	3.88	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.04	4.63	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.13	4.13	4.27
	1223	Siddiq, Fauzia	21	81.0%	4.44	4.41	4.24	4.41	4.35	4.29	4.36	4.41	4.18	4.41	4.35	4.35	4.24	4.32
	3203	Morrisette, Jeffery	22	59.1%	4.38	4.31	4.54	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.54	4.77	4.69	4.67	4.69	4.54	4.62	4.67
	4813	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	5	100.0%	4.00	4.20	4.00	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.07	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.60	4.20	4.20
	3201	Massey, Katherine	16	62.5%	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.90	4.10	4.03	4.10	3.70	3.70	4.00	3.90	3.80	3.87
	3201	Morrisette, Jeffery	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1231	Siddiq, Fauzia	20	85.0%	4.24	4.29	4.41	4.35	3.94	4.06	4.22	4.41	4.00	4.41	4.29	4.47	4.18	4.29
	4812	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	5	100.0%	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.00	3.97	4.40	3.80	4.20	3.80	4.40	4.20	4.13
BME	3002	Meyer, Eric	9	77.8%	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.33	4.43	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.14	4.24
	3103	Nasir, Mansoor	23	39.1%	4.00	3.89	3.78	3.89	3.89	3.78	3.87	4.44	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.33	4.00	4.20
	3213	Li, Yawen	17	58.8%	4.70	4.50	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.70	4.52	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.50	4.70	4.80	4.68

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
BME	4022	Nasir, Mansoor	18	44.4%	3.88	3.50	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.12	3.71	3.50	4.14	3.57	4.00	3.75	3.79
	4113	Nasir, Mansoor	20	40.0%	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.17	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.38
	4203	Li, Yawen	20	45.0%	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.28	4.44	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.41
	4223	Song, Wei	5	60.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.94	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.33	4.00	4.00	3.94
	4313	Meyer, Eric	18	50.0%	4.44	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.54	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.85
	1202	LeCarpentier, Gerald	12	50.0%	3.17	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.36	3.00	2.83	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.25
	3101	Nasir, Mansoor	23	39.1%	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.56	4.44	4.00	4.22	4.56	4.22	4.33
	5093	LeCarpentier, Gerald	4	50.0%	2.50	2.50	3.00	1.50	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.50	3.00	3.00	2.75
	5093	Meyer, Eric	17	58.8%	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.45	4.70	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.52
	5093	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4201	Li, Yawen	20	40.0%	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.08	4.38	4.00	4.63	3.88	4.25	4.25	4.23
	1002	Meyer, Eric	21	71.4%	4.47	4.53	4.47	4.33	4.47	4.60	4.48	4.80	4.33	4.53	4.60	4.67	4.73	4.61
1002	Pappas, George	22	77.3%	4.18	4.24	4.41	4.24	4.00	4.06	4.19	4.24	4.29	4.35	4.25	4.24	4.18	4.25	
CHM	1154	Sharath, Anusha	12	50.0%	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.14	4.50	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.14
	1154	Sky, Anthony	14	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	1213	Appleby, Lavetta	69	63.8%	4.14	4.16	4.20	4.20	3.98	3.93	4.10	4.39	4.14	4.14	4.36	4.23	4.11	4.23
	1213	Woodworth, James	25	88.0%	3.50	3.77	3.64	3.82	3.68	3.50	3.65	4.36	3.82	4.23	4.05	3.68	3.86	4.00
	1221	Dean, Walter	17	70.6%	4.17	3.67	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.58	4.00	4.42	4.25	4.50	4.17	4.32
	1221	Sky, Anthony	15	60.0%	3.78	3.78	3.89	3.67	4.11	3.78	3.83	4.11	3.33	3.33	3.67	4.11	3.67	3.70
	1221	Woodworth, James	19	100.0%	4.11	4.16	4.16	4.26	4.11	4.26	4.18	4.53	4.32	4.16	4.26	4.00	4.42	4.28
	1221	Zhou, Meng	30	56.7%	4.47	4.47	4.35	4.06	4.29	4.12	4.29	4.65	4.24	4.53	4.35	4.41	4.47	4.44
	1223	Zhou, Meng	21	76.2%	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.19	4.19	4.31	4.63	4.13	4.38	4.56	4.38	4.25	4.39
	2323	Aviles, Gladys	11	90.9%	4.40	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.10	4.10	4.32	4.70	4.40	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.65
	2332	Timmons, Shannon	10	90.0%	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.80	4.89	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.67
	1232	Villeneuve, Nicole	21	85.7%	4.78	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.69	4.78	4.72	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.72
	4541	Villeneuve, Nicole	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4522	Sky, Anthony	2	100.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
4723	Sky, Anthony	5	100.0%	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.60	4.00	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.60	4.20	4.20	4.40	3.80	4.07	
COM	0094	Moyer, Daniel	24	45.8%	4.27	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.55	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.55	4.36	4.44
	1001	Faulkner, Ty	17	29.4%	3.80	4.20	4.80	4.20	4.50	3.80	4.17	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.81
	1001	Maiseloff, Stephen	17	58.8%	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90
	1103	Gordon, Aviva	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.61	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.81
	1103	Hall Sturgis, Stephanie	12	58.3%	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
	1103	Kao, Vivian	36	66.7%	4.21	4.04	4.00	4.04	4.00	4.08	4.06	4.33	4.21	4.21	4.13	4.33	4.21	4.24
	1103	Moyer, Daniel	18	66.7%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.55	4.33	4.33	4.41	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.47
	2103	Danielson-Francois, Serge	18	55.6%	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.00	4.10	4.22	4.05	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.70	4.40	4.57
	2103	Dillon, Colleen	20	85.0%	4.59	4.59	4.29	4.47	4.59	4.47	4.50	4.65	4.71	4.59	4.53	4.71	4.53	4.62
	2103	Floyd, Stacha	38	60.5%	4.17	3.83	4.22	3.96	3.61	3.91	3.95	4.30	4.09	4.22	4.04	4.09	4.09	4.14
	2103	Fry, Jennifer	19	47.4%	4.44	4.11	4.44	4.00	4.22	3.89	4.19	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.13
	2103	Helterhoff, Holly	60	60.0%	4.39	4.22	4.42	4.25	4.28	4.34	4.31	4.61	4.47	4.58	4.31	4.64	4.42	4.50
	2103	Martz, Patricia	18	44.4%	4.13	3.13	4.13	3.88	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.63	3.50	4.38	3.75	4.13	4.13	4.08
	2103	Wojciechowski, Lea	36	38.9%	4.36	4.08	4.36	4.21	4.29	3.93	4.18	4.43	4.21	4.07	4.00	4.36	3.86	4.15

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
COM	2113	Stavish, Corinne	17	47.1%	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.13
	3223	Weinstein, Melinda	15	46.7%	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.43	3.57	3.55	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.86	3.95
	4963	Stavish, Corinne	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3453	Nunez, Richard	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56
	3101	Rescoe, Sarah	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6103	Martz, Patricia	6	66.7%	3.00	3.25	3.25	2.50	3.50	2.75	3.04	3.25	2.50	3.25	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.17
	6523	Stavish, Corinne	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	0075	Brodermann, Caroline	6	100.0%	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.47	4.33	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.33	4.39
	2443	Evans, Karen	13	69.2%	4.75	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.47	4.44	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.41
6453	Nunez, Richard	3	33.3%	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	
CRW	2513	Lamers, Sara	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
EAE	1093	Walters, Filza	17	76.5%	4.08	4.38	4.31	4.23	3.77	4.38	4.19	4.77	3.92	4.46	4.23	4.77	4.23	4.40
	3014	Nelson, Ralph	8	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.75	4.69	4.88	4.63	4.88	4.75	5.00	4.88	4.83
	3613	McKale, Dennis	8	87.5%	4.29	4.43	4.43	3.71	4.43	4.29	4.26	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.57
	4613	Stoolmiller, Karl	11	81.8%	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.28	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67
	4623	Kojovic, Nikolina	12	75.0%	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.48	4.78	4.22	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.44	4.52
	4024	Driedger, Mark	6	100.0%	3.20	3.80	3.60	3.20	3.60	3.40	3.47	3.20	2.80	3.80	2.80	4.00	3.40	3.33
	4024	Ragheb, Ashraf	6	100.0%	3.50	3.83	3.83	3.33	3.83	3.83	3.69	3.67	3.00	4.00	3.33	4.33	3.67	3.67
	4024	Stoolmiller, Karl	6	83.3%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.47
ECE	1102	Bebawy, Mena	24	79.2%	4.05	3.89	4.05	3.58	4.05	3.89	3.92	4.37	3.84	4.11	3.84	3.58	3.79	3.92
	2103	Henson, Susan	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	3013	Bebawy, Mena	15	93.3%	4.36	4.43	4.57	4.21	4.50	4.43	4.42	4.79	4.36	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.64	4.57
	3213	Karatas, Aslihan	9	100.0%	3.89	3.78	4.11	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.91	4.22	4.00	4.00	3.89	4.22	4.00	4.06
	3324	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	15	100.0%	4.47	4.67	4.67	4.60	4.47	4.60	4.58	4.73	4.53	4.67	4.60	4.40	4.67	4.60
	3424	Lobbestael, Adam	16	75.0%	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.46
	3523	El-Essawi, Mohamed	8	87.5%	4.00	3.71	4.29	4.43	3.86	4.00	4.05	4.71	3.71	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.00	4.24
	3723	Kowalkowski, Keith	20	95.0%	4.21	3.89	4.16	3.84	3.79	3.74	3.94	4.63	4.16	4.11	4.16	4.16	3.95	4.19
	4051	Tocco, John	13	76.9%	3.80	4.00	4.10	3.60	4.00	3.90	3.90	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.10	4.27
	4243	Tocco, John	17	88.2%	4.53	4.60	4.47	3.73	4.07	4.33	4.29	4.67	4.13	4.27	3.47	4.40	4.27	4.20
	4544	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	17	94.1%	4.44	4.40	4.44	4.31	4.13	4.31	4.34	4.56	4.31	4.31	4.25	4.13	4.31	4.31
	4563	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	12	100.0%	4.25	4.42	4.58	4.08	4.17	4.17	4.28	4.58	4.25	4.33	4.17	4.25	4.17	4.29
	4743	Livernois, Michael	16	81.3%	4.23	4.15	4.23	4.31	4.38	4.23	4.26	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.46	4.31	4.37
	4761	Bebawy, Mena	14	92.9%	3.92	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.92	3.85	3.92	4.46	4.31	4.38	4.00	4.00	4.15	4.22
	5113	Karatas, Aslihan	5	100.0%	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.50	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.67
	5703	Bebawy, Mena	13	92.3%	4.42	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.38	4.75	4.25	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.53
	5813	Bandara, Nishantha	26	96.2%	4.64	4.46	4.56	4.52	4.32	4.48	4.50	4.64	4.48	4.44	4.48	4.60	4.56	4.53
	6213	Tocco, John	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
	5923	Mekjian, Gary	10	80.0%	3.50	4.00	4.38	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.94	4.50	3.75	3.38	4.38	4.00	4.00	4.00
	4753	Kowalkowski, Keith	13	76.9%	4.20	3.90	4.00	3.80	3.50	3.70	3.85	4.60	4.10	3.80	4.00	4.30	4.10	4.15
	5243	Kain, Samuel	12	91.7%	4.64	4.64	4.91	4.91	4.55	4.73	4.73	4.91	5.00	5.00	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.94
	5223	Karatas, Aslihan	13	100.0%	4.69	4.31	4.54	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.42	4.54	4.31	4.38	4.23	4.54	4.31	4.38

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	5353	Deo, Purushottam	8	50.0%	4.25	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.42
	1413	Bandara, Nishantha	17	88.2%	3.93	4.20	3.87	3.67	4.00	4.07	3.96	3.53	3.60	3.87	3.67	3.87	3.53	3.68
	4012	Bandara, Nishantha	3	33.3%	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	3.83							
	5763	Bebawy, Mena	26	88.5%	4.57	4.57	4.52	4.39	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.61	4.48	4.52	4.43	4.52	4.48	4.51
	4032	Henson, Susan	23	73.9%	3.94	3.80	4.06	3.44	3.88	4.12	3.83	4.00	3.75	4.06	4.06	3.88	3.94	3.95
	5753	Kowalkowski, Keith	8	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.88	4.67
	5473	Lobbestael, Adam	6	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.83
	5273	Tocco, John	25	56.0%	4.86	4.79	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.82	5.00	4.79	4.57	4.64	4.79	4.79	4.76
ECN	6023	Marx, Thomas	13	69.2%	4.22	4.00	4.33	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.17	5.00	4.89	4.75	4.63	4.78	4.67	4.77
	5011T	Browne, Warren	25	52.0%	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.23	4.31	4.31	4.32	4.42	4.31	4.15	4.15	4.23	4.46	4.29
	5021T	Browne, Warren	26	46.2%	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.47	4.83	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.58
EEE	1001	Cook, Kenneth	20	85.0%	4.76	4.59	4.76	4.76	4.76	4.82	4.75	4.88	4.65	4.82	4.76	4.88	4.88	4.81
	2111	AlGhamdi, Ali	5	80.0%	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.63
	2111	Renehan, Bridget	16	50.0%	4.63	4.75	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.71	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.69
	2114	Kolasa, William	18	66.7%	3.58	3.67	4.00	3.83	3.67	3.58	3.72	4.33	3.17	3.17	3.75	3.45	3.17	3.50
	2123	AlGhamdi, Ali	32	62.5%	3.60	3.60	3.50	3.55	3.40	3.25	3.48	3.45	3.15	3.20	3.45	3.47	3.00	3.27
	2123	Siddiqi, Muzaffer	20	65.0%	2.54	3.08	3.08	2.38	2.85	2.62	2.76	3.46	2.31	2.62	2.62	2.46	2.38	2.64
	2214	Cloud, Michael	11	72.7%	4.38	4.38	4.43	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.24	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.67
	2214	Siddiqi, Muzaffer	10	40.0%	2.00	2.50	1.75	2.00	2.50	2.25	2.17	3.50	1.50	2.00	1.75	2.25	1.50	2.08
	3011	Jaber, Nabih	7	14.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	3121	Renehan, Bridget	8	12.5%	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.83
	3124	Cloud, Michael	14	35.7%	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.77
	3221	Anneberg, Lisa	26	42.3%	4.36	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.45	4.55	4.42
	3223	Anneberg, Lisa	23	39.1%	4.33	4.00	4.22	4.00	4.22	4.22	4.17	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.41
	3231	Chase, Richard	17	41.2%	4.57	4.14	4.67	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.28	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43
	3233	Chase, Richard	22	40.9%	4.25	3.88	4.00	4.00	3.88	4.25	4.04	4.44	4.11	4.00	3.89	4.56	4.33	4.22
	3311	Xia, Jinjun	17	52.9%	3.67	3.22	3.89	3.56	3.78	3.78	3.65	3.44	3.78	3.89	3.56	3.89	3.67	3.70
	3314	Xia, Jinjun	20	50.0%	3.60	3.60	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.50	3.62	3.70	3.00	3.80	3.30	3.80	3.30	3.48
	3414	Cloud, Michael	15	46.7%	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.43	4.14	4.24	4.71	4.57	4.14	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.48
	4133	Gladyshev, Sergey	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4263	Pappas, George	22	45.5%	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.20	3.90	4.10	4.18	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.40	4.50	4.52
	4323	Xia, Jinjun	15	53.3%	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.56	4.63	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.63	4.38	4.44
	4333	Mehdi, Hassan	4	75.0%	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4423	Hua, Kun	25	48.0%	4.00	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.17	4.25	4.22	4.58	4.55	4.58	4.45	4.58	4.42	4.51
	4514	Lowe, Gary	16	50.0%	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.38	4.88	4.75	4.73	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.94
	4822	Jaber, Nabih	16	43.8%	3.71	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.86	3.86	3.79	4.00	3.86	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.29	4.10
	5364	Pappas, George	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50
	6784	Hua, Kun	27	63.0%	4.35	4.41	4.41	4.35	4.41	4.35	4.38	4.41	4.35	4.47	4.41	4.29	4.47	4.40
	5923	Hakimzadeh, Roya	9	55.6%	4.60	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.87	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.77
	5204	Anneberg, Lisa	9	44.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5924	Jaber, Nabih	11	90.9%	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.70	4.70	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.50	4.50	4.63

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	4842	Jaber, Nabih	3	33.3%	5.00		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	3.17
	5444	Ali, Mahdi	15	66.7%	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.57	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.65
	4273	Anneberg, Lisa	24	41.7%	3.60	3.70	4.00	3.70	3.60	3.70	3.72	4.50	4.00	4.70	4.20	4.80	4.10	4.38
	4914	Chase, Richard	5	100.0%	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.56	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60
	5134	Gladyshev, Sergey	2	100.0%	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5114	Kolasa, William	14	57.1%	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.50	4.13	4.25	4.27
	6994	LeCarpentier, Gerald	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4261	Pappas, George	15	53.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.88	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.88	4.38	4.75	4.25	4.88	4.50	4.60
	4713	Xia, Jinjun	19	31.6%	4.50	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.25
EEM	6763	Eren, Serhat	20	80.0%	4.63	4.69	4.63	4.69	4.56	4.56	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.67	4.38	4.50	4.52
EGE	1001	Henson, Susan	21	95.2%	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.90	4.02	4.50	4.35	4.60	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.43
	1001	Hier, Michelle	22	54.5%	4.42	4.17	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.31	4.33	4.25	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.36
	1023	Crosbie, Gary	24	91.7%	4.32	4.41	4.55	4.55	4.09	4.05	4.33	4.82	4.32	4.14	4.32	4.45	4.18	4.37
	1023	Yee, Kingman	31	61.3%	3.06	3.22	3.12	3.50	2.94	2.61	3.06	3.63	2.78	3.13	3.37	2.39	2.47	2.97
	1102	Fernandez, Vernon	26	53.8%	4.00	4.29	4.14	3.79	3.93	4.14	4.05	3.79	3.79	3.69	3.86	3.79	3.71	3.75
	1102	Pawlowski, Roger	35	60.0%	4.38	4.29	4.48	4.43	4.24	4.43	4.37	4.71	4.38	4.43	4.48	4.29	4.52	4.47
	2013	Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	29	65.5%	3.74	4.00	4.00	3.84	3.68	3.74	3.83	3.74	3.42	3.37	3.58	3.89	3.53	3.59
	2013	Xie, Xin	41	65.9%	4.33	4.26	4.44	4.19	4.22	4.11	4.26	4.37	4.07	4.11	4.26	4.19	4.22	4.20
	2123	Bell-Huff, Cristi	15	60.0%	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.11	3.56	4.11	3.96	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.54
	2123	Morano, Heidi	26	50.0%	4.38	3.77	3.85	4.15	4.08	3.85	4.01	4.54	4.46	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.55
	2231	Pohly, Sharon	18	50.0%	3.89	3.67	3.89	3.89	3.78	3.67	3.80	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	4.00	3.89	3.91
	2233	Reimer, Donald	43	58.1%	3.76	3.88	3.76	3.40	3.52	3.44	3.63	3.64	3.32	3.55	3.23	3.77	3.50	3.50
	3003	Frasch, Lewis	11	54.5%	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.17	4.83	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.50
	3003	Marek, Stanley	30	60.0%	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.34	4.39	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.22	4.28
	3012	Mullin, Thomas	73	67.1%	4.18	4.20	4.31	4.29	3.88	3.92	4.13	4.51	4.35	4.47	4.49	4.27	4.31	4.40
	3361	Reimer, Donald	26	46.2%	2.83	2.92	2.92	3.00	3.00	2.75	2.90	3.09	3.00	2.82	2.91	3.09	2.82	2.95
	3341	Reimer, Donald	9	44.4%	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.21
	4311	Reimer, Donald	10	40.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
EIE	2012	Mullin, Thomas	4	100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.46
	3023	Alwerfalli, Daw	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3653	Shortt, Duane	7	71.4%	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.83	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.87
	5983	Ali, Sk	52	57.7%	3.63	4.03	4.07	3.83	3.87	3.93	3.89	4.10	3.87	4.17	4.03	4.03	4.07	4.04
	5983	Eren, Serhat	19	94.7%	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.39	4.44	4.33	4.45	4.72	4.61	4.67	4.50	4.72	4.67	4.65
	3753	Islam, Muhammad	8	75.0%	4.67	4.33	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.19
	3453	Karim, Ahsanul	11	72.7%	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.46	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.54
	6673	Nasser, Mohamed	35	77.1%	4.63	4.59	4.56	4.59	4.63	4.63	4.60	4.70	4.78	4.74	4.67	4.74	4.74	4.73
	6663	Murray, Neil	20	85.0%	4.53	4.53	4.71	4.71	4.65	4.59	4.62	4.59	4.65	4.71	4.76	4.71	4.65	4.68
EME	1011	Campbell, Stephanie	23	78.3%	3.88	3.82	3.65	3.29	3.35	3.53	3.59	3.88	3.76	3.53	3.71	3.94	3.88	3.76
	1011	Fletcher, Robert	66	57.6%	4.00	4.05	4.11	3.89	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.26	3.74	3.87	3.76	4.21	3.92	3.96

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EME	1011	Jing, Wuming	13	61.5%	2.75	2.88	3.63	3.13	2.25	2.38	2.83	3.13	2.88	4.00	3.75	3.88	2.75	3.40
	2011	Crosbie, Gary	15	46.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.07	4.86	3.71	3.57	4.29	4.29	3.57	4.05
	2011	Yee, Kingman	28	71.4%	4.65	4.65	4.60	4.25	4.45	4.35	4.49	4.74	4.60	4.40	4.00	4.35	4.35	4.41
	2012	Mullin, Thomas	39	53.8%	4.52	4.38	4.57	4.48	4.45	4.43	4.46	4.81	4.67	4.71	4.76	4.71	4.76	4.74
	3011	Yee, Kingman	43	60.5%	3.46	3.92	4.12	3.38	3.65	3.58	3.69	4.09	3.64	4.04	3.91	3.92	3.84	3.83
	3013	Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	18	33.3%	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.47	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50
	3013	Jing, Wuming	14	50.0%	4.14	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.14	3.43	3.43	4.00	3.71	3.29	3.29	3.52
	3023	Alwerfalli, Daw	27	85.2%	3.91	4.17	3.87	3.91	3.87	3.91	3.94	4.74	4.22	4.39	4.22	4.57	4.26	4.40
	3033	Stuart, Charles	30	63.3%	4.21	4.32	4.32	4.21	4.11	4.16	4.22	4.53	4.47	4.37	4.26	4.42	4.37	4.40
	3043	Riedel, Chris	30	53.3%	4.56	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.31	4.38	4.48	4.94	4.75	4.56	4.75	4.75	4.69	4.74
	3043	Xie, Xin	18	50.0%	4.11	4.11	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.24	4.33	3.78	4.22	4.11	4.44	4.00	4.15
	3123	Gerhart, Andrew	31	64.5%	4.60	4.65	4.60	4.65	4.60	4.60	4.62	4.75	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.75	4.75	4.71
	3123	Pawlowski, Roger	34	67.6%	3.91	4.04	4.00	3.91	4.00	4.04	3.99	4.35	3.91	3.83	4.17	4.09	4.13	4.08
	3133	Sitaram, Patabhi	32	71.9%	3.74	3.48	3.65	3.61	3.78	3.65	3.65	3.57	3.22	3.43	3.39	3.35	3.30	3.38
	3133	Stuart, Charles	29	55.2%	4.19	4.25	4.06	4.19	4.44	4.25	4.23	4.25	4.19	4.38	4.19	4.31	4.31	4.27
	3214	Jing, Wuming	16	68.8%	4.09	3.82	3.82	4.00	4.09	3.91	3.95	4.27	4.18	4.45	4.18	4.45	4.09	4.27
	3214	Makke, Alaa	16	93.8%	4.13	4.33	4.40	4.07	3.93	4.07	4.16	4.80	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.67	4.73	4.73
	3214	Patel, Krupal	16	81.3%	3.31	3.46	3.23	3.54	3.62	3.15	3.38	3.62	3.38	3.46	3.46	3.38	3.38	3.45
	4003	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	34	58.8%	4.05	3.95	4.15	4.00	4.05	4.00	4.03	4.30	3.95	3.85	3.80	4.00	3.90	3.97
	4003	Riedel, Chris	14	71.4%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.77	4.90	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.90	5.00	4.95
	4013	Arslan, Selin	37	59.5%	4.68	4.64	4.68	4.59	4.59	4.68	4.64	4.82	4.64	4.82	4.71	4.77	4.77	4.75
	4253	Yee, Kingman	64	60.9%	4.08	4.06	4.05	4.21	4.21	4.08	4.11	4.38	4.16	4.37	4.29	4.32	4.32	4.31
	4313	Alwerfalli, Daw	39	89.7%	4.34	4.37	4.26	4.40	4.17	4.31	4.31	4.66	4.43	4.49	4.40	4.63	4.54	4.52
	4323	Williams, John	10	60.0%	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69
	4402	Fernandez, Vernon	52	53.8%	3.25	3.46	3.82	3.15	3.46	3.36	3.41	3.68	3.00	3.29	3.00	3.54	3.07	3.26
	4412	Gerhart, Andrew	34	64.7%	4.68	4.73	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.67	4.65	4.86	4.82	4.77	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82
	4603	Jammoussi, Hassene	17	52.9%	3.63	3.25	3.38	3.63	3.50	3.13	3.42	4.00	3.67	3.78	3.89	4.00	4.00	3.89
	4613	Pawlowski, Roger	10	80.0%	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.23	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38
	5143	Marek, Stanley	21	61.9%	4.31	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.15	4.15	4.36	4.31	4.46	4.54	4.62	4.38	4.46	4.46
	5143	Yassine, Mahmoud	28	82.1%	4.57	4.48	4.52	4.48	4.43	4.39	4.48	4.70	4.43	4.65	4.57	4.35	4.48	4.53
	5153	Kojovic, Nikolina	49	69.4%	4.76	4.76	4.79	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.75	4.88	4.71	4.85	4.82	4.82	4.85	4.82
	5253	Makki, Imad	31	90.3%	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.39	4.29	4.32	4.31	4.48	4.41	4.44	4.48	4.44	4.30	4.43
	5433	DeRose, Giuseppe	27	55.6%	4.53	4.60	4.53	4.53	4.60	4.53	4.56	4.60	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.67	4.63
	5983	Allegretto, Gianluca	14	50.0%	4.14	4.29	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.29	4.17	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.38
	5983	Fletcher, Robert	30	50.0%	4.60	4.60	4.67	4.73	4.67	4.67	4.66	4.80	4.80	4.73	4.73	4.93	4.73	4.79
	5983	Kfoury, Giscard	16	68.8%	3.36	2.73	3.09	3.45	2.91	2.82	3.06	3.73	3.00	3.18	4.09	4.09	3.09	3.53
	5983	Xie, Xin	15	86.7%	4.69	4.54	4.69	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.72	5.00	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.92	4.85	4.79
	6113	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	8	87.5%	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.31	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.36
	6213	Fernandez, Vernon	37	62.2%	4.35	4.57	4.39	4.39	4.43	4.35	4.41	4.43	4.35	4.39	4.35	4.57	4.39	4.41
	6543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	20	65.0%	4.62	4.54	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.77	4.46	4.69	4.77	4.62	4.65
	7543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	6	66.7%	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.25	3.50	3.88	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.21
	5333	Riedel, Chris	21	66.7%	4.50	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.45	4.64	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.62
	5323	Mynderse, James	11	45.5%	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.43	3.40	4.20	3.99	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.30
	5223	Shahwan, Khaled	32	84.4%	4.26	4.44	4.26	4.22	4.26	4.26	4.28	4.44	4.33	4.52	4.37	4.52	4.37	4.43

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	6983	Shahwan, Khaled	16	87.5%	4.64	4.07	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.50	4.54	4.86	4.64	4.79	4.64	4.86	4.64	4.74
	6153	Jawad, Badih	13	46.2%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.81	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
	5353	Liu, Liping	33	72.7%	4.63	4.71	4.63	4.63	4.46	4.50	4.59	4.67	4.71	4.67	4.63	4.54	4.67	4.65
	6133	Liu, Liping	31	74.2%	4.70	4.61	4.65	4.65	4.57	4.61	4.63	4.83	4.65	4.74	4.65	4.61	4.70	4.70
	6473	Malysz, Pawel	29	86.2%	3.92	3.84	3.48	4.25	3.80	3.84	3.84	4.00	3.80	3.96	4.12	4.04	3.84	3.96
	6373	Norman, Kristofor	24	58.3%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.51	4.71	4.57	4.64	4.64	4.50	4.57	4.61
	6413	Schihl, Peter	30	53.3%	4.69	4.69	4.63	4.69	4.50	4.63	4.64	4.81	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.56	4.69	4.72
	6353	Shih, Shan	36	80.6%	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.45	4.59	4.56	4.62	4.59	4.62	4.52	4.62	4.62	4.60
	4222	Yee, Kingman	44	61.4%	4.00	3.92	3.93	3.96	3.96	4.00	3.96	4.19	4.12	4.00	3.96	4.04	4.08	4.06
EMS	6703	Alwerfalli, Daw	32	81.3%	4.65	4.85	4.72	4.77	4.69	4.85	4.75	4.88	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.81	4.78
	6403	Choucair, Hassan	32	65.6%	4.67	4.62	4.62	4.57	4.48	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.71	4.71	4.67	4.68
ERE	2024	Kfoury, Giscard	8	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
	3024	Kfoury, Giscard	4	100.0%	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.38
ESL	0642	Corp, Scott	5	100.0%	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.50
	0542	Finlay, Suzanne	4	50.0%	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	0142	Finlay, Suzanne	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.67
	0242	Corp, Scott	6	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.31	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.53
	0222	Abbas, Nawal	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	0422	Corp, Scott	5	60.0%	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.17	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.67	3.67	3.89
	0122	Revels, Teresa	3	100.0%	3.33	3.67	3.33	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.53	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67
	0522	Revels, Teresa	4	100.0%	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
FIN	3103	Tsebro, Pavlo	25	52.0%	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.38	4.54	4.58	4.77	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.77	4.69
GAM	2313	Evans, Bryce	12	33.3%	3.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	3.75	4.25	3.50	4.75	4.25	4.21
	3013	Ashton, Marshall	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	3143	Evans, Bryce	6	83.3%	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97
	3413	Evans, Bryce	4	75.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3993	Ashton, Marshall	6	83.3%	1.80	2.33	3.20	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.99	3.60	2.00	3.00	1.80	2.60	2.20	2.53
	3313	Ashton, Marshall	12	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.96	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.67
	4524	Ashton, Marshall	5	80.0%	3.75	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.50	4.25	4.17	4.25	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.00	3.96
	2133	Ashton, Marshall	13	53.8%	4.00	4.43	4.43	3.00	4.14	4.43	4.07	4.71	3.86	4.29	3.57	4.29	4.14	4.14
GLG	1103	Thomas, David	31	54.8%	4.18	4.12	4.12	3.94	4.12	3.94	4.07	4.24	4.35	4.24	4.29	4.18	4.24	4.25
HRM	3023	Cole, Matthew	27	48.1%	4.00	4.23	4.15	4.08	4.08	4.15	4.12	4.38	4.08	4.08	4.33	4.31	4.00	4.20
IDD	1223	Nagara, Keith	17	35.3%	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.20	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.60	4.67	4.33	4.46
	2223	Graf, Benjamin	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3723	Baker, Brian	19	36.8%	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.43	4.64	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.71	4.88

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
IDD	4526	McMillan, Andrew	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4526	Mikalaukas, Christopher	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1124	Dawson, Andrew	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50
	1124	English, Derek	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	3.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50
	1124	Heyne, David	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1124	Lanyon, Jacob	7	42.9%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.94
	1124	Nagara, Keith	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.44
	2226	Dawson, Andrew	7	14.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2226	English, Derek	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2226	Grant, Lindsey	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2226	Kijanka, Rob	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2226	Lanyon, Jacob	7	14.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2226	Levich, Michael	7	28.6%	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.75
	2226	Palazzolo, Joseph	7	42.9%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.89	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.83
3326	McMillan, Andrew	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	
3326	Mikalaukas, Christopher	6	50.0%	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.33	3.67	4.33	4.06	4.67	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.67	4.39	
INT	2103	Hsiao, Shih-Hui	15	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.22	4.17	4.56	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.67	4.56	4.43
	2114	Sigler, Kenneth	12	25.0%	2.33	2.33	3.00	3.33	2.33	2.33	2.61	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	2.33	2.33	3.00
	2123	Ku, Chih	20	55.0%	3.91	4.09	3.91	3.64	3.82	3.73	3.85	4.55	3.55	3.91	3.82	3.91	3.91	3.94
	2143	Kohnke, Anne	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4013	Dillard, Terrance	7	71.4%	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67
	4303	Ku, Chih	4	50.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4423	Hsiao, Shih-Hui	10	50.0%	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.10	4.80	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.37
	5024	Grembi, Jason	4	75.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.78
	6043	Hsiao, Shih-Hui	10	70.0%	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.62	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.64
	6043	Motaghi, Hamed	23	47.8%	4.09	4.45	4.45	4.18	4.36	4.36	4.32	4.50	4.09	4.45	4.36	4.27	4.27	4.28
	6113	Kohnke, Anne	21	52.4%	4.27	4.55	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.29	4.18	4.36	4.00	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.23
	6123	Kohnke, Anne	24	54.2%	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.23	4.62	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.51
	6143	Caushaj, Eralda	20	60.0%	3.92	4.00	4.08	3.92	4.08	3.92	3.99	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.75	3.83	3.83	3.85
	7213	Evans Mach, Patrick	19	94.7%	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.35	4.06	4.44	4.35	4.44	4.28	4.76	4.39	4.71	4.50	4.48
	7223	Caushaj, Eralda	16	62.5%	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.70	4.70	4.30	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.30	4.52
	7593	Caushaj, Eralda	32	75.0%	4.46	4.67	4.46	4.42	4.54	4.42	4.49	4.65	4.50	4.52	4.58	4.54	4.54	4.54
	4023	Meier, Wesley	8	62.5%	4.20	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.57	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.87
	7243	Dillard, Terrance	20	70.0%	4.92	4.77	4.85	4.85	4.77	4.85	4.83	4.77	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.81
7253	Ku, Chih	36	83.3%	4.53	4.60	4.57	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.52	4.43	4.50	4.47	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.49	
LDR	2001	Booker, Zsa-Zsa	16	43.8%	3.86	3.57	3.86	3.71	3.57	3.86	3.74	3.71	3.86	4.00	3.71	4.00	3.71	3.83
	2001	Coratti, Anthony	15	46.7%	4.86	4.71	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.93	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.93
	2001	Craig, Brian	20	45.0%	4.22	4.22	3.78	4.11	4.00	3.89	4.04	4.67	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.39
	2001	Duranceau, Claudia	18	66.7%	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.42	4.39	4.50	4.75	4.67	4.33	4.58	4.58	4.57
	2001	Faulkner, Ty	33	51.5%	4.47	4.47	4.35	4.47	4.18	4.24	4.36	4.65	4.65	4.76	4.65	4.88	4.65	4.71
	2001	Gaber, Jody	36	66.7%	4.33	4.33	4.21	4.33	3.92	3.83	4.16	4.17	4.46	4.42	4.38	4.54	4.29	4.38
	2001	Jolly, Katherine	19	84.2%	3.94	3.69	3.94	4.00	3.63	3.56	3.79	3.43	3.63	3.40	3.56	3.69	3.50	3.54

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	3713	Swantek, Beth	16	62.5%	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.50	4.60	4.70	4.67	4.70	4.50	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70
MCS	0044	Jadoun, Naela	9	55.6%	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.40	3.00	3.20	3.37	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.20	3.20	3.40
	0054	Morris, John	19	63.2%	3.92	4.17	4.00	3.92	3.75	3.92	3.94	4.17	3.83	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.02
	0054	Timbre, Keith	12	50.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.72
	1003	Shaba, Amal	10	100.0%	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.30
	1113	Coval, Brad	7	57.1%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.58
	1123	Coval, Brad	10	30.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	1142	Beyer, Cameron	5	60.0%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.00	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.50
	1142	Stein, Gordon	18	44.4%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.60	4.75	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.77
	1142	Zurawski, Erik	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
	1214	Vaynberg, Yelena	26	84.6%	4.09	4.18	4.45	4.32	4.18	4.27	4.25	4.36	4.14	4.41	4.23	4.50	4.32	4.33
	1224	Alyass, Kussiy	9	44.4%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	3.75	4.00	4.38	4.75	4.75	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.42
	1224	Jadoun, Naela	18	44.4%	3.63	3.38	3.75	3.50	3.25	3.13	3.44	3.50	3.00	3.13	3.63	3.50	3.13	3.31
	1224	Zendeli, Bashkim	12	66.7%	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.19	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.40
	1414	Cartwright, Christopher	25	60.0%	4.47	4.33	4.40	4.33	4.07	4.20	4.30	4.47	4.27	4.53	4.33	4.47	4.33	4.40
	1414	Mortada, Jamil	18	50.0%	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.80
	1414	Turfe, Atallah	9	66.7%	3.17	3.83	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.20	3.58	4.50	3.00	4.00	4.25	3.50	2.50	3.47
	1414	Vaynberg, Yelena	25	92.0%	4.48	4.43	4.52	4.57	4.39	4.48	4.48	4.61	4.43	4.61	4.52	4.65	4.52	4.56
	1424	Arlinghaus, Frank	24	50.0%	3.83	3.75	3.58	3.67	3.58	3.82	3.69	3.92	2.75	2.92	3.17	3.18	2.83	3.12
	1424	Carter, Sharon	30	83.3%	4.68	4.48	4.68	4.76	4.80	4.84	4.71	4.96	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.92	4.92	4.91
	1424	Mortada, Jamil	33	66.7%	4.32	4.36	4.14	4.23	4.14	4.00	4.20	4.64	4.32	4.41	4.55	4.36	4.32	4.43
	1424	Zendeli, Bashkim	28	78.6%	4.18	3.95	4.18	4.09	4.00	3.82	4.04	4.45	4.14	4.14	4.05	4.14	4.09	4.17
	1424	Zhu, Guang-Chong	30	60.0%	4.44	4.17	4.28	4.22	4.17	4.28	4.26	4.89	4.50	4.50	4.78	4.89	4.61	4.69
	1514	Azar, Ghassan	31	67.7%	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.81	3.67	3.57	3.79	4.24	3.90	4.00	3.76	4.10	3.95	3.99
	1514	Beyer, Cameron	12	66.7%	3.63	3.63	3.38	3.38	3.88	3.63	3.58	4.25	3.50	3.88	3.63	3.75	3.25	3.71
	1514	Shaba, Amal	19	94.7%	4.56	4.39	4.28	4.06	4.39	4.56	4.37	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.06	4.56	4.56	4.44
	1623	Zurawski, Erik	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96
	2313	Husseini, Ghassan	13	69.2%	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.81	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.78	4.76
	2414	Dabkowski, Michael	28	53.6%	4.27	4.07	3.93	4.27	4.07	3.87	4.08	4.80	4.53	4.40	4.53	4.87	4.20	4.56
	2414	Turfe, Atallah	11	63.6%	3.57	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.29	3.71	3.76	4.57	3.57	3.57	3.71	3.57	3.29	3.71
	2414	Yu, Na	31	58.1%	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.61	4.28	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.72	4.35	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.50
	2423	Arlinghaus, Frank	32	43.8%	3.93	4.00	4.36	3.93	3.93	4.14	4.05	4.50	3.93	3.86	4.00	3.79	3.93	4.00
	2423	Bauer, Glen	25	76.0%	4.79	4.68	4.74	4.74	4.58	4.68	4.70	4.95	4.89	4.95	4.89	4.84	4.89	4.90
	2423	Nelson, Patrick	25	72.0%	4.39	4.28	4.28	4.39	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.78	4.44	4.72	4.50	4.72	4.61	4.63
	2423	Zhu, Guang-Chong	16	87.5%	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.43	4.52	5.00	4.57	4.64	4.71	4.86	4.69	4.74
	2514	Azar, Ghassan	6	66.7%	4.50	4.25	3.75	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.21
	2514	Stein, Gordon	12	58.3%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.55	4.86	4.57	4.29	4.00	4.71	4.67	4.50
	2523	Rosen, Michael	26	46.2%	3.50	3.92	4.08	4.08	3.75	3.58	3.82	3.64	3.75	4.50	4.08	4.75	3.58	4.05
	2534	Fawcett, David	23	43.5%	4.50	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.33	4.50	4.59	4.90	4.78	4.90	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.77
	3324	Bukaita, Wisam	5	100.0%	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.50	4.20	4.41	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.75	4.80	4.40	4.58
	3403	Alyass, Kussiy	17	70.6%	3.18	3.50	3.91	3.92	3.00	3.08	3.41	3.83	2.92	3.82	3.83	3.25	3.08	3.44
	3403	Carter, Sharon	27	77.8%	4.52	4.14	4.38	4.48	4.52	4.43	4.41	4.81	4.48	4.81	4.62	4.81	4.57	4.68

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	3413	Cartwright, Christopher	15	53.3%	4.63	4.25	4.38	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.46	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.63	4.88	4.63	4.67
	3523	Yu, Na	6	83.3%	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.53
	3543	Al Hamando, Mazin	12	50.0%	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.31	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.58
	3603	Al Hamando, Mazin	14	64.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.72	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.76
	3633	Pappas, George	17	47.1%	4.25	3.75	4.38	4.57	4.00	3.75	4.12	4.14	4.50	4.25	4.71	4.38	4.25	4.36
	3663	Farrehi, Khashayar	7	42.9%	3.67	4.00	5.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.17
	3683	Brown, David	5	40.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	3863	Rosen, Michael	26	76.9%	3.90	3.70	4.00	3.85	3.79	3.65	3.82	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.90	4.07
	3863	Zhu, Guang-Chong	17	100.0%	4.65	4.71	4.65	4.65	4.65	4.59	4.65	4.76	4.71	4.76	4.82	4.88	4.76	4.78
	4613	Brown, David	8	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.46
	4633	Ostrowski, David	4	75.0%	3.67	3.67	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.22	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	3.67	3.56
	4643	Ostrowski, David	10	30.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.72	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.56
	4653	Farrehi, Khashayar	9	55.6%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.80	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.40
	4663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	16	75.0%	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.25	4.17	4.26	4.36	3.75	4.18	4.75	4.00	4.17	4.17
	4833	Shamir, Lior	11	45.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
	4843	Shamir, Lior	7	85.7%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.72
	4993	Nolan, Godfrey	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4993	Stein, Gordon	7	42.9%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56
	5013	Al Hamando, Mazin	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	5703	El-Bathy, Mohammed	3	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	5993	Fawcett, David	6	66.7%	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.46	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42
	5993	Stein, Gordon	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
	7033	Shamir, Lior	4	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	5323	Ostrowski, David	8	62.5%	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.33	4.40	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.37
	5243	Cally, John	9	66.7%	3.33	3.00	3.00	3.67	3.00	3.17	3.19	3.00	2.67	3.00	2.83	2.67	2.67	2.81
	2124	Lowry, Pamela	14	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.00	3.86	4.25	4.00	4.29	4.71	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.50	3.88	3.98
	2124	Zalzali, Michael	19	63.2%	4.67	4.58	4.83	4.83	4.58	4.58	4.68	4.92	4.83	4.75	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.83
	4623	Sweet, Benjamin	18	61.1%	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.00	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.45	4.27	4.50	4.27	4.55	4.45	4.41
	1074	Kana, Ayman	20	35.0%	3.00	2.86	3.43	3.43	3.50	3.14	3.21	3.71	2.71	2.86	3.57	3.29	2.86	3.17
	1074	Michaels, James	21	47.6%	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.47
	1074	Zendeli, Bashkim	16	81.3%	3.92	3.67	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.77	3.83	4.08	4.00	4.00	3.92	3.85	4.00	3.97
	4863	Cartwright, Christopher	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3111	Dabkowski, Michael	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4111	Dabkowski, Michael	5	100.0%	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.77	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1653	Mills, Hans	23	69.6%	4.63	4.31	4.81	4.44	4.06	4.50	4.46	4.50	4.44	4.44	4.19	4.44	4.38	4.40
	5103	Sweet, Benjamin	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1254	Vaynberg, Yelena	27	81.5%	3.95	4.19	4.27	4.23	3.90	4.00	4.08	4.45	4.32	4.50	4.27	4.55	4.32	4.40
MGT	2113	Fadel-Bazzi, Nadia	21	47.6%	4.50	4.20	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.40	4.38	4.60	4.10	4.40	4.50	4.20	4.40	4.37
	2203	Stavros, Jacqueline	22	59.1%	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.85	4.77	4.85	4.86	4.92	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.91
	3033	Deska, Thomas	9	33.3%	4.67	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
	3103	Omrani, Massood	19	31.6%	4.17	3.83	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.97	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.39
	3113	Raghavan, Srikant	20	45.0%	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.33	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	4213	Zhu, Jianjun	12	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.31
MKT	2013	Verma, Swati	26	80.8%	4.14	4.24	4.33	4.48	4.14	4.10	4.24	4.38	4.05	4.10	4.19	4.10	4.10	4.15
	4013	Verma, Swati	11	100.0%	4.55	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.53	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.82	4.36	4.73	4.62
MSE	6183	Mynderse, James	6	50.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.44
PHY	1154	Bozin, Keith	38	71.1%	2.74	2.52	3.00	2.93	2.78	2.48	2.74	3.63	2.41	2.74	2.89	3.33	2.37	2.90
	2213	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	21	47.6%	4.20	4.22	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.24	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.30	4.30	4.30
	2213	Rands, Marilyn	22	81.8%	4.17	4.11	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.18	4.39	3.89	3.56	4.00	4.33	4.06	4.04
	2221	Chamlagain, Bhim	10	80.0%	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.50	4.13	3.75	4.13	4.13	3.38	3.50	4.25	3.75	3.25	3.71
	2221	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	11	63.6%	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.14	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.40
	2221	Karian, Harutun	9	88.9%	3.25	3.86	4.00	3.75	3.63	3.75	3.72	3.00	2.38	2.38	2.88	3.25	2.25	2.69
	2221	Moschelli, George	2	100.0%	4.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75
	2221	Pokharel, Rajendra	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
	2221	Thapa, Ranjeeta	4	25.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	2221	Zhou, Changgong	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42
	2223	Pokharel, Rajendra	17	82.4%	3.86	3.79	3.93	3.86	3.57	3.50	3.75	4.29	3.14	3.79	3.86	4.14	3.29	3.75
	2223	Rands, Marilyn	24	91.7%	4.50	4.45	4.50	4.55	4.36	4.45	4.47	4.77	4.68	4.73	4.73	4.86	4.77	4.76
	2231	Chamlagain, Bhim	17	52.9%	4.22	3.78	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.00	3.89	3.67	4.22	3.78	3.89	3.91
	2231	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	17	70.6%	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.39	4.75	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.53
	2231	Zhou, Changgong	7	71.4%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.53	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.87
	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	17	52.9%	4.11	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.00	3.67	3.89	4.44	4.22	4.13
	2413	Moschelli, George	36	72.2%	4.46	4.42	4.50	4.54	4.46	4.54	4.49	4.85	4.81	4.46	4.77	4.85	4.73	4.74
	2413	Schneider, Scott	54	64.8%	4.54	4.54	4.53	4.69	4.44	4.46	4.53	4.80	4.57	4.63	4.71	4.77	4.60	4.68
	2421	Chamlagain, Bhim	9	100.0%	3.67	4.11	4.11	4.00	4.33	3.67	3.98	3.22	3.22	2.78	3.44	3.67	3.11	3.24
	2421	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	8	50.0%	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.79	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.46
	2421	Karian, Harutun	10	80.0%	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.38	3.88	3.88	4.08	3.88	3.88	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.04
	2421	Moschelli, George	17	70.6%	4.40	4.30	4.44	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.34	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.45
	2421	Pokharel, Rajendra	17	47.1%	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.50
	2421	Thapa, Ranjeeta	32	59.4%	3.37	3.58	3.74	3.58	3.32	3.26	3.47	3.26	2.74	3.00	2.95	3.21	2.68	2.97
	2421	Zhou, Changgong	16	75.0%	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.08	4.08	3.92	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.27	4.25	4.25	4.29
	2423	Pokharel, Rajendra	51	84.3%	3.60	3.67	3.63	3.95	3.63	3.35	3.64	4.12	3.02	3.74	3.81	3.95	3.30	3.65
	2423	Tobos, Valentina	42	69.0%	4.48	4.48	4.28	4.48	4.24	4.52	4.41	4.69	4.52	4.55	4.62	4.79	4.48	4.61
	2431	Chamlagain, Bhim	18	94.4%	3.65	4.00	3.76	3.71	3.82	3.35	3.70	3.59	3.06	3.18	3.29	3.18	3.06	3.23
	2431	Thapa, Ranjeeta	37	70.3%	3.35	3.23	3.27	3.42	3.23	3.24	3.29	3.77	3.35	3.46	3.50	3.50	3.27	3.47
	2431	Tobos, Valentina	20	80.0%	4.13	4.00	3.88	4.19	4.31	4.00	4.08	4.56	4.25	4.31	4.31	4.44	4.25	4.35
	2431	Zhou, Changgong	19	73.7%	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.00	4.00	3.57	3.91	4.29	3.93	3.92	3.77	3.36	3.64	3.82
	4843	Tobos, Valentina	5	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.50
	4724	Zhou, Changgong	6	50.0%	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.39	5.00	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.72
PSY	1003	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	9	44.4%	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1213	Delogu, Franco	19	52.6%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.70	4.40	4.67	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.83

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	1213	Watson, Donna	11	54.5%	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.63	5.00	4.80	4.00	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.63
	3223	Delogu, Franco	10	50.0%	4.00	4.20	4.40	3.80	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	3.80	3.80	3.60	4.20	4.00	3.93
	3633	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	12	83.3%	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.70	4.80	4.85	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.85
	4113	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4213	Sirabionian, Mgrdich	8	50.0%	4.00	3.25	3.75	3.75	3.25	3.25	3.54	4.75	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.00	4.29
	4922	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	2	100.0%	3.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75
	3313	Molloy, Sara	20	60.0%	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.27	4.36	4.39	4.36	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.18	4.27	4.32
	3713	Delogu, Franco	20	50.0%	4.00	4.10	4.30	4.30	4.00	4.00	4.12	4.10	4.00	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.00	4.13
	3713	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	20	45.0%	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.70	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.85
	3713	Sirabionian, Mgrdich	8	37.5%	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.50	3.72	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.78
	2343	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	8	75.0%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.42	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.22
	2393	Novetsky, Jason	12	58.3%	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.17	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.29	4.71	4.57	4.60
SSC	2303	Kler, Marlene	26	69.2%	4.06	4.06	3.94	4.28	4.00	4.11	4.07	4.28	4.33	4.06	4.33	3.72	4.17	4.15
	2303	Mulder, Daniel	24	45.8%	4.36	4.18	4.36	4.36	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.55	4.36	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.39
	2413	Schwartz, Matthew	19	52.6%	3.90	4.20	4.20	3.67	3.60	3.40	3.81	4.80	4.50	4.40	4.10	4.80	4.30	4.48
	2413	Shargel, Daniel	22	63.6%	4.50	4.43	4.29	4.36	4.43	4.36	4.39	4.64	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.86	4.71	4.65
	2413	Vogt, Philip	41	78.0%	3.94	3.94	3.84	3.56	3.84	3.41	3.76	4.50	3.69	3.52	3.50	4.03	3.63	3.81
	2413	Wilhelm, Stephanie	17	52.9%	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.00	4.22	3.78	4.09	4.44	4.22	4.33	3.88	4.44	4.22	4.26
	2423	Camp, Clifford	13	38.5%	3.40	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.40	3.40	3.67	4.40	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.07
	2423	Hollancid, Cleran	19	47.4%	2.33	3.11	2.67	2.44	2.44	2.00	2.50	3.22	2.22	1.67	2.00	3.11	1.44	2.28
	2423	Jackson, Alanna	38	55.3%	3.90	4.10	4.05	3.67	3.60	3.75	3.80	4.43	3.86	4.10	4.10	4.38	4.05	4.15
	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	20	50.0%	4.50	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.48	4.80	4.50	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.48
	2423	Sledge, James	40	70.0%	4.61	4.50	4.54	4.64	4.57	4.46	4.55	4.89	4.82	4.82	4.86	4.89	4.86	4.86
	2423	Wilhelm, Stephanie	9	77.8%	3.86	3.29	3.43	3.57	2.71	2.71	3.26	4.00	3.43	3.43	3.43	4.17	3.29	3.55
	3133	Vogt, Philip	10	70.0%	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.29	3.86	3.57	4.07	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.57	3.71	4.29
	3613	Shargel, Daniel	39	64.1%	4.12	4.12	4.08	3.96	3.84	3.92	4.01	4.16	4.20	4.16	3.96	4.16	4.08	4.12
	3583	Kler, Marlene	21	52.4%	4.18	3.91	4.27	4.18	3.82	4.00	4.06	4.45	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.24
	2403	Mulder, Daniel	16	62.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70
	3363	Shamir, Mirit	29	86.2%	4.08	4.20	4.04	4.12	3.68	3.72	3.97	4.12	4.16	4.48	4.32	4.36	4.16	4.27
TAS	1033	Nelson, Robert	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.58
	3043	Blau, Ben	12	50.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.89
	3053	Blau, Ben	8	62.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	3.80	4.60	4.60	4.47	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.57
	4103	Breest, Christopher	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
	4142	Breest, Christopher	3	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00
TCE	3013	Hammond, Jason	14	57.1%	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.85	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88
	3033	Lamb, Katherine	10	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.75	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.70	4.80	4.73
	3123	Hammond, Jason	13	61.5%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	4133	Jones-Williams, Tawanasha	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.73
	3093	Kana, Ayman	11	36.4%	3.75	3.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	3.25	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.75	3.79

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
TEE	2053	Schlick, William	7	71.4%	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.58
	2093	Schlick, William	2	50.0%														
	3103	Cook, Kenneth	23	69.6%	4.31	4.31	4.38	4.31	4.25	4.38	4.32	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.56	4.55
	3103	Grevemeyer, Bryce	12	33.3%	4.25	4.67	4.25	4.75	4.00	4.25	4.36	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50
	6333	Rakouth, Heritianarisoa	10	50.0%	3.80	3.20	2.60	2.80	3.20	2.80	3.07	2.80	1.80	2.20	2.40	2.20	1.60	2.17
	4214	Sacchetti, David	19	84.2%	4.60	4.67	4.71	4.60	4.47	4.64	4.58	4.67	4.71	4.60	4.67	4.80	4.73	4.69
TIE	2093	Cuper, Jerry	25	88.0%	4.86	4.86	4.73	4.82	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.77	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.77	4.73	4.81
	3063	Cuper, Jerry	16	81.3%	4.85	4.92	5.00	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.91	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92
	4115	Cook, Kenneth	12	83.3%	4.70	4.60	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.63	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89
	5013	Abro, Sabah	11	54.5%	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.69	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.81
	4913	Studstill, Jason	8	75.0%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.53	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.75
	4913	Szewczyk, Waldemar	6	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56
TME	1023	Cuper, Jerry	19	84.2%	4.56	4.44	4.69	4.63	4.44	4.38	4.52	4.63	4.69	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.69	4.65
	3113	Hermez, Munther	23	87.0%	4.05	4.10	4.10	4.00	3.95	3.85	4.01	3.95	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.10	3.70	3.83
	3113	Kana, Ayman	12	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.75	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.42
	3333	Abro, Sabah	25	92.0%	4.30	4.17	4.26	4.22	4.17	4.17	4.22	4.43	4.04	4.26	4.26	4.35	4.26	4.27
	3333	Hambir, Tesfu	12	33.3%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.38
	4103	Camiener, Alan	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.56	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4113	Sepulveda, Roland	9	77.8%	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.88	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.93
	4413	Cuper, Jerry	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.88	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.92
	4343	Abro, Sabah	17	70.6%	4.83	4.75	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3204	Hermez, Munther	17	70.6%	4.00	4.25	4.17	4.17	3.83	4.08	4.08	4.25	4.17	4.08	4.08	4.25	4.17	4.17
Total/Average			11,139	63.1%	4.24	4.23	4.27	4.20	4.15	4.15	4.20	4.46	4.23	4.31	4.26	4.37	4.27	4.31