

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ACC	2023	Petro, Louis	23	56.5%	4.15	4.00	4.46	4.31	4.15	3.85	4.15	4.46	4.15	4.31	4.23	4.08	4.15	4.23
ACC	5003	Kirkwood, Virginia	20	55.0%	3.91	3.82	4.36	3.82	3.73	4.09	3.95	4.64	4.00	4.55	4.18	4.64	4.45	4.41
ACC	6003	Kirkwood, Virginia	20	35.0%	4.71	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.57	4.48	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.48
ACC	6003	Petro, Louis	6	50.0%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.39	5.00	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.72
ARC	1012	Rudy, Gretchen	17	64.7%	3.91	3.91	3.82	4.00	3.91	3.73	3.88	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.09	4.17
ARC	1213	Crutcher, Kenneth	7	85.7%	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.50	3.50	3.83	3.00	4.33	4.00	3.86
ARC	1213	Lichomski, Peter	14	78.6%	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.76	4.91	4.73	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.91	4.77
ARC	1223	Bales, Fernando	12	83.3%	3.89	3.70	3.60	3.40	3.60	3.70	3.62	3.60	3.40	3.90	4.00	3.90	3.50	3.72
ARC	1223	Dineen, Mark	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61
ARC	1223	Maricak, Gretchen	13	53.8%	4.29	4.57	4.86	3.86	4.71	4.86	4.52	5.00	4.14	4.29	3.86	5.00	4.86	4.52
ARC	1223	Roberson, Pierre	14	78.6%	3.18	3.36	3.55	3.09	3.55	3.36	3.35	4.00	3.09	3.64	3.73	3.45	3.18	3.52
ARC	1223	Wetzzel, Jesse	11	72.7%	3.13	3.38	3.50	2.75	3.75	3.75	3.38	3.88	2.63	3.63	3.00	3.38	3.13	3.27
ARC	1223	Zmuda, Frank	13	92.3%	4.42	4.36	4.58	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.40	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.08	4.58	4.58	4.49
ARC	2117	Dineen, Mark	8	75.0%	4.83	4.17	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.33	4.39	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.83	4.75
ARC	2117	Kelly, Erin	8	75.0%	3.33	3.33	3.00	2.83	2.50	2.17	2.86	3.50	2.00	1.67	2.50	1.67	1.60	2.22
ARC	2126	Bales, Fernando	10	80.0%	3.63	3.63	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.13	3.48	3.50	3.25	3.13	3.38	3.13	3.43	3.29
ARC	2126	Christensen, Ann	4	50.0%	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	5.00	4.00	3.92	4.50	4.00	3.00	2.50	4.00	3.50	3.58
ARC	2126	Dineen, Mark	12	58.3%	4.29	4.71	4.29	4.67	4.57	4.43	4.45	4.57	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.36
ARC	2126	Eichenlaub, Beverly	10	80.0%	3.88	3.38	3.50	3.50	3.88	3.25	3.56	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.13	3.63	3.38	3.35
ARC	2126	Elkin, Daniel	12	91.7%	3.55	3.36	3.73	1.90	3.82	4.18	3.47	4.00	2.82	3.55	1.89	4.36	3.45	3.42
ARC	2126	Feng, Jin	10	50.0%	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40
ARC	2126	Maricak, Gretchen	12	58.3%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.14	4.00	4.43	4.31	4.00	4.29	3.57	4.00	4.33	4.00	3.98
ARC	2126	O'Geen, Charles	8	87.5%	3.57	3.00	3.43	2.71	2.71	3.43	3.14	3.14	2.57	2.00	2.43	2.57	2.57	2.55
ARC	2126	Pease, Randolph	4	50.0%	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50
ARC	2126	Stevens, James	10	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.64	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.75
ARC	2126	Swanson, Karen	12	83.3%	3.60	3.70	3.50	2.44	4.20	4.00	3.60	4.30	2.60	3.40	1.89	4.00	3.50	3.32
ARC	2126	Swift, Amy	8	87.5%	3.57	3.14	3.29	3.71	3.57	3.43	3.45	3.57	3.14	3.43	3.00	3.57	3.43	3.36
ARC	2321	Ragheb, Ashraf	78	82.1%	3.95	4.02	3.95	3.89	3.75	3.76	3.88	3.87	3.93	4.08	4.00	4.23	3.80	3.97
ARC	2323	Abernethy, James	15	73.3%	3.91	4.09	4.36	4.55	3.91	4.09	4.11	4.27	3.91	4.36	4.36	4.45	4.18	4.26
ARC	2323	Goldsmith, Paul	14	64.3%	3.33	3.22	3.67	3.33	3.25	3.11	3.31	4.00	3.11	3.78	3.22	4.67	3.22	3.67
ARC	2323	Herzog, Ronald	15	93.3%	4.07	4.14	4.36	4.07	4.00	4.14	4.13	4.71	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.62
ARC	2323	Mosley, Michael	10	60.0%	4.00	4.67	4.17	3.67	4.00	4.17	4.11	4.50	3.67	4.17	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.17
ARC	2323	Ragheb, Ashraf	15	100.0%	3.86	3.79	4.21	3.21	3.93	4.14	3.86	4.14	3.86	4.14	3.57	4.57	4.00	4.05
ARC	2323	Tiseo, Benedetto	14	92.9%	4.00	3.77	4.08	3.46	3.23	3.69	3.71	4.50	3.69	3.85	3.38	4.08	3.85	3.85
ARC	2333	Tiseo, Benedetto	8	75.0%	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.42	5.00	4.83	4.50	4.17	5.00	4.50	4.67
ARC	2514	Kubinski, Joseph	15	66.7%	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.80	3.70	3.44	3.74	4.00	3.00	3.60	3.50	3.00	3.20	3.38
ARC	2813	Dean, Kristen	8	62.5%	3.00	3.60	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.60	3.53	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.60	4.20	3.80	3.80
ARC	2813	Jones, Aaron	10	90.0%	4.00	3.78	4.11	3.56	4.22	3.67	3.89	4.33	4.00	4.33	3.78	4.56	4.11	4.19
ARC	2813	Kamath, Ayodh	3	66.7%	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.83	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.50	4.00
ARC	2843	Grant, Janice	6	83.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	3011	LeRoy, Glen	9	55.6%	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.00	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.80	3.20	4.40	4.40	4.75	4.20	4.23
ARC	3012	LeRoy, Glen	3	66.7%	2.50	3.00	3.50	2.50	3.00	2.50	2.83	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.50	4.00	3.00	3.08
ARC	3013	LeRoy, Glen	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.83	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.49
ARC	3117	O'Geen, Charles	2	100.0%	3.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.17	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.67
ARC	3117	Shall, Scott	2	100.0%	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92
ARC	3126	Adhya, Anirban	12	50.0%	4.33	3.83	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.14	4.50	4.17	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.11
ARC	3126	Bodurov, Constance	13	84.6%	3.36	3.36	3.73	2.82	3.27	3.36	3.32	4.18	3.00	2.64	2.91	3.27	3.36	3.23
ARC	3126	Ferguson, Justin	15	73.3%	3.55	3.36	3.36	3.55	3.73	3.73	3.55	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.27
ARC	3126	Hennebury, Deirdre	16	93.8%	4.07	4.13	4.33	4.07	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.53	4.53	4.80	4.33	4.64	4.60	4.57
ARC	3126	Kelly, Erin	6	83.3%	1.60	2.80	2.40	2.20	2.00	2.40	2.23	4.00	2.00	2.80	2.20	3.00	2.40	2.73
ARC	3126	Kim, Joongsob	6	66.7%	2.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.75	3.25	2.96	4.50	3.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	3.75	3.92

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ARC	5724	Kim, Joongsub	2	100.0%	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	2.92	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.00	4.50	4.00	3.75
ARC	5752	Linn, Robert	4	100.0%	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.88
ARC	5762	Goodwin, Malik	8	100.0%	4.63	4.25	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.75	4.56	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.79
ARC	5772	Nickita, Mark	3	100.0%	4.67	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.67	4.00	4.22	4.67	4.67	4.33	3.33	4.33	4.67	4.33
ARC	5782	Adhya, Anirban	4	100.0%	4.00	4.50	4.75	3.50	3.75	4.00	4.08	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.58
ARC	5824	Abela, John	8	75.0%	4.50	4.40	4.33	4.17	4.83	4.67	4.48	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.58
ARC	5824	Farlow, Mark	8	100.0%	4.13	4.38	4.57	3.75	4.38	4.50	4.26	4.75	4.63	5.00	4.25	4.63	4.63	4.65
ARC	5824	Jones, Aaron	6	83.3%	3.20	4.40	4.60	3.60	4.40	4.40	4.10	4.40	3.80	4.60	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.20
ARC	5824	Kamath, Ayodh	10	100.0%	4.67	4.60	4.70	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.57	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.44	4.78	4.67	4.69
ARC	5824	Orlowski, Edward	5	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.87
ARC	5824	Skidmore, Douglas	8	87.5%	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.00	4.71	4.86	4.50	4.86	4.43	4.71	4.29	5.00	4.86	4.69
ARC	5824	Stevens, James	10	90.0%	4.56	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.56	4.35	4.56	4.78	4.89	4.56	5.00	5.00	4.80
ARC	5913	Harmala, John	16	56.3%	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.54	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
ARC	5913	Ward, Eric	17	52.9%	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.65	4.78	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.61
ARC	5942	Herzog, Ronald	12	83.3%	4.30	4.80	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.57	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.70	4.90	4.80	4.80
ARC	5952	Harmala, John	13	53.8%	3.71	3.57	4.14	3.29	3.86	3.71	3.71	4.00	3.29	3.71	3.71	3.57	4.00	3.71
ARC	6002	Chidester, Jacob	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.39
ARC	6002	Grant, Janice	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
ARC	6002	Marji, Jessica	6	83.3%	4.00	3.80	4.40	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.03	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.20	4.50	4.00	4.23
ARC	6524	Wang, Paul	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
ARC	6833	Jones, Aaron	7	71.4%	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.40	3.80	3.80	3.73	3.40	3.20	3.40	3.00	3.60	3.00	3.27
ARC	6882	Means, Janice	8	75.0%	1.83	2.67	2.33	1.67	2.17	2.17	2.14	2.17	2.00	2.33	1.67	3.00	2.17	2.22
ARC	6882	Schwartz, Martin	8	37.5%	4.33	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.17	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
ARC	6882	Shall, Scott	5	20.0%	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	6883	Nowicki, Michael	9	88.9%	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.79
ARC	6883	Shall, Scott	3	66.7%	3.00	4.50	4.50	3.50	5.00	5.00	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.17
ARC	6883	Yu, Daihong	9	88.9%	1.63	1.63	1.75	1.63	1.75	1.50	1.65	2.13	1.63	2.38	2.14	2.38	1.75	2.06
ARC	6884	Habba, Faris	9	100.0%	4.14	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.86	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.14	4.00	4.63	4.38	4.34
ARC	6884	Nelson, Ralph	9	100.0%	4.33	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.78	4.89	4.59	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.72
ARC	6884	Yu, Daihong	9	100.0%	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.13	3.83	1.78	1.63	2.00	1.60	2.56	1.56	1.88
ARC	6903	DiLaura, Lenard	3	100.0%	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56
ARI	3123	DiLaura, Lenard	12	83.3%	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.12	4.30	4.30	3.90	3.90	4.10	4.30	4.13
ARI	3124	Swanson, Karen	7	85.7%	3.50	3.67	4.17	3.50	3.83	4.00	3.78	4.33	3.67	4.50	3.50	4.33	4.00	4.06
ARI	4123	Kim, Joongsub	14	57.1%	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.19	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.54
ARI	4134	Beaugard, Peter	7	42.9%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.89	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.39
ARI	4134	Deines, Amy	7	42.9%	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.89	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.50
ARI	4134	Feng, Jin	7	71.4%	4.00	4.00	4.40	3.80	3.80	4.40	4.07	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.63
ARI	4922	DiLaura, Lenard	5	80.0%	4.75	4.25	3.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.38
ARI	5083	Kim, Joongsub	9	66.7%	3.67	3.33	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.17	3.44	4.00	3.50	4.17	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.86
ARI	5123	Swanson, Karen	2	100.0%	2.50	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.08	3.50	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.54
ARI	5124	Feng, Jin	5	60.0%	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.94	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67
ARI	5143	Feng, Jin	8	62.5%	4.60	4.20	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.57	4.80	4.60	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.87
ARI	5824	Phillips, Keith	3	100.0%	4.67	3.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.28
ARI	5994	Blendowski, Aaron	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
ARI	5994	Deines, Kelly	2	50.0%	2.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.33	4.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.67
ARI	6514	Feng, Jin	2	100.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
ART	1113	Welch, Elizabeth	13	69.2%	4.78	4.44	4.78	4.78	4.33	4.44	4.59	4.56	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.78	4.33	4.44
ART	1133	Craig, Jack	11	63.6%	4.00	4.14	4.29	3.43	4.57	4.57	4.17	4.86	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.57	4.42
ART	1133	Dilaura, Vicki	17	70.6%	3.92	3.50	4.00	3.67	4.17	4.08	3.89	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.58	3.92	4.00	3.84
ART	1133	Hanna, Vance	18	77.8%	3.57	4.00	3.93	3.64	4.29	4.07	3.92	4.79	3.14	4.14	3.64	4.79	4.21	4.12

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ART	1133	Rudy, Gretchen	18	88.9%	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.13	4.44	4.31	4.31	4.88	4.44	4.44	4.00	4.56	4.50	4.47
ART	1133	Schanck, Christopher	17	76.5%	3.77	4.00	4.08	3.46	4.00	4.00	3.88	4.31	3.85	4.31	3.31	4.23	4.00	4.00
ART	1133	Storm, Dean	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.78
ART	2113	Candor, Patricia	13	69.2%	4.22	4.63	4.67	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.40	4.78	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.67	4.44	4.44
ART	2113	Craig, Jack	6	83.3%	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.50	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.67
ART	2223	Hanna, Vance	9	66.7%	4.33	4.40	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.36	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.83	4.33	4.53
ART	2523	Youngblood, Elizabeth	7	57.1%	2.25	2.75	3.25	2.25	3.00	2.75	2.71	2.75	2.25	2.75	2.50	3.00	2.25	2.53
ART	2623	Collins, Francesco	17	82.4%	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.50	4.42	4.86	4.64	4.43	4.15	4.50	4.57	4.54
ART	2813	Haley, Michael	11	90.9%	4.70	4.30	4.60	4.30	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.58
ART	2813	Kayganich, Robert	6	66.7%	3.25	3.75	3.25	3.25	3.75	3.75	3.50	4.00	3.00	3.75	3.75	4.25	3.75	3.75
ART	2993	Cooley, Phillip	6	83.3%	3.60	4.40	4.20	3.75	4.60	3.80	4.06	4.80	4.00	4.40	3.40	4.80	4.60	4.33
ART	2993	Coy, Steven	6	466.7%	4.32	4.50	4.57	4.29	4.50	4.71	4.48	4.73	4.42	4.58	4.32	4.96	4.77	4.63
ART	3033	Holt, Trisha	16	68.8%	3.73	3.45	3.73	3.00	3.64	3.64	3.53	4.27	3.82	3.36	2.73	4.09	3.82	3.68
ART	3043	Coy, Steven	15	86.7%	4.55	4.64	4.82	4.36	4.64	4.64	4.61	4.60	4.50	4.55	4.40	4.73	4.80	4.60
ART	3323	Von Buskirk, Jeffrey	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ART	3343	Haley, Michael	14	42.9%	4.00	3.83	3.83	4.17	3.83	4.00	3.94	3.33	3.50	4.17	4.50	4.00	3.83	3.89
ART	3513	Crum, Lilian	13	69.2%	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.61	4.89	4.56	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.70
ART	3623	Coy, Steven	4	50.0%	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.25	5.00	4.00	5.00	3.50	5.00	5.00	4.38
ART	3633	Moore, Jeanne	19	57.9%	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.27	4.09	4.18	4.14	4.36	4.27	4.00	4.36	4.55	4.36	4.32
ART	3643	Rudy, Gretchen	16	75.0%	4.00	4.17	4.08	4.08	3.25	3.58	3.86	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.08	4.67	4.25	4.33
ART	3993	Almstead, Blake	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ART	4113	Rudy, Gretchen	8	75.0%	3.67	2.67	3.17	3.50	2.50	2.33	2.97	4.50	4.00	3.17	2.40	3.00	2.17	3.22
ART	4513	Kleist, William	10	70.0%	3.57	3.50	3.71	3.86	3.00	3.29	3.47	4.00	3.71	3.00	3.71	4.14	3.00	3.56
ART	4524	Crum, Lilian	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50
ART	4622	Crum, Lilian	11	81.8%	4.33	4.00	4.11	3.89	4.11	3.78	4.04	4.22	4.00	4.56	3.89	4.56	4.22	4.24
ART	4624	Beaugard, Peter	5	80.0%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.13	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.46
ART	4624	Crum, Lilian	5	80.0%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.75	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	5.00	4.75	4.63
ART	4922	Welch, Elizabeth	13	84.6%	4.40	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.27	4.27	4.41	4.60	4.73	4.82	4.73	4.73	4.64	4.71
ART	5513	Stevens, Jason	5	80.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.72	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.89
ART	5514	Deines, Kelly	7	85.7%	4.00	3.80	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.07	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.30
ART	5522	Almstead, Blake	7	85.7%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.67
ART	5532	DuBois, Brian	8	87.5%	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.29	4.24
ATD	1924	English, Derek	14	57.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	1924	Falenski, Jason	14	64.3%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.67	5.00	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.78
ATD	1924	Gilmore, Steven	14	64.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	1924	Nagara, Keith	14	64.3%	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.70
ATD	1924	Nichols, Christopher	14	64.3%	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.94
ATD	2823	Barkley, Nicholas	11	81.8%	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.83
ATD	2826	Cooper, Stuart	11	81.8%	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.76
ATD	2826	Crowley, Joseph	11	81.8%	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.74	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.74
ATD	2826	English, Derek	11	81.8%	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.76	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86
ATD	2826	Falenski, Jason	11	81.8%	4.11	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.11	3.78	4.00	4.44	4.00	2.78	3.22	4.22	3.89	3.76
ATD	2826	Nelson, Christopher	11	81.8%	1.44	1.78	1.89	1.67	1.56	1.67	1.67	3.00	2.11	3.00	2.33	1.33	1.56	2.22
ATD	2933	Vandenbrink, Lloyd	17	76.5%	4.92	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.92	4.92	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.77	4.69	4.77	5.00	4.87
ATD	3626	Aneiros, Jeffrey	8	62.5%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.10	3.80	3.80	4.40	4.20	3.60	4.00	3.97
ATD	3626	Bonathan, Colin	8	62.5%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.23
ATD	3626	Nagara, Keith	8	62.5%	3.60	4.00	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.73	4.00	3.60	4.20	3.60	4.40	4.00	3.97
ATD	3726	Hanzel, Andrew	6	83.3%	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.23	4.80	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.23
ATD	3726	Nelson, Christopher	6	83.3%	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.00	3.80	4.40	4.20	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63
ATD	3726	Zhang, Jing	6	83.3%	1.80	3.20	2.60	1.60	2.00	2.00	2.20	4.40	1.60	3.00	1.60	3.60	2.00	2.70
ATD	4524	Palazzolo, Joseph	12	75.0%	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.74

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ATD	4526	Farcas, Cristian	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	3.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.42
ATD	4526	Gaffka, Douglas	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BIO	1153	Lopez-Diaz, Ly mari	12	66.7%	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.13	3.50	4.17	3.98	4.38	3.88	4.13	4.00	4.14	4.17	4.11
BIO	1213	Williams, Antoniette	14	64.3%	4.78	4.11	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.63	4.67	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.78	4.78	4.61
BIO	1221	Williams, Antoniette	15	60.0%	4.56	4.22	4.67	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.41	4.89	4.33	4.44	4.22	4.89	4.56	4.56
BIO	1223	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	17	88.2%	4.00	4.27	3.43	4.27	4.40	4.29	4.10	4.80	4.40	4.53	4.33	4.73	4.40	4.53
BIO	1231	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	17	88.2%	4.33	4.47	4.33	4.33	4.20	4.27	4.32	4.87	4.40	4.40	4.47	4.80	4.67	4.60
BIO	2201	Morrissette, Jeffery	9	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BIO	2203	Morrissette, Jeffery	8	62.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BIO	2323	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	11	90.9%	4.50	4.70	4.30	4.50	4.20	4.60	4.47	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.63
BIO	6273	Tucker, Tamara	3	66.7%	3.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.17	3.00	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.33
BME	1002	Meyer, Eric	15	73.3%	3.55	4.09	4.27	3.27	3.82	3.91	3.82	4.36	3.91	3.90	3.36	4.36	4.09	4.01
BME	1091	Meyer, Eric	5	60.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
BME	1101	Li, Yawen	5	80.0%	3.75	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.25	4.00	3.99	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.08
BME	1201	Leach, Michelle	20	85.0%	3.71	3.94	4.12	4.18	4.00	3.76	3.94	4.18	4.12	4.24	4.29	4.18	4.29	4.22
BME	2201	Morrissette, Jeffery	11	81.8%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89
BME	2203	Morrissette, Jeffery	13	76.9%	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.68	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.85
BME	3002	Meyer, Eric	13	38.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
BME	3101	Nasir, Mansoor	16	43.8%	4.14	3.57	4.00	3.71	3.71	3.86	3.85	4.57	3.86	3.71	4.00	4.43	4.00	4.10
BME	3103	Nasir, Mansoor	17	41.2%	3.86	3.86	4.14	3.57	3.71	4.14	3.88	4.71	3.86	3.86	4.29	4.43	3.86	4.17
BME	3703	Li, Yawen	15	20.0%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	3.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33
BME	4022	Nasir, Mansoor	12	33.3%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
BME	4201	Li, Yawen	8	12.5%	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
BME	4203	Li, Yawen	13	7.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
BME	4313	Meyer, Eric	18	16.7%	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.22
BME	5093	Meyer, Eric	10	20.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
BME	5093	Nasir, Mansoor	5	20.0%	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
CHM	1154	Woodworth, James	21	61.9%	3.77	3.85	3.69	3.92	3.46	3.85	3.76	4.31	3.77	4.15	4.00	4.15	3.92	4.05
CHM	1213	Appleby, Lavetta	30	73.3%	4.09	3.91	4.05	4.09	4.05	3.82	4.00	4.32	4.05	4.33	3.95	4.00	4.16	4.16
CHM	1213	Madden, William	35	74.3%	3.92	3.77	3.65	3.77	3.69	3.62	3.74	3.96	3.58	3.85	3.73	3.85	3.58	3.76
CHM	1221	Appleby, Lavetta	16	75.0%	4.17	4.17	3.83	4.25	4.17	3.83	4.07	3.83	4.17	3.92	3.75	4.00	4.33	4.00
CHM	1221	Dean, Walter	8	62.5%	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.30	3.80	3.20	3.60	4.20	4.40	3.60	3.80
CHM	1221	Holubka, Joseph	3	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
CHM	1221	Madden, William	38	78.9%	4.40	4.37	4.40	4.47	4.43	4.30	4.39	4.67	4.40	4.17	4.40	4.33	4.23	4.37
CHM	1221	Woodworth, James	5	80.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.96
CHM	1223	Sky, Anthony	17	82.4%	4.07	4.00	4.29	4.07	4.14	4.21	4.13	4.64	3.57	3.36	4.00	4.07	3.77	3.90
CHM	1232	Sky, Anthony	12	83.3%	3.80	3.80	4.33	3.60	4.00	4.00	3.89	4.20	3.20	3.40	3.60	3.80	3.60	3.63
CHM	2323	Aviles, Gladys	9	100.0%	3.78	3.67	4.22	3.75	3.56	3.78	3.78	4.00	3.33	4.22	4.13	4.33	3.89	3.98
CHM	2332	Timmons, Shannon	8	100.0%	4.50	4.88	4.88	4.50	4.88	4.88	4.75	5.00	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.88	4.63	4.67
CHM	2631	Villeneuve, Nicole	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58
CHM	3001	Madden, William	3	66.7%	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.50	3.00	3.75	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00
CHM	3144	Holubka, Joseph	6	83.3%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.43
CHM	3392	Villeneuve, Nicole	3	100.0%	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
CHM	3423	Madden, William	6	83.3%	3.20	3.00	4.00	3.40	3.80	3.20	3.43	5.00	3.40	3.40	3.60	4.40	3.40	3.87
CHM	3431	Madden, William	6	83.3%	3.80	3.75	4.20	3.80	3.60	3.20	3.75	5.00	3.20	3.40	3.60	4.40	3.40	3.83
CHM	3592	Villeneuve, Nicole	4	100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.29	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.54
CHM	4403	Timmons, Shannon	4	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
COM	94	Frenkel, Cindy	11	81.8%	3.67	3.67	3.56	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.70	3.89	3.78	4.11	3.56	4.11	3.78	3.87

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
COM	94	Moyer, Daniel	11	54.5%	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.64	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.86
COM	1001	Gaber, Jody	100	48.0%	4.24	3.98	4.17	4.09	4.00	4.06	4.08	4.32	4.36	4.40	4.28	4.45	4.47	4.38
COM	1103	Cady, Paul	19	78.9%	4.13	4.20	4.40	4.13	4.40	4.33	4.27	4.47	4.20	4.36	4.47	4.40	4.33	4.35
COM	1103	Finkelman, Louis	21	71.4%	4.27	4.47	4.47	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.80	4.47	4.60	4.60	4.73	4.60	4.63
COM	1103	Moyer, Daniel	18	72.2%	4.31	4.08	4.15	4.15	4.23	4.38	4.22	4.31	4.23	4.38	3.92	4.15	4.54	4.26
COM	1103	Weinstein, Melinda	18	77.8%	4.14	4.00	4.14	3.71	4.21	4.00	4.04	4.07	3.93	3.93	3.93	4.07	3.79	3.95
COM	1103	Wilhelm, Stephanie	17	76.5%	4.50	4.31	4.15	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.33	4.54	4.38	4.31	4.23	4.46	4.38	4.38
COM	1111	Abbas, Nawal	6	100.0%	4.33	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.83
COM	2103	Conner, John	39	61.5%	4.67	4.00	4.63	4.54	4.50	4.50	4.47	4.75	4.79	4.75	4.63	4.79	4.83	4.76
COM	2103	Evans, Karen	19	89.5%	4.12	3.69	4.41	4.00	3.88	4.06	4.03	4.65	4.59	4.63	4.35	4.65	4.65	4.58
COM	2103	Floyd, Stacha	56	67.9%	4.29	3.71	4.32	4.29	4.21	4.26	4.18	4.42	4.21	4.37	4.21	4.26	4.26	4.29
COM	2103	Gullen, Christopher	18	61.1%	3.73	4.09	4.45	4.09	3.64	3.91	3.98	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.44
COM	2103	Mack, April	58	74.1%	4.35	4.12	4.40	4.35	4.37	4.33	4.32	4.65	4.30	4.44	4.12	4.72	4.47	4.45
COM	2113	Stavish, Corinne	23	47.8%	4.55	4.36	4.36	4.09	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.38
COM	2443	Gullen, Christopher	9	77.8%	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.00	4.00	4.29	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.48
COM	3113	Evans, Karen	13	53.8%	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.45	4.45	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.62
COM	3543	Glennan, Thomas	5	80.0%	4.50	3.75	3.75	4.50	4.00	4.75	4.21	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.92
COM	3563	Stavish, Corinne	10	50.0%	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.87	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.93
COM	4963	Kelber, Nathaniel	9	88.9%	3.88	4.38	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.38	4.15	4.88	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.88	4.63	4.73
COM	6103	Glennan, Thomas	5	80.0%	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.92
COM	6473	Nunez, Richard	5	60.0%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.28	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78
COM	6543	Glennan, Thomas	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.28	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
EAE	1093	Voronovich, Donna	9	77.8%	3.43	4.14	4.29	3.00	3.71	3.43	3.67	5.00	3.86	4.71	3.71	4.57	4.29	4.36
EAE	1093	Walters, Filza	8	87.5%	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.33	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.74
EAE	3014	Bebawy, Mena	14	71.4%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.22	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.52
EAE	3014	Nelson, Ralph	14	92.9%	4.42	4.33	4.58	4.25	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.58	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.75	4.50	4.49
EAE	3613	Walters, Filza	11	100.0%	3.55	4.18	4.00	3.82	3.73	3.73	3.83	4.36	3.82	3.82	4.18	4.27	3.91	4.06
EAE	3991	Yu, Daihong	9	100.0%	1.63	1.75	1.88	1.50	1.38	1.50	1.60	2.00	1.50	2.13	1.86	2.38	1.50	1.90
EAE	4024	Faoro, Daniel	8	87.5%	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.43	3.71	3.86	4.14	4.00	3.86	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.00	4.17
EAE	4024	Yu, Daihong	8	75.0%	3.83	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.83	3.83	4.03	3.33	2.67	3.33	4.50	4.00	2.67	3.08
EAE	4613	Yu, Daihong	11	90.9%	2.50	2.90	3.30	2.60	2.30	2.70	2.70	2.60	2.00	2.40	3.20	3.33	2.20	2.60
EAE	4623	Chahine, John	9	88.9%	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.38	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.94
ECE	1011	Carpenter, Donald	16	81.3%	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.46	4.42	4.77	4.69	4.54	4.54	4.69	4.69	4.65
ECE	1013	Livernois, Michael	5	60.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.33	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.94
ECE	1102	Kozak, Crystal	16	100.0%	4.69	4.69	4.81	4.63	4.75	4.81	4.73	4.75	4.63	4.81	4.69	4.63	4.81	4.72
ECE	1413	Mata, Luis	22	95.5%	4.52	4.52	4.57	4.43	4.48	4.52	4.51	4.76	4.57	4.57	4.52	4.67	4.67	4.63
ECE	2103	Henson, Susan	20	65.0%	4.08	3.54	4.23	4.46	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.23	4.15	4.50	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.32
ECE	3013	El-Essawi, Mohamed	7	71.4%	4.20	4.00	5.00	4.40	3.60	3.60	4.13	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.10
ECE	3213	Mata, Luis	11	90.9%	4.50	4.40	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.55	4.60	4.20	4.50	4.33	4.70	4.70	4.50
ECE	3324	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	16	87.5%	4.36	4.29	4.07	4.14	3.93	4.14	4.15	4.57	4.36	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.36	4.38
ECE	3424	Bandara, Nishantha	12	91.7%	4.64	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.27	4.45	4.44	4.45	4.09	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.39
ECE	3523	Zager, Nicholas	7	85.7%	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.81
ECE	3723	Kowalkowski, Keith	20	100.0%	4.45	4.40	4.55	4.30	4.35	4.40	4.41	4.75	4.55	4.50	4.25	4.70	4.55	4.55
ECE	3823	Bandara, Nishantha	11	81.8%	4.50	4.13	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.44	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.33
ECE	4032	Mata, Luis	27	70.4%	3.89	3.83	4.00	3.58	3.95	4.00	3.88	4.17	4.00	4.22	4.00	4.39	4.17	4.16
ECE	4051	Carpenter, Donald	15	80.0%	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.39	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.42	4.67	4.50	4.58
ECE	4243	Tocco, John	10	60.0%	4.33	4.17	3.83	3.17	4.50	4.17	4.03	4.67	3.83	3.83	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.06
ECE	4363	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	16	93.8%	4.20	3.93	4.13	4.00	3.93	4.13	4.06	4.60	4.13	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.13	4.28
ECE	4544	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	11	90.9%	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.30	4.40	4.38	4.70	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.48

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EME	6663	Ali, Sk	9	77.8%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.83	4.67	4.71	4.69	4.71	4.86	5.00	4.67	4.71	4.71	4.77
EME	6713	Chestnut, Jacqueline	11	63.6%	3.86	3.29	3.86	4.14	3.71	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.71	4.14	3.86	4.00	3.57	3.81
EME	6753	Alwerfalli, Daw	21	61.9%	4.54	4.69	4.46	4.54	4.46	4.69	4.56	4.62	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.77	4.69	4.56
EME	7203	Ali, Sk	13	61.5%	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.06
EME	7303	Choucair, Hassan	7	42.9%	3.00	3.67	4.00	4.33	2.67	3.00	3.44	3.33	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.56
ERE	2024	Kfoury, Giscard	7	100.0%	4.29	4.29	4.57	3.71	4.29	4.29	4.24	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.52
ERE	3024	Kfoury, Giscard	2	100.0%	3.50	3.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.92	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
ESL	112	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	6	66.7%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.21	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.63
ESL	132	Bauer, Emily	14	85.7%	4.33	4.33	4.25	4.25	3.92	3.83	4.15	4.25	4.42	4.55	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.45
ESL	132	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	13	61.5%	4.63	4.75	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.50
ESL	152	Finlay, Suzanne	10	80.0%	4.38	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.21	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.29
ESL	212	Edward, Pauline	5	80.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
ESL	232	Bennett, Douglas	11	81.8%	3.00	3.00	3.33	3.00	2.78	3.29	2.98	3.11	2.89	3.25	3.00	3.00	3.11	3.02
ESL	232	Jawad, Megdieh	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
ESL	252	Bennett, Douglas	19	73.7%	2.86	2.71	3.07	3.14	2.71	3.00	2.92	3.29	3.57	3.57	3.86	3.50	3.54	3.55
ESL	312	Mirza, Megan	6	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.17	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.63
ESL	332	Bauer, Emily	11	63.6%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.40	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.60
ESL	352	Bauer, Emily	14	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.92	4.83	4.75	4.85	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ESL	352	Jawad, Megdieh	5	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
ESL	412	Mirza, Megan	7	71.4%	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.33	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
ESL	432	Mirza, Megan	18	66.7%	4.25	4.25	4.08	4.00	3.75	3.92	4.04	3.92	4.08	4.08	4.08	4.08	4.17	4.07
ESL	452	Mirza, Megan	15	86.7%	4.77	4.54	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.38	4.53	4.77	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.77
ESL	512	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	7	71.4%	3.80	3.60	4.20	4.00	4.20	3.80	3.93	4.40	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.20
ESL	532	Bauer, Emily	13	69.2%	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.17	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.59
ESL	532	Bennett, Douglas	7	57.1%	3.50	2.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.46	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.88
ESL	532	Edward, Pauline	10	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.75	4.65	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.65
ESL	552	Berri, Sarah	17	52.9%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.22	4.52	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.22	4.54
ESL	552	Finlay, Suzanne	21	61.9%	3.92	4.00	4.08	3.77	3.69	3.69	3.86	4.23	4.00	3.77	3.85	4.08	4.00	3.99
ESL	622	Berri, Sarah	6	83.3%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.63	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
ESL	632	Neme, Jonathon	10	90.0%	3.67	3.56	3.67	3.89	3.67	3.78	3.70	4.22	4.00	3.89	3.89	4.00	3.67	3.94
ESL	652	Neme, Jonathon	12	58.3%	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.17	4.38	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.79
FIN	3103	Tsebro, Pavlo	21	61.9%	4.31	4.15	4.50	4.31	4.38	4.38	4.30	4.46	4.31	4.38	4.15	4.23	4.38	4.32
GAM	2133	Ashton, Marshall	4	100.0%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.50	4.50	4.33
GAM	2313	Ashton, Marshall	12	75.0%	3.56	3.56	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.78	3.59	3.78	3.56	3.44	3.44	3.56	3.44	3.54
GAM	3313	Engalan, Joe	5	60.0%	1.33	2.00	2.33	2.00	1.33	1.33	1.70	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.44
GAM	3413	Evans, Bryce	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	3.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
GAM	4524	Ashton, Marshall	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50
GLG	1103	Sklash, Michael	20	75.0%	3.64	3.79	3.87	3.47	3.20	3.20	3.50	3.73	3.73	3.87	3.87	3.53	3.43	3.69
GLG	1103	Thomas, David	20	70.0%	3.64	3.79	3.71	3.71	3.86	3.50	3.70	4.43	3.36	3.79	3.86	4.21	3.50	3.86
HRM	3023	Cusmano, Frank	24	75.0%	4.50	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.53	4.82	4.78	4.72	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.65
IDD	1124	English, Derek	7	100.0%	4.57	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.83
IDD	1124	Falenski, Jason	7	100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.86	4.43	4.71	4.86	4.69	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.93
IDD	1124	Hanzel, Andrew	7	100.0%	2.71	3.00	3.00	2.29	2.86	2.71	2.76	4.29	2.43	3.43	2.57	3.86	2.71	3.21
IDD	1223	Nagara, Keith	19	78.9%	3.60	4.00	4.07	3.87	4.13	4.00	3.94	4.07	3.60	4.33	4.27	4.07	4.27	4.10
IDD	2224	Hanzel, Andrew	6	50.0%	2.33	4.00	2.50	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.56	4.00	2.00	2.33	1.67	3.50	2.67	2.58

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
IDD	2224	McPhail, Matthew	6	66.7%	3.00	4.50	4.25	2.50	4.50	4.25	3.83	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.75	4.56
IDD	2224	Palazzolo, Joseph	6	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
IDD	2225	English, Derek	6	50.0%	2.67	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.33	3.33	3.33	5.00	4.33	4.33	3.33	5.00	5.00	4.50
IDD	2225	Falenski, Jason	6	33.3%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IDD	2225	Graf, Benjamin	6	50.0%	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.28	5.00	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.50
IDD	2225	Nelson, Christopher	6	50.0%	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.50	5.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00
IDD	3723	Baker, Brian	11	54.5%	4.00	3.83	4.50	3.67	4.00	4.17	4.03	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61
IDD	4526	Farcas, Cristian	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67
IDD	4526	Gaffka, Douglas	3	100.0%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
INT	3103	Alexandris, Athanasios	35	45.7%	4.63	4.56	4.56	4.63	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.80	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.63	4.75	4.69
INT	3603	Montgomery, Robert	23	91.3%	4.19	4.19	4.14	4.43	4.24	4.29	4.25	4.05	4.24	4.10	4.05	4.10	4.14	4.12
INT	4023	Bawcum, Angela	21	81.0%	4.24	4.29	4.29	4.35	4.47	4.35	4.33	4.41	4.18	4.41	4.18	4.24	4.35	4.29
INT	4203	Bendall, Carrie	15	60.0%	2.78	2.89	3.00	2.78	3.00	2.56	2.83	3.33	2.89	3.11	2.89	2.44	2.67	2.89
INT	4303	Montgomery, Robert	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
INT	5024	Dada, Amanullah	17	41.2%	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.71	3.86	4.31	4.67	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.46
INT	6043	Kohnke, Anne	44	59.1%	4.85	4.69	4.85	4.77	4.69	4.65	4.75	4.92	4.88	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.87
INT	6113	Ellison, Howard	12	33.3%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.54
INT	6123	Hoffner, Vernon	38	55.3%	3.90	3.86	4.05	3.67	4.00	3.95	3.90	4.05	4.00	3.81	3.86	3.90	3.95	3.93
INT	6253	Makar, Andrew	23	56.5%	4.46	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.08	4.23	4.29	4.23	4.38	4.23	4.31	4.00	4.15	4.22
INT	7233	Chasse, James	6	66.7%	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.00	3.25	3.21	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25
INT	7463	Kohnke, Anne	19	63.2%	4.25	4.42	4.58	4.42	4.33	4.17	4.36	4.67	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.58	4.50	4.46
INT	7513	Padalino, Anthony	13	76.9%	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.78	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.62
INT	7593	Allen, Victor	17	35.3%	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.31	4.00	3.83	4.33	4.50	3.83	4.17	4.11
IOE	4454	Sobolak, Steve	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IOE	4653	Nasser, Mohamed	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
LDR	2001	Craig, Brian	15	73.3%	4.00	4.27	4.00	4.18	3.55	3.55	3.92	4.82	4.36	4.64	4.55	4.82	4.55	4.62
LDR	2001	Faulkner, Ty	36	69.4%	4.44	4.28	4.32	4.12	4.00	4.00	4.19	4.48	4.44	4.44	4.52	4.60	4.44	4.49
LDR	2001	Hobart, Jamie	17	88.2%	4.60	4.67	4.53	4.80	4.53	4.40	4.59	4.73	4.80	4.86	4.73	4.80	4.87	4.80
LDR	2001	Jolly, James	5	100.0%	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.73	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
LDR	2001	Jolly, Katherine	19	63.2%	4.00	3.83	3.75	3.92	3.58	2.91	3.69	3.91	3.92	4.00	4.00	4.18	4.00	4.02
LDR	2001	Kight, Amanda	17	64.7%	4.73	4.64	4.45	4.73	4.55	4.45	4.59	4.73	4.73	4.64	4.73	4.64	4.91	4.73
LDR	2001	Nagara, Keith	20	75.0%	3.40	3.60	3.77	3.33	3.47	3.07	3.42	4.00	3.60	3.71	3.71	3.67	3.60	3.70
LDR	2001	Nation, Christopher	18	72.2%	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.31	4.49	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.76
LDR	2001	Reimer, Donald	40	85.0%	3.24	3.58	3.50	3.44	3.06	2.79	3.28	4.24	3.79	4.18	3.88	4.06	3.73	3.97
LDR	3000	Molloy, Sara	190	68.4%	3.97	3.55	3.58	3.99	3.49	3.21	3.62	4.16	4.24	4.36	4.26	4.22	4.14	4.22
LDR	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	46	73.9%	3.97	3.66	3.66	4.09	3.52	2.67	3.59	4.13	4.21	4.27	4.28	4.23	4.10	4.19
LDR	4000	Eren, Gonca	24	45.8%	4.09	4.00	4.10	4.27	4.36	3.70	4.12	4.33	4.40	4.40	4.22	4.33	4.20	4.32
LDR	4000	Hobart, Jamie	25	56.0%	3.93	3.50	3.69	4.00	3.29	2.93	3.53	4.38	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.50	4.36	4.38
LDR	4000	Jolly, James	25	72.0%	4.12	3.67	4.00	4.18	3.75	3.29	3.82	4.56	4.41	4.53	4.53	4.47	4.47	4.48
LDR	4000	Molloy, Sara	24	58.3%	4.21	4.07	4.07	4.07	3.21	3.21	3.81	4.38	4.62	4.54	4.38	4.38	4.54	4.47
LLT	1213	Cady, Paul	19	68.4%	4.08	4.08	4.23	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.05	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.31	4.62	4.54	4.46
LLT	1213	Finkelman, Louis	18	44.4%	4.38	4.08	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.75	4.38	4.63	4.13	4.63	4.63	4.52
LLT	1213	Kelber, Nathan	32	84.4%	4.37	4.35	4.37	4.37	4.33	3.89	4.28	4.44	4.44	4.41	4.37	4.56	4.41	4.44
LLT	1213	Krupa, Tanja	35	82.9%	3.59	4.07	3.72	3.59	4.03	3.86	3.81	4.24	3.45	3.55	3.69	3.96	3.72	3.77
LLT	1213	McNicholl, Elaine	25	64.0%	4.44	4.44	4.38	4.44	4.25	4.19	4.35	4.63	4.44	4.44	4.38	4.56	4.31	4.46
LLT	1213	Weinstein, Melinda	15	60.0%	4.00	4.22	4.22	3.78	4.00	3.89	4.02	4.89	4.44	4.50	4.22	4.67	4.00	4.46
LLT	1213	Wilhelm, Stephanie	26	69.2%	4.44	4.33	4.61	4.28	4.56	4.33	4.43	4.78	4.72	4.22	4.39	4.67	4.44	4.54
LLT	1223	Brinker, Ludger	30	66.7%	3.85	4.15	4.05	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.81	4.60	4.20	3.90	3.65	4.40	4.10	4.14

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
LLT	1223	Cady, Paul	18	66.7%	4.42	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.39	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.25	4.58	4.58	4.53
LLT	1223	Lamers, Sara	47	61.7%	3.72	3.76	3.90	3.48	3.52	3.48	3.64	4.31	3.55	3.83	3.59	3.83	3.79	3.82
LLT	1223	Schantz, Randy	18	77.8%	3.79	4.07	3.86	3.36	4.07	3.57	3.79	4.43	4.07	4.00	3.64	4.57	4.14	4.14
LLT	1223	Yezbick, Denise	20	75.0%	3.93	4.07	4.07	3.87	3.80	3.60	3.88	4.13	4.13	3.93	4.00	4.07	3.86	4.04
LLT	3433	Finkelman, Louis	14	71.4%	4.50	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.10	4.40	4.38	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.80	4.70	4.72
LLT	3453	Yezbick, Denise	12	41.7%	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.00	3.20	3.40	4.20	3.40	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.73
LLT	3463	Brinker, Ludger	14	64.3%	4.22	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.22	4.11	4.30	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.52
LLT	4213	Weinstein, Melinda	6	100.0%	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.36	4.83	4.50	4.40	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.53
LLT	4903	Rodgers, James	5	80.0%	4.00	3.75	4.33	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.65	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.25	3.75	3.75	3.71
LLT	6013	Hadley, Margaret	7	71.4%	4.40	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.60	3.80	3.93	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.53
MBA	5013	Cole, Matthew	18	38.9%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.86	4.29	4.55	4.71	4.29	4.71	4.67	4.86	4.43	4.61
MBA	6013	Egleston, David	11	45.5%	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.40	4.00	3.87	4.40	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.10
MBA	6023	Ford, Janet	11	36.4%	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.83	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.88
MBA	6023	Marx, Thomas	17	35.3%	4.83	3.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.58	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
MBA	6033	Petro, Louis	9	33.3%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56
MBA	6033	Tsebro, Pavlo	34	38.2%	3.46	3.15	3.31	3.77	3.31	3.15	3.36	4.38	3.08	3.92	3.85	4.31	3.54	3.85
MBA	6043	Egleston, David	16	37.5%	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.19	4.17	4.60	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.34
MBA	6043	Marx, Thomas	36	47.2%	4.35	4.18	4.59	4.65	4.24	4.47	4.41	4.59	4.53	4.53	4.41	4.59	4.59	4.54
MBA	6053	Kiani, Isar	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.89	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.83
MBA	6053	Pogue, Laura	18	55.6%	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.50	4.40	4.00	4.05	4.30	3.70	3.60	3.50	3.90	3.80	3.80
MBA	6053	Shuayto, Nadia	16	56.3%	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.98
MBA	6063	Eren, Serhat	22	95.5%	4.14	4.10	4.14	4.24	4.05	4.14	4.13	4.10	4.29	3.90	4.38	4.00	4.24	4.15
MBA	6063	Raghavan, Srikant	9	22.2%	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.58
MBA	6073	Taj, Shahram	17	76.5%	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.46	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.62	4.85	4.85	4.77
MBA	7013	Kratzin, Thomas	6	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.83
MBA	7053	Castelli, Patricia	17	64.7%	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.89	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91
MBA	7063	Allen, Victor	22	54.5%	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.42	4.08	4.50	4.47	4.75	4.58	4.25	4.50	4.67	4.58	4.56
MBA	7063	Paryani, Kioumars	20	65.0%	4.15	4.23	4.38	4.08	4.15	4.38	4.23	4.23	3.54	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.00	3.95
MBA	7103	Gadd, Kenneth	19	52.6%	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.57	4.60	4.70	4.50	4.70	4.80	4.60	4.65
MBA	7123	Mirshab, Bahman	9	66.7%	3.33	3.67	3.83	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.56	4.17	3.67	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.83	3.83
MBA	5011T	Browne, Warren	14	35.7%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.93
MBA	5021T	Browne, Warren	16	75.0%	4.33	4.08	4.08	4.00	4.08	4.36	4.14	4.64	4.36	4.60	4.45	4.33	4.42	4.39
MBA	5061T	Evans, Karen	17	47.1%	4.50	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.33	4.29	4.38	4.23
MCO	1003	Gaber, Jody	18	61.1%	4.64	4.64	4.82	4.64	4.73	4.64	4.68	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.64	4.82	4.73	4.77
MCO	2543	Swantek, Beth	12	75.0%	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.59	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.69
MCO	3203	Swantek, Phillip	14	57.1%	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.69	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.90
MCO	3513	Hughes, Dana	14	64.3%	4.11	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.15	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.44
MCO	3613	Raj, Roop	18	50.0%	3.67	3.67	4.11	3.22	4.11	4.00	3.80	4.22	4.33	4.56	3.67	4.67	4.22	4.28
MCO	3913	Gaber, Jody	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.20	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50
MCO	4073	Anderanin, Paula	9	66.7%	3.50	3.67	3.83	3.67	3.67	3.83	3.69	4.67	3.67	3.67	3.83	4.17	3.83	3.97
MCO	4933	Gaber, Jody	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17
MCS	35	Zendeli, Bashkim	21	61.9%	4.77	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.54	4.58	4.55	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.54	4.77	4.77	4.74
MCS	44	Coval, Brad	16	43.8%	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.31	4.57	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.33
MCS	51	Bazoun, Ali	13	76.9%	2.89	3.11	3.11	3.00	2.67	2.67	2.91	3.67	3.00	2.78	3.11	2.78	2.78	3.02
MCS	51	Liddy, Richard	19	78.9%	3.87	3.93	4.07	3.87	4.07	3.50	3.89	3.60	3.67	3.93	3.73	3.73	3.67	3.72
MCS	54	Nelson, Patrick	7	57.1%	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	74	Liddy, Richard	31	51.6%	4.31	4.56	4.63	4.50	4.19	4.31	4.42	4.31	4.31	4.56	4.19	4.44	4.31	4.35
MCS	83	Timbre, Keith	15	46.7%	4.14	3.71	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.12	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.10
MCS	93	Timbre, Keith	16	62.5%	4.00	3.60	3.70	4.40	3.80	4.00	3.92	4.00	3.70	3.80	3.90	3.60	3.70	3.78

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	1003	Alnaji, Amina	13	69.2%	4.33	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.22	4.48	4.22	4.22	4.50	3.78	4.13	4.11	4.10
MCS	1003	Shaba, Amal	23	65.2%	4.60	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.67	4.60	4.69	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.62
MCS	1113	Husseini, Ghassan	15	80.0%	4.75	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.92	4.92	4.85	4.83	4.75	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.88
MCS	1113	Mills, Hans	20	75.0%	4.43	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.07	4.21	4.19	4.36	4.43	4.43	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.38
MCS	1123	Coval, Brad	8	62.5%	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	3.60	4.27	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.20	4.20	4.50
MCS	1142	Kendela, Hend	13	53.8%	3.71	3.71	4.00	3.43	4.00	3.57	3.74	3.86	3.71	4.00	3.57	3.71	3.71	3.76
MCS	1142	Shaba, Amal	17	58.8%	3.50	3.70	3.50	3.20	3.30	3.30	3.42	3.10	3.00	2.90	3.00	3.40	3.10	3.08
MCS	1142	Zurawski, Erik	19	68.4%	4.46	4.15	4.46	4.23	4.38	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.00	4.54	4.31	4.15	4.38	4.29
MCS	1214	Rosen, Michael	23	52.2%	2.92	2.83	3.00	3.25	3.25	3.00	3.04	3.75	2.83	3.33	3.33	4.25	2.50	3.33
MCS	1224	Khoury, Michael	22	63.6%	4.00	4.14	4.21	4.07	3.93	4.07	4.07	4.50	4.14	4.21	4.21	4.71	4.07	4.31
MCS	1224	Rosen, Michael	34	73.5%	4.00	4.04	4.13	4.42	3.96	3.87	4.06	4.26	3.33	3.96	3.96	4.29	3.58	3.86
MCS	1224	Zendeli, Bashkim	19	63.2%	4.00	4.17	4.08	4.00	4.08	3.83	4.03	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.08	3.83	4.00	4.00
MCS	1254	Lowry, Pamela	12	91.7%	3.82	3.27	3.73	3.64	3.45	3.27	3.53	3.55	3.45	3.55	3.73	3.50	3.45	3.51
MCS	1254	Vaynberg, Yelena	18	72.2%	3.00	3.15	3.31	3.38	3.15	2.54	3.09	3.46	3.00	3.69	3.77	3.85	3.31	3.51
MCS	1414	Alyass, Kussiy	27	88.9%	4.21	4.17	4.25	4.38	4.08	4.08	4.19	4.13	3.46	3.87	4.04	3.50	3.70	3.78
MCS	1414	Bukaita, Wisam	22	90.9%	3.58	3.80	3.95	3.90	3.40	3.60	3.70	3.80	3.35	4.05	4.00	3.75	3.60	3.76
MCS	1414	Zhu, Guang-Chong	19	94.7%	4.39	4.33	4.39	4.33	4.50	4.44	4.40	4.61	4.44	4.28	4.28	4.61	4.44	4.44
MCS	1424	Arlinghaus, Frank	31	74.2%	4.00	4.26	4.30	4.04	4.22	4.04	4.14	4.61	3.83	3.65	4.17	4.00	3.91	4.03
MCS	1424	Cartwright, Christopher	48	60.4%	4.41	4.31	4.24	4.34	4.10	4.14	4.26	4.52	4.21	4.34	4.48	4.41	4.31	4.38
MCS	1424	Merscher, Michael	17	70.6%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.08	4.33	4.50	4.43	4.92	4.50	3.58	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.31
MCS	1514	Azar, Ghassan	24	66.7%	3.69	3.81	4.06	3.56	3.88	3.63	3.77	4.19	3.63	4.33	3.73	4.00	3.93	3.93
MCS	1514	Shaba, Amal	16	75.0%	4.42	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.36	4.92	4.42	4.58	4.42	4.83	4.67	4.64
MCS	1623	Zurawski, Erik	14	85.7%	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.08	4.25	4.33	4.31	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.73	4.08	3.92	3.88
MCS	2023	Swain, James	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44
MCS	2113	Mustafa, Margaret	13	69.2%	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.63
MCS	2123	Turfe, Atallah	9	44.4%	4.25	3.50	4.25	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.96	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.08
MCS	2313	Husseini, Ghassan	11	81.8%	4.56	4.33	4.78	4.56	4.56	4.78	4.59	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.80
MCS	2414	Alyass, Kussiy	21	76.2%	4.13	4.06	4.19	4.44	4.06	4.06	4.16	4.31	4.00	4.06	3.88	3.13	3.75	3.85
MCS	2414	Khoury, Michael	44	68.2%	4.10	4.10	3.97	4.00	3.80	3.77	3.96	4.53	3.70	4.21	4.17	4.60	3.77	4.17
MCS	2414	Zendeli, Bashkim	31	64.5%	4.25	4.40	4.35	4.05	4.30	4.25	4.27	4.45	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.25	4.25	4.18
MCS	2423	Liddy, Richard	21	81.0%	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.75	4.00	4.02	4.06	3.88	4.13	4.13	3.88	4.00	4.01
MCS	2423	Merscher, Michael	54	87.0%	4.47	4.45	4.53	4.38	4.38	4.51	4.45	4.81	4.70	4.55	4.55	4.57	4.70	4.65
MCS	2423	Zendeli, Bashkim	19	73.7%	4.36	4.21	4.43	4.50	4.43	4.36	4.38	4.36	4.07	4.36	4.21	4.50	4.21	4.29
MCS	2514	Baroody, Rami	12	75.0%	3.56	3.33	3.67	3.44	3.33	3.33	3.44	3.89	3.78	3.67	3.67	3.89	3.67	3.76
MCS	2514	Wang, Yin	12	66.7%	4.63	3.88	4.38	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.27	4.88	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.13	4.50	4.38
MCS	2523	Zalzali, Michael	21	66.7%	4.14	4.14	4.36	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.20	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.57	4.21	4.27
MCS	2534	Fawcett, David	26	69.2%	4.72	4.61	4.72	4.61	4.11	4.50	4.55	4.72	4.72	4.78	4.61	4.61	4.72	4.69
MCS	3324	Abro, Sabah	24	79.2%	4.74	4.68	4.89	4.79	4.74	4.74	4.76	4.95	4.79	4.89	4.84	4.95	4.89	4.89
MCS	3403	Humadi, Hasan	12	91.7%	3.45	3.45	3.73	3.45	3.45	3.00	3.42	3.73	3.09	3.00	3.45	4.18	2.82	3.38
MCS	3403	Turfe, Atallah	20	75.0%	3.00	3.40	3.07	2.67	3.13	2.67	2.99	3.87	2.93	3.27	3.07	3.29	2.67	3.17
MCS	3403	Zhu, Guang-Chong	15	73.3%	4.09	3.55	4.36	4.36	4.27	3.91	4.09	4.73	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.73	3.91	4.36
MCS	3413	Arlinghaus, Frank	7	57.1%	4.00	4.75	4.25	4.00	4.75	4.00	4.29	5.00	3.50	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.17
MCS	3503	Brown, David	10	70.0%	3.57	3.57	4.14	3.86	3.43	3.57	3.69	4.14	4.00	4.43	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.17
MCS	3523	Nelson, Patrick	5	100.0%	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.50
MCS	3543	Alnaji, Amina	11	81.8%	3.33	4.11	3.44	3.33	3.78	3.67	3.61	4.22	3.00	3.33	3.44	4.11	3.78	3.65
MCS	3573	Bindschadler, David	4	50.0%	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.50	2.77	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83
MCS	3573	Engalan, Joe	2	100.0%	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83
MCS	3603	Al Hamando, Mazin	11	63.6%	3.86	3.71	4.00	3.86	3.86	4.14	3.90	4.29	3.86	3.86	3.71	3.71	3.86	3.88
MCS	3633	Farrehi, Khashayar	16	75.0%	2.67	2.92	3.33	2.75	3.17	2.50	2.89	3.33	1.92	1.55	2.33	1.83	1.75	2.14
MCS	3663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	12	58.3%	4.00	3.71	3.43	4.14	4.00	3.86	3.86	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.14	3.86	4.14	4.10
MCS	3743	Zhu, Guang-Chong	10	70.0%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.62	5.00	4.71	4.57	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.86
MCS	3863	Bukaita, Wisam	17	88.2%	4.00	3.87	3.87	3.73	3.33	3.33	3.69	3.87	3.53	4.07	3.67	4.07	3.67	3.80

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	3863	Turfe, Atallah	18	55.6%	2.60	3.00	2.50	2.22	2.70	2.40	2.55	2.80	2.70	3.11	2.44	2.44	2.40	2.57
MCS	4613	Brown, David	5	20.0%	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.83
MCS	4623	Al Hamando, Mazin	5	80.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	3.50	4.25	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.25	3.50	4.00	4.25	4.17
MCS	4623	Sweet, Benjamin	13	69.2%	4.33	4.00	4.56	4.22	3.56	4.22	4.15	4.44	4.11	4.67	4.38	4.89	4.44	4.50
MCS	4633	Ostrowski, David	7	57.1%	2.50	3.25	3.00	3.00	2.75	2.25	2.79	3.50	2.25	3.50	3.00	1.75	2.00	2.67
MCS	4643	Farrehi, Khashayar	9	77.8%	3.57	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.57	3.71	3.76	4.29	3.86	4.29	4.43	3.86	4.00	4.12
MCS	4653	Farrehi, Khashayar	14	92.9%	4.54	4.69	4.77	4.62	4.23	4.69	4.59	4.69	4.54	4.77	4.62	4.31	4.62	4.59
MCS	4663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	11	63.6%	3.71	3.86	3.29	4.00	3.57	3.71	3.69	4.00	3.86	4.29	4.00	3.43	3.43	3.83
MCS	4833	Shamir, Lior	7	71.4%	5.00	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.75	5.00	4.53	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.25	5.00	5.00	4.88
MCS	4843	Shamir, Lior	12	66.7%	4.63	4.67	5.00	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.58	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.71	4.88	4.50	4.65
MCS	4981	Merscher, Michael	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
MCS	4993	Al-Jelihawi, Muthanna	7	85.7%	2.67	3.33	2.83	2.33	2.50	2.33	2.67	3.00	1.83	2.67	2.33	2.67	2.17	2.44
MCS	4993	Ashton, Marshall	8	87.5%	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.29	4.71	4.57	4.45	5.00	4.71	4.71	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.83
MCS	4993	Bindschadler, David	12	75.0%	3.56	3.71	4.33	2.44	3.56	3.89	3.56	4.44	3.63	4.22	3.29	4.33	4.00	4.02
MCS	4993	Chung, Chan-Jin	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	4993	Mills, Hans	7	71.4%	4.75	4.75	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.48	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.57
MCS	4993	Shamir, Lior	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.83	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
MCS	5013	Al Hamando, Mazin	10	60.0%	3.50	4.00	4.33	4.17	3.33	3.33	3.78	4.33	3.67	4.17	3.83	3.67	3.33	3.83
MCS	5103	Al Hamando, Mazin	5	80.0%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
MCS	5103	Sweet, Benjamin	7	100.0%	4.57	3.86	4.71	4.14	4.00	4.57	4.31	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.74
MCS	5303	Sabra, Susan	5	100.0%	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.73	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.83
MCS	5403	Chung, Chan-Jin	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	5603	Miller, John	3	100.0%	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.72
MCS	5703	Baroody, Rami	9	77.8%	4.14	3.14	4.14	4.29	3.71	3.86	3.88	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.38
MCS	5703	El-Bathy, Mohammed	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.67
MCS	5993	Dillard, Terrance	14	100.0%	4.50	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.51	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.36	4.50	4.50	4.48
MCS	5993	Wang, Yin	2	100.0%	2.50	4.00	4.50	2.50	4.50	4.00	3.57	3.50	3.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.25
MCS	7013	Shamir, Lior	9	100.0%	4.67	4.57	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.61	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67
MET	6203	Schneider, Robert	25	16.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
MET	6213	Lowry, Pamela	17	17.6%	4.33	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.72	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.94
MET	6233	Williams, Brian	2	50.0%	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MGT	2113	Lindman, Gerald	20	60.0%	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.57	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.65
MGT	2203	Cole, Matthew	21	57.1%	4.58	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.68	4.83	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.76
MGT	2203	Evans, Karen	15	80.0%	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.25	4.15	4.58	4.33	4.17	4.42	4.58	4.55	4.42
MGT	3103	Luttrell, Ernest	18	77.8%	4.57	4.36	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.50	4.51	4.79	4.50	4.50	4.43	4.43	4.36	4.50
MGT	3113	Paryani, Kioumars	13	61.5%	3.50	3.63	3.88	3.25	3.63	3.25	3.52	3.88	2.88	3.75	3.63	3.38	3.75	3.54
MGT	3113	Raghavan, Srikant	20	55.0%	4.36	4.45	4.10	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.41	4.91	4.45	4.64	4.73	4.45	4.55	4.62
MGT	4213	Omrani, Massood	8	75.0%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.42	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.36
MGT	6083	Montgomery, Michael	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MGT	8043	Shuayto, Nadia	9	66.7%	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.31
MGT	8063	McCord, Samuel	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MSE	5143	Santangelo, Giuseppe	10	70.0%	3.57	3.86	4.00	3.00	4.29	4.14	3.85	4.86	4.00	4.29	4.17	4.57	4.14	4.36
MSE	6183	Mynderse, James	9	44.4%	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.29	4.75	4.25	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.71
PHY	23	Friedman, Harold	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
PHY	1083	Lewis, Marcus	8	62.5%	3.80	3.80	3.80	4.20	2.50	2.40	3.47	4.40	2.20	2.80	3.60	3.40	1.50	3.05
PHY	1101	Lewis, Marcus	8	62.5%	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.00	3.25	3.25	3.92	3.80	3.40	3.40	4.00	3.80	3.00	3.57
PHY	1154	Bozin, Keith	13	69.2%	3.00	3.33	3.22	3.22	3.78	3.56	3.35	4.44	3.44	3.67	3.78	4.67	4.00	4.00
PHY	1154	Carduner, Keith	10	80.0%	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.63	4.38	4.42

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
PHY	1213	Schneider, Scott	28	64.3%	4.72	4.72	4.78	4.83	4.72	4.78	4.76	4.89	4.83	4.94	4.83	4.94	4.89	4.89
PHY	1221	Schneider, Scott	20	55.0%	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.91	4.82	4.82	4.82	5.00	4.91	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.98
PHY	2213	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	15	73.3%	3.55	3.73	3.91	3.73	3.82	3.27	3.67	4.09	3.09	3.73	3.73	3.64	3.18	3.58
PHY	2213	Raman, Jyothi	12	75.0%	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.00	3.89	3.89	4.00	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.26
PHY	2221	Bozin, Keith	11	90.9%	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.05	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.20
PHY	2221	Lewis, Marcus	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
PHY	2221	Rands, Marilyn	10	80.0%	3.88	4.00	3.63	4.00	3.75	3.63	3.81	3.63	3.75	3.75	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.73
PHY	2223	Rands, Marilyn	16	87.5%	4.36	4.29	4.21	4.57	3.93	4.00	4.23	4.57	4.29	4.21	4.21	4.57	3.93	4.30
PHY	2223	Roessler, David	19	78.9%	4.20	3.71	3.20	4.13	3.53	3.20	3.66	4.60	3.60	4.00	4.27	4.27	3.93	4.11
PHY	2231	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	15	80.0%	4.42	4.17	4.17	4.58	4.50	4.00	4.31	4.92	4.58	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.58	4.78
PHY	2231	Lewis, Marcus	12	91.7%	4.18	3.80	4.00	3.82	3.36	3.09	3.70	3.91	3.00	2.73	3.27	4.00	3.36	3.38
PHY	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	28	60.7%	4.44	4.06	4.47	4.24	4.29	4.24	4.27	4.81	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.81	4.44	4.61
PHY	2413	Zhou, Changgong	25	68.0%	4.00	4.06	3.76	3.65	3.65	3.41	3.75	4.82	3.94	4.18	4.18	4.53	3.88	4.25
PHY	2421	Bozin, Keith	16	50.0%	4.13	3.88	3.88	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.71
PHY	2421	Lewis, Marcus	16	75.0%	4.17	4.18	4.27	3.75	4.00	3.91	4.04	4.25	3.75	3.25	4.40	4.33	3.42	3.85
PHY	2421	Rands, Marilyn	10	80.0%	4.25	4.00	4.75	4.38	4.00	4.13	4.25	3.88	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.88	4.00	4.00
PHY	2421	Woodworth, James	6	50.0%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.11
PHY	2423	Roessler, David	31	83.9%	4.08	4.04	3.96	4.29	4.00	3.83	4.03	4.44	3.84	4.32	4.40	4.52	3.96	4.25
PHY	2423	Schneider, Scott	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.50	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.81
PHY	2423	Tobos, Valentina	54	94.4%	4.53	4.47	4.39	4.55	4.35	4.43	4.44	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.57	4.61	4.67	4.62
PHY	2423	Zhou, Changgong	11	72.7%	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.40	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.38
PHY	2431	Bozin, Keith	19	84.2%	4.50	4.44	4.44	4.19	4.19	4.19	4.32	4.69	4.38	4.56	4.25	4.75	4.44	4.51
PHY	2431	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	41	85.4%	4.49	4.49	4.50	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.43	4.66	4.34	4.43	4.49	4.54	4.46	4.49
PHY	2431	Lewis, Marcus	11	81.8%	4.67	4.63	4.44	4.78	4.44	4.67	4.60	4.22	4.44	4.00	3.78	4.22	4.22	4.15
PHY	2431	Tobos, Valentina	19	84.2%	4.25	4.06	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.28	4.19	4.44	4.50	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.43
PHY	2431	Zhou, Changgong	20	85.0%	4.35	4.35	4.12	4.12	4.35	4.18	4.25	4.59	4.35	4.41	4.35	4.29	4.47	4.41
PHY	4724	Zhou, Changgong	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60
PHY	6223	Rands, Marilyn	3	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50
PSC	1143	Villeneuve, Nicole	8	62.5%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.60	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.33
PSC	3001	Timmons, Shannon	6	83.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PSY	1003	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	18	72.2%	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.85	4.77	4.46	4.72	4.85	4.92	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.77	4.87
PSY	1213	Anthony, Bart	13	61.5%	3.00	3.25	3.88	3.00	3.50	2.88	3.25	3.25	2.75	2.63	2.75	2.50	2.75	2.75
PSY	1213	Delogu, Franco	10	60.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.64	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.78
PSY	1213	Molloy, Sara	16	75.0%	3.75	4.08	4.50	4.25	3.75	3.92	4.04	4.08	3.92	4.67	4.42	4.33	4.17	4.26
PSY	3223	Delogu, Franco	6	50.0%	3.67	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.33	3.50	4.67	3.33	3.33	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.06
PSY	3323	Franetovic, Marija	19	63.2%	4.33	3.75	3.83	4.17	3.25	3.55	3.81	4.09	4.58	4.25	4.25	3.92	3.83	4.15
PSY	3633	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	20	75.0%	4.87	4.93	4.87	4.87	4.73	4.93	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.93	4.87	4.88
PSY	3713	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	16	56.3%	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.56	4.67	4.76	4.56	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.78
PSY	4213	Munevar, Gonzalo	6	100.0%	4.50	4.83	4.67	3.67	4.67	4.83	4.53	5.00	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50
RES	7023	Stavros, Jacqueline	9	66.7%	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.97
RES	7033	Cole, Matthew	4	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
SPN	2923	Ploof, Maria	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44
SPN	4833	Ploof, Maria	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.30	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.25
SSC	2303	Dengate, Richard	57	59.6%	3.94	3.71	3.64	3.74	3.78	3.61	3.70	4.26	3.65	3.76	3.88	4.09	3.62	3.87
SSC	2303	Reed, Jason	21	61.9%	4.54	4.69	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.77	4.62	4.69	4.68
SSC	2403	Kler, Marlene	22	59.1%	4.62	4.62	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.77	4.46	4.69	4.38	4.62	4.56
SSC	2413	Hadley, Margaret	27	63.0%	3.59	4.06	3.53	3.12	3.29	3.19	3.46	4.47	3.47	3.53	3.12	3.76	3.29	3.61

Spring 2014 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by course

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
SSC	2413	Powell, Jeffrey	37	67.6%	3.96	4.04	3.64	3.80	3.60	3.88	3.82	4.60	4.08	4.24	4.24	4.36	4.28	4.30
SSC	2413	Stern, Marvin	39	74.4%	3.55	4.03	4.10	3.72	3.90	3.83	3.86	4.52	3.83	4.25	3.76	4.72	4.14	4.19
SSC	2413	Wirth, Daniel	17	70.6%	4.27	4.55	4.36	4.18	4.09	4.27	4.29	4.82	4.36	4.64	4.45	4.73	4.64	4.61
SSC	2423	Barrett, Jason	37	81.1%	4.43	4.50	4.23	4.37	4.40	4.23	4.36	4.73	4.30	4.57	4.37	4.67	4.57	4.53
SSC	2423	Jolly, James	4	50.0%	3.50	3.50	4.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.75	4.50	3.50	4.50	3.00	4.50	4.50	4.08
SSC	2423	Mansour, Malinda	38	81.6%	4.42	4.35	4.48	4.39	4.39	4.29	4.39	4.71	4.48	4.65	4.61	4.65	4.61	4.62
SSC	2423	Stern, Marvin	20	85.0%	3.76	3.88	3.88	3.59	3.65	3.76	3.75	4.53	3.88	4.29	3.76	4.53	4.00	4.17
SSC	2423	Wirth, Daniel	7	42.9%	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.72
SSC	3313	Munevar, Gonzalo	9	77.8%	3.86	4.57	4.29	3.86	4.29	4.00	4.14	5.00	4.00	4.43	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.33
SSC	3523	Kler, Marlene	11	72.7%	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.38	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.90
SSC	4133	Barrett, Jason	21	66.7%	4.64	4.43	4.57	4.50	4.43	4.57	4.52	4.93	4.79	4.64	4.71	4.93	4.86	4.81
SSC	4743	Stern, Marvin	20	60.0%	3.42	3.75	3.75	3.33	3.50	3.75	3.58	4.67	3.92	3.58	4.08	4.75	4.08	4.18
TAS	1013	Nelson, Robert	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
TAS	1033	Nelson, Robert	5	100.0%	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.37	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.53
TAS	3013	Blau, Ben	7	57.1%	3.75	4.25	4.25	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.96	4.50	3.75	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.88
TAS	3034	Giese, Dieter	6	66.7%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.79
TAS	3043	Blau, Ben	7	71.4%	4.80	5.00	4.60	3.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.80	5.00	4.80
TAS	4103	Giese, Dieter	5	100.0%	3.40	3.80	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.20	3.83	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63
TAS	4142	Breest, Christopher	4	100.0%	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.25	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.00	3.96
TCE	3013	Hammond, Jason	7	71.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TCE	3033	Naida, Christopher	5	100.0%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.27	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.40
TCE	3093	Kuziak, William	4	100.0%	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.29	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.38
TCE	3123	Carnovale, Frank	7	71.4%	4.40	3.80	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.80	4.60	4.50
TCE	4133	Habba, Faris	10	70.0%	3.86	3.86	3.86	3.71	3.71	4.00	3.83	3.71	3.71	4.00	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.69
TEE	2003	Gaur, Deepali	5	100.0%	2.80	4.20	4.40	3.40	4.00	3.40	3.70	4.60	3.20	4.20	3.80	4.00	3.25	3.83
TEE	2053	Power, Joseph	5	80.0%	4.50	4.75	4.75	3.75	4.75	4.25	4.46	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
TEE	3103	Cook, Kenneth	3	100.0%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.11	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.72
TEE	3103	Grevemeyer, Bryce	7	57.1%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.58	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.71
TEE	4214	Gaur, Deepali	10	100.0%	3.30	3.70	3.70	3.20	3.50	3.56	3.49	3.90	3.00	3.20	3.40	3.60	3.00	3.35
TIE	3063	Shamamy, Patricia	7	71.4%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TIE	3163	Abro, Sabah	23	91.3%	4.43	4.33	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.34	4.71	4.62	4.71	4.67	4.67	4.71	4.68
TIE	3203	Shamamy, Patricia	11	72.7%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.43	4.71	4.63	4.29	4.29	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.48
TIE	4115	Cook, Kenneth	11	54.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TME	1023	Cuper, Jerry	14	71.4%	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	5.00	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.85
TME	3113	Shamamy, Patricia	15	46.7%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.62	5.00	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.81
TME	3204	Pawlowski, Roger	4	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TME	4103	Shamamy, Patricia	9	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.39	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.47
TME	4113	Mullin, Thomas	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TME	4343	Abro, Sabah	12	91.7%	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.55	4.73	4.82	4.71	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.90	4.91	4.91	4.89
Grand Total			10,308	69.9%	4.16	4.14	4.21	4.10	4.09	4.07	4.12	4.44	4.16	4.28	4.20	4.35	4.22	4.27