

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ACC	3013	Jurczak, David	22	54.5%	4.58	4.67	4.75	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.63	4.75	4.58	4.75	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.65
ACC	5003	Petro, Louis	8	37.5%	4.67	4.00	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.50	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.67	3.67	4.33
ACC	5003	Fradis, Eleanor	5	80.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ACC	6013	Petro, Louis	10	30.0%	3.67	3.00	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.44	2.33	1.67	1.33	2.00	1.67	1.33	1.72
ARC	1012	Rudy, Gretchen	103	75.7%	4.36	4.26	4.08	4.30	4.16	4.20	4.22	4.64	4.39	4.34	4.38	4.53	4.38	4.44
ARC	1213	Bales, Fernando	13	76.9%	4.10	4.30	4.70	3.90	4.30	4.30	4.27	4.10	4.30	4.60	4.50	4.70	4.30	4.42
ARC	1213	Crutcher, Kenneth	11	72.7%	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.50	4.23	4.00	3.88	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.43	4.04
ARC	1213	Lichomski, Peter	16	75.0%	4.33	4.25	4.58	3.83	4.33	4.58	4.32	4.67	4.25	4.58	4.08	4.50	4.58	4.44
ARC	1213	Maricak, Gretchen	11	72.7%	4.38	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.27	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.25	4.46
ARC	1213	Wetzel, Jesse	13	84.6%	3.45	3.73	3.64	3.45	3.91	3.45	3.61	4.27	3.27	3.45	3.45	3.55	3.27	3.55
ARC	1213	Zmuda, Frank	17	94.1%	4.56	4.44	4.63	4.31	4.56	4.69	4.53	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.44	4.69	4.50
ARC	1223	Roberson, Pierre	14	71.4%	4.40	4.10	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.33	4.10	4.10	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.20	4.32
ARC	2117	Bales, Fernando	22	63.6%	4.00	4.07	4.07	3.57	4.00	4.14	3.98	4.21	3.64	4.14	3.79	4.29	4.21	4.05
ARC	2117	Dineen, Mark	11	72.7%	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.73	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.85
ARC	2117	Hennebury, Deirdre	59	59.3%	4.09	3.89	3.80	3.91	3.65	3.70	3.85	4.42	4.31	4.27	4.12	4.33	4.39	4.31
ARC	2117	Kelly, Erin	23	69.6%	2.81	3.06	3.31	2.50	3.50	3.31	3.08	3.50	2.38	2.69	2.06	3.00	2.81	2.74
ARC	2117	Koehn, Bryan	5	40.0%	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17
ARC	2117	Maricak, Gretchen	11	90.9%	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.30	4.50	4.22	4.20	4.50	4.20	4.40	4.10	4.70	4.40	4.38
ARC	2117	Sauve, Elizabeth	21	66.7%	3.71	3.50	3.50	3.57	3.71	3.71	3.62	3.64	3.71	3.71	3.57	4.21	4.14	3.83
ARC	2117	Smith, Tiffany	5	40.0%	3.50	5.00	3.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.25
ARC	2117	Stevens, James	20	70.0%	4.57	4.29	4.36	4.00	4.36	4.50	4.35	4.79	4.43	4.71	4.36	4.79	4.71	4.63
ARC	2313	Abernethy, James	14	78.6%	4.55	4.55	4.73	4.45	4.64	4.45	4.56	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.55	4.91	4.64	4.73
ARC	2313	Goldsmith, Paul	13	76.9%	3.80	4.20	4.10	3.40	3.90	3.80	3.87	4.30	3.30	3.80	3.10	4.30	3.60	3.73
ARC	2313	Herzog, Ronald	15	66.7%	3.90	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.45
ARC	2313	Mosley, Michael	7	71.4%	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.20	3.00	3.20	3.50	4.00	3.20	3.20	3.50	3.80	3.20	3.47
ARC	2313	Ragheb, Ashraf	15	86.7%	3.69	3.77	4.15	3.54	4.00	3.85	3.83	4.31	3.38	3.62	3.38	4.23	3.77	3.78
ARC	2313	Tiseo, Benedetto	11	100.0%	4.18	3.91	4.45	2.91	3.30	4.00	3.81	4.45	4.00	4.45	3.36	4.18	3.91	4.06
ARC	2514	Faoro, Daniel	13	76.9%	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.37	4.80	4.10	4.30	4.40	3.80	4.30	4.28
ARC	2514	Kubinski, Joseph	9	77.8%	4.00	3.71	3.86	3.86	3.71	3.71	3.81	4.14	3.57	3.86	3.86	3.50	3.43	3.73
ARC	2514	Shih, Kelchen	20	75.0%	4.00	3.87	4.07	3.93	3.93	4.00	3.97	4.13	3.27	3.67	3.93	4.00	3.60	3.77
ARC	2813	Dean, Kristen	14	78.6%	3.73	3.82	3.91	3.64	3.82	3.36	3.71	4.09	4.00	4.45	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.20
ARC	2813	Jones, Aaron	10	60.0%	2.83	3.17	3.33	3.50	3.67	3.50	3.33	4.50	3.50	4.50	3.83	4.33	4.17	4.14
ARC	2813	Kamath, Ayodh	12	100.0%	2.67	2.55	3.50	2.75	3.27	2.67	2.88	2.89	2.33	4.22	2.67	4.22	3.11	3.24
ARC	3117	Craig, Jack	12	66.7%	4.38	4.75	4.75	4.38	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.38	5.00	4.75	4.69
ARC	3117	Eichenlaub, Beverly	26	80.8%	2.90	3.05	3.62	2.62	2.95	3.05	3.03	2.80	2.86	2.86	2.65	2.90	2.71	2.79
ARC	3117	O'Geen, Charles	24	87.5%	3.48	3.71	3.67	3.29	3.14	3.52	3.47	4.57	3.43	3.29	3.33	3.95	3.67	3.71
ARC	3117	Schneemann, Steven	13	84.6%	4.09	3.82	4.18	3.91	4.09	4.27	4.06	4.73	4.27	4.45	4.09	4.55	4.45	4.42
ARC	3117	Skidmore, Douglas	67	82.1%	4.13	4.28	4.24	3.98	4.00	4.06	4.11	4.41	4.22	4.32	3.98	4.39	4.24	4.26
ARC	3117	Smith, Adam	5	40.0%	4.00	4.50	4.00	3.00	4.50	4.50	4.08	4.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.92
ARC	3117	Swift, Amy	18	72.2%	2.92	3.00	3.31	2.69	3.08	2.85	2.97	3.23	3.15	3.62	3.38	3.92	3.31	3.44
ARC	3117	Wong, Margaret	11	100.0%	4.00	4.18	3.73	3.18	3.73	3.64	3.74	4.18	4.09	4.09	3.73	4.36	4.18	4.11
ARC	3123	Wilson, Mark	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
ARC	3213	Stevens, James	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.67	4.56	4.67	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.67	4.56
ARC	3423	McKale, Dennis	13	76.9%	3.50	2.90	3.40	3.40	3.50	3.10	3.30	4.20	3.90	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.10	4.23
ARC	3423	Means, Janice	32	78.1%	3.60	3.92	4.00	3.44	3.32	3.28	3.59	4.08	3.04	3.28	3.04	3.88	2.92	3.37
ARC	3523	Habba, Faris	18	77.8%	4.50	4.50	4.29	4.36	4.07	4.36	4.35	4.86	4.50	4.36	4.50	4.64	4.50	4.56
ARC	3613	Gyure, Dale	47	76.6%	4.47	4.39	4.28	4.14	4.33	4.33	4.32	4.58	4.36	4.23	4.03	4.50	4.49	4.35
ARC	3613	Hadley, Margaret	19	78.9%	4.07	4.00	4.20	4.27	4.00	3.80	4.06	4.80	4.47	4.62	4.13	4.40	4.20	4.42
ARC	3623	Hennebury, Deirdre	7	85.7%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.58
ARC	3823	Chidester, Jacob	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
ARC	3823	Wang, Paul	9	77.8%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.88

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average	
																			ARC
ARC	3993	Wang, Paul	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	4114	Nashlen, Thomas	24	50.0%	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.42	4.33	4.25	4.44	4.42	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50
ARC	4183	Gyure, Dale	21	71.4%	4.13	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.07	4.13	4.06	4.53	4.40	4.47	4.20	4.47	4.40	4.41	4.41
ARC	4183	Swift, Amy	18	50.0%	3.00	3.11	4.00	2.78	3.22	3.00	3.19	4.00	3.11	2.11	3.33	4.00	2.56	3.19	3.19
ARC	4214	Johnson, Paul	15	46.7%	3.86	4.29	4.00	3.71	3.71	4.00	3.93	4.71	4.14	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.21	4.21
ARC	4224	Orlowski, Edward	16	81.3%	4.62	4.38	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.77	4.58	4.69	4.54	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.68	4.68
ARC	4234	Beaugard, Peter	12	83.3%	2.80	3.40	3.70	2.90	3.60	3.40	3.30	3.90	3.80	4.40	3.90	4.60	4.30	4.15	4.15
ARC	4234	Blendowski, Aaron	12	83.3%	2.80	3.20	3.60	3.10	3.50	3.30	3.25	3.60	3.00	3.44	3.40	3.70	3.60	3.45	3.45
ARC	4234	Deines, Amy	12	83.3%	2.60	3.30	3.40	3.00	3.90	3.50	3.28	3.80	3.10	3.70	3.30	4.10	3.40	3.57	3.57
ARC	4234	Lammers, Barbara	11	63.6%	4.29	4.00	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
ARC	4274	Plowright, Philip	15	66.7%	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.50	4.45	4.90	4.60	4.70	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.62	4.62
ARC	4284	Wang, Paul	15	73.3%	4.09	4.20	4.27	4.00	4.18	4.09	4.12	4.64	3.82	4.00	3.91	4.27	4.00	4.11	4.11
ARC	4324	Beaugard, Peter	2	50.0%	4.00		3.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	3.80	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	4.17	4.17
ARC	4324	Blendowski, Aaron	2	50.0%	4.00		4.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00		3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.60	3.60
ARC	4324	Deines, Amy	2	50.0%	4.00		3.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00
ARC	4443	Oranchak, Joseph	15	66.7%	3.10	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.70	2.97	3.50	2.90	3.00	3.40	2.50	2.70	3.00	3.00
ARC	4443	Paterson, David	35	65.7%	3.23	3.64	3.32	3.18	3.32	3.23	3.32	3.77	3.00	3.64	3.50	4.00	3.14	3.51	3.51
ARC	4443	Virga, Ewa	20	65.0%	3.54	3.46	3.69	3.46	3.15	3.15	3.41	3.92	3.46	3.77	3.62	3.15	3.46	3.56	3.56
ARC	4533	Habba, Faris	16	81.3%	4.69	4.77	4.85	4.62	4.85	4.77	4.76	4.85	4.54	4.77	4.85	4.92	4.92	4.81	4.81
ARC	4533	Makkawy, Abdel	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.67	5.00	4.86	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.94	4.94
ARC	4533	Shih, Kelchen	16	75.0%	4.25	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.83	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.75	4.60	4.60
ARC	4543	Faoro, Daniel	16	75.0%	3.58	3.17	3.50	3.83	3.00	3.00	3.35	4.25	3.33	3.73	3.75	2.67	3.17	3.46	3.46
ARC	4543	Makkawy, Abdel	15	60.0%	3.89	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.56	4.22	4.44	4.38	4.56	4.00	4.36	4.36
ARC	4813	Grant, Janice	14	57.1%	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.13	4.38	4.48	4.88	4.63	5.00	4.88	5.00	4.63	4.83	4.83
ARC	4813	Hall, Alan	9	66.7%	3.83	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.83	4.31	4.17	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.42
ARC	4843	Hall, Alan	8	37.5%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.22
ARC	5013	Adhya, Anirban	14	50.0%	4.00	4.14	4.00	3.71	4.29	4.00	4.02	4.43	3.71	3.57	4.00	4.14	3.71	3.93	3.93
ARC	5013	Keslacy, Elizabeth	14	85.7%	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.58	4.33	4.58	4.46	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.58	4.57	4.57
ARC	5013	Ferguson, Justin	15	86.7%	4.38	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.38	4.46	4.62	4.46	4.85	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.68	4.68
ARC	5014	Khadr, Diana	11	100.0%	3.55	3.73	4.18	3.18	3.73	3.64	3.67	4.00	3.36	3.82	3.18	3.45	3.73	3.59	3.59
ARC	5114	Abela, John	6	83.3%	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	4.00	3.60	3.67	4.40	3.60	3.60	4.00	4.20	4.00	3.97	3.97
ARC	5202	Stevens, James	7	71.4%	4.20	3.80	4.80	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.30
ARC	5423	Bodurow, Constance	16	87.5%	3.79	3.36	4.00	3.57	3.79	3.57	3.68	3.69	3.21	3.64	3.43	3.79	3.50	3.54	3.54
ARC	5423	Allen, William	16	68.8%	3.64	4.27	4.27	3.55	4.18	4.36	4.05	4.55	4.09	3.91	3.82	4.82	4.27	4.24	4.24
ARC	5622	Keslacy, Elizabeth	13	61.5%	4.71	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.45	4.38	4.71	4.38	4.50	4.14	4.67	4.42	4.42
ARC	5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	14	42.9%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.67	4.53	4.75	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.17	4.36	4.36
ARC	5643	Plowright, Philip	11	72.7%	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.67	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.88	4.77	4.77	4.77
ARC	5693	Bodurow, Constance	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.92	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.25
ARC	5714	Kim, Joongsub	3	66.7%	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.67	4.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.25	4.25
ARC	5742	Martin, Jordan	12	50.0%	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.19	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.39	4.39
ARC	5814	Adhya, Anirban	6	83.3%	4.00	4.40	4.60	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.23	4.40	4.00	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.47	4.47
ARC	5814	Bodurow, Constance	3	33.3%	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.83
ARC	5814	Jones, Aaron	6	83.3%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.63	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.70
ARC	5814	Skidmore, Douglas	8	87.5%	4.14	3.80	4.29	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.11	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.86	4.43	4.50	4.50
ARC	5814	Abela, John	6	100.0%	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.53	4.53
ARC	5814	Colon, Jason	7	100.0%	4.67	4.83	4.86	4.14	4.57	4.71	4.61	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.57	4.86	4.71	4.79	4.79
ARC	5814	Farlow, Mark	6	83.3%	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.23	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.40	5.00	4.60	4.63	4.63
ARC	5814	Torres, Juan	6	83.3%	4.20	5.00	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.80	4.53	5.00	4.40	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.87	4.87
ARC	5882	Hennebury, Deirdre	13	61.5%	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.54	4.75	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.52	4.52
ARC	5882	Ragheb, Ashraf	7	71.4%	3.80	3.80	4.40	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.97	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.27	4.27
ARC	5882	Stevens, Jason	5	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.87	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.87	4.87
ARC	5913	Bohde, Matthew	16	43.8%	3.71	3.86	3.71	3.57	3.86	3.86	3.76	4.67	3.71	3.43	3.57	3.57	3.43	3.64	3.64

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ARC	5942	Herzog, Ronald	15	86.7%	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.51	4.69	4.54	4.31	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.51
ARC	5952	Harmala, John	15	66.7%	4.50	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.50	4.45	4.70	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.52
ARC	6002	Kim, Joongsob	6	66.7%	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.54	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.54
ARC	6514	Wang, Paul	9	66.7%	3.83	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.03	4.67	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.28
ARC	6884	Nelson, Ralph	9	77.8%	4.00	4.17	4.29	3.33	4.43	4.43	4.15	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.60
ARC	6884	Yu, Daihong	9	77.8%	3.71	3.83	3.86	3.57	4.14	4.29	3.91	2.57	2.43	3.14	2.20	3.43	2.57	2.79
ARI	3113	Schanck, Christopher	15	73.3%	4.55	4.45	4.73	4.20	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.45	4.18	3.82	4.73	4.64	4.39
ARI	3114	Swanson, Karen	6	83.3%	3.80	4.50	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.25	4.80	3.80	4.60	3.80	4.80	4.40	4.37
ARI	4113	Feng, Jin	6	100.0%	4.17	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.60	4.33	4.29	4.67	4.00	4.33	3.83	4.50	4.67	4.33
ARI	4143	Clarahan, Mollie	13	76.9%	3.20	2.70	2.90	2.80	2.90	2.70	2.87	3.20	3.00	3.20	2.90	3.90	2.80	3.17
ARI	4223	DiLaura, Lenard	17	100.0%	4.47	4.53	4.24	4.24	4.29	4.59	4.39	4.47	4.41	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.59	4.43
ARI	4922	DiLaura, Lenard	3	100.0%	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06
ARI	5113	Feng, Jin	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
ARI	5113	Swanson, Karen	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.17
ARI	5123	Feng, Jin	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
ARI	5143	Feng, Jin	10	80.0%	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.00	4.13	4.38	4.27	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.23
ARI	5622	Swanson, Karen	4	100.0%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.63	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.92
ARI	5814	Deines, Kelly	4	100.0%	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
ART	1113	Dilaura, Vicki	16	81.3%	4.38	4.08	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.15	4.27	4.46	4.08	4.08	4.08	4.38	4.00	4.18
ART	1113	Hall, C Richard	16	81.3%	4.00	4.00	4.23	3.62	4.00	4.15	4.01	4.31	3.62	3.92	3.15	3.15	3.77	3.65
ART	1113	Hanna, Vance	18	66.7%	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.58	4.42	4.25	4.13	4.67	3.75	4.08	3.75	4.50	4.17	4.15
ART	1113	Nagara, Keith	19	78.9%	3.93	4.13	4.27	3.87	4.40	4.47	4.18	4.47	4.00	4.33	4.47	4.47	4.53	4.38
ART	1113	Rost, Steven	18	83.3%	3.47	4.07	4.00	3.47	4.33	4.20	3.92	4.33	3.33	4.20	3.53	4.40	4.13	3.99
ART	1113	Welch, Elizabeth	16	81.3%	4.31	4.15	4.69	4.08	4.31	4.38	4.32	4.62	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.54	4.69	4.55
ART	1113	White, Thomas	13	69.2%	3.56	4.22	4.22	3.89	4.00	4.11	4.00	4.33	3.56	3.44	3.44	4.22	3.78	3.80
ART	1133	Craig, Jack	14	64.3%	3.56	3.67	4.11	3.25	3.89	3.67	3.69	4.22	3.44	3.44	3.44	4.00	3.75	3.70
ART	1133	Schanck, Christopher	14	100.0%	4.07	4.29	4.29	3.57	4.50	4.36	4.18	4.64	4.21	4.07	3.86	4.36	4.29	4.24
ART	2113	Candor, Patricia	12	58.3%	4.57	4.57	4.86	4.43	4.86	4.71	4.67	5.00	4.43	4.57	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.74
ART	2223	Hanna, Vance	17	82.4%	4.07	4.38	4.43	4.07	4.43	4.57	4.33	4.79	4.00	4.64	4.29	4.86	4.57	4.52
ART	2413	Youngblood, Elizabeth	16	62.5%	3.10	3.20	3.50	2.60	3.60	3.20	3.20	4.00	2.50	2.40	2.60	2.90	2.70	2.85
ART	2523	Aitkin, Lilian	13	92.3%	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.68	4.83	4.75	4.92	4.75	4.92	4.83	4.83
ART	2623	Collins, Francesco	8	50.0%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.46	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.54
ART	2813	Kayganich, Robert	4	75.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.33	4.00	4.00	3.89	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.11
ART	2993	Perez- Vergara, Corey	11	36.4%	3.25	3.50	4.00	3.25	3.50	4.00	3.58	4.25	3.50	3.75	3.25	4.50	4.00	3.88
ART	3023	Schneider, Christopher	12	25.0%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78
ART	3213	Coy, Steven	14	78.6%	3.18	4.18	4.10	3.00	4.36	4.09	3.79	4.09	3.45	4.18	3.40	4.73	4.30	4.02
ART	3323	Grayson, Alan	11	100.0%	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.18	4.64	4.91	4.58	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.09	4.73	4.82	4.56
ART	3323	Jones, Aaron	8	50.0%	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.75	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.29
ART	3523	Almstead, Blake	12	33.3%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.71	5.00	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.63
ART	3563	Moore, Jeanne	17	70.6%	3.92	3.75	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.75	3.79	4.25	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.42	4.00	4.14
ART	3613	Coy, Steven	5	60.0%	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.54	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.78
ART	3633	Rudy, Gretchen	23	65.2%	4.13	4.20	4.13	4.27	3.80	3.93	4.08	4.53	4.27	4.33	4.33	4.27	4.43	4.34
ART	4514	Aitkin, Lilian	5	100.0%	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.00	3.60	3.60	3.57	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.87
ART	4514	Rost, Steven	5	100.0%	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.00	3.20	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.40	4.00	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.67
ART	4612	Aitkin, Lilian	11	100.0%	3.45	3.18	3.27	2.27	3.27	3.09	3.09	3.91	3.73	3.82	3.11	3.91	3.55	3.67
ART	4612	Rost, Steven	11	100.0%	3.27	3.09	3.18	2.45	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.36	3.18	3.36	2.90	3.27	3.18	3.18
ART	4614	Aitkin, Lilian	3	100.0%	3.00	3.33	2.33	2.33	2.67	3.00	2.78	4.00	3.67	4.33	3.00	4.33	4.00	3.89
ART	4614	Rost, Steven	3	100.0%	3.33	3.33	3.00	2.33	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.33	4.33	3.33	3.67
ART	5994	Stevens, Jason	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ATD	1914	Falenski, Jason	13	69.2%	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.83	4.89	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.72
ATD	1914	Gilmore, Steven	13	69.2%	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.78	4.89	5.00	4.93	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.98
ATD	1914	Nagara, Keith	13	69.2%	3.78	4.22	4.56	4.22	4.44	4.56	4.30	4.67	4.11	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.43
ATD	1914	Nichols, Christopher	13	69.2%	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.67	5.00	4.89	4.83	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.78	5.00	4.91
ATD	2813	Barkley, Nicholas	11	100.0%	4.64	4.64	4.45	4.09	4.27	4.18	4.38	4.73	4.55	4.73	4.45	4.55	4.73	4.62
ATD	2813	English, Derek	11	90.9%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.77	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.80	4.73
ATD	2813	Falenski, Jason	11	90.9%	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.80	4.73	4.80	4.70	4.30	4.70	4.50	4.80	4.63
ATD	2816	Cooper, Stuart	11	81.8%	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.20	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44
ATD	2816	Crowley, Joseph	11	81.8%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.78	4.78	4.78
ATD	2816	Nelson, Christopher	11	81.8%	3.44	3.89	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.11	3.57	4.44	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.78	3.33	3.87
ATD	2832	Nagara, Keith	4	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79
ATD	3616	Bonathan, Colin	10	80.0%	4.38	4.43	4.50	3.88	4.75	4.50	4.39	4.63	4.38	4.00	4.00	4.63	4.50	4.35
ATD	3616	Nagara, Keith	10	80.0%	4.13	4.43	4.38	3.88	4.38	4.25	4.23	4.57	3.88	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.25	4.27
ATD	3616	Zingalie, Anthony	10	80.0%	4.38	4.43	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.38	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.65
ATD	3716	Hanzel, Andrew	6	100.0%	4.00	4.67	4.00	3.67	4.20	4.00	4.04	4.83	3.83	4.33	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.50
ATD	3716	Nelson, Christopher	6	100.0%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.61
ATD	3716	Zhang, Jing	6	100.0%	2.80	4.17	3.33	2.67	3.33	3.17	3.26	4.83	3.00	4.00	3.67	4.83	3.50	3.97
ATD	4414	Mitchell, Douglas	8	37.5%	2.00	2.67	2.33	3.33	3.00	3.00	2.72	4.00	3.33	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.39
ATD	4414	Sims, Russell	8	37.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	4513	Hanzel, Andrew	8	25.0%	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.08	2.50	2.50	3.50	3.00	4.50	3.00	3.17
ATD	4516	Farcas, Cristian	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	4516	Gaffka, Douglas	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BIO	1153	Williams, Antionette	21	85.7%	4.71	4.59	4.67	4.67	4.59	4.61	4.62	4.56	4.65	4.56	4.78	4.71	4.78	4.67
BIO	1213	Morrisette, Jeffery	29	93.1%	4.62	4.56	4.67	4.58	4.46	4.74	4.58	4.85	4.78	4.81	4.67	4.78	4.85	4.79
BIO	1221	Morrisette, Jeffery	37	94.6%	4.66	4.71	4.65	4.82	4.61	4.76	4.70	4.89	4.83	4.82	4.80	4.89	4.89	4.85
BIO	2313	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	9	77.8%	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.71	4.83	5.00	4.71	4.71	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.86
BIO	2321	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	5	80.0%	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.92
BME	2101	Aviles, Gladys	13	92.3%	4.08	4.25	4.33	3.58	4.08	4.17	4.08	4.50	4.00	4.50	3.82	4.50	4.50	4.30
BME	2103	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	18	88.9%	4.63	4.44	4.56	4.50	4.69	4.56	4.56	4.75	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.75	4.63	4.69
BME	3213	Li, Yawen	13	76.9%	4.30	3.90	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.30	4.22	4.70	4.30	4.40	4.10	4.60	4.50	4.43
BME	3301	Meyer, Eric	13	84.6%	3.91	4.09	4.09	3.09	4.09	4.00	3.88	4.09	3.73	4.00	3.09	4.00	4.00	3.81
BME	3303	Meyer, Eric	16	81.3%	4.38	3.92	4.23	4.23	4.46	4.08	4.22	4.31	4.00	4.15	4.08	4.31	4.23	4.18
BME	4013	Nasir, Mansoor	12	91.7%	4.00	3.73	3.64	3.82	4.30	4.09	3.92	4.09	3.91	4.00	3.64	4.09	3.91	3.94
BME	4103	Meyer, Eric	20	75.0%	4.13	3.40	3.47	4.07	3.80	3.60	3.74	3.53	3.80	3.93	4.20	3.73	3.87	3.84
BME	4213	Nasir, Mansoor	11	90.9%	3.80	4.10	3.60	3.20	3.50	3.60	3.63	4.20	3.90	3.90	3.80	4.30	3.90	4.00
BME	4801	Li, Yawen	12	83.3%	3.80	3.90	4.10	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.30	4.20	4.00	3.90	4.20	4.10	4.12
BME	4803	Li, Yawen	12	83.3%	4.10	4.10	3.90	3.60	4.10	3.90	3.95	4.50	3.60	4.30	3.70	4.00	4.10	4.03
BME	4903	Nasir, Mansoor	9	77.8%	3.71	4.14	3.57	3.71	4.29	4.29	3.95	4.14	4.00	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.10
CHM	1154	Appleby, Lavetta	28	67.9%	4.39	4.05	4.11	4.56	4.47	4.22	4.24	4.53	4.37	4.37	4.35	4.42	4.33	4.40
CHM	1154	Holubka, Joseph	28	50.0%	3.93	3.93	3.86	3.43	3.86	3.71	3.79	3.79	3.36	4.21	4.07	4.07	3.64	3.86
CHM	1154	Carduner, Keith	25	88.0%	4.43	4.48	4.52	4.50	4.14	4.15	4.38	4.43	4.29	4.67	4.48	4.52	4.43	4.47
CHM	1213	Hopper, Lauren	27	81.5%	3.82	3.73	3.95	3.91	3.55	3.48	3.74	4.36	3.81	4.23	4.09	4.27	3.85	4.10
CHM	1213	Madden, William	63	77.8%	4.08	3.71	4.07	3.98	3.82	3.90	3.92	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.11	4.27	3.90	4.14
CHM	1213	Woodworth, James	29	86.2%	3.64	4.00	4.12	3.91	3.58	3.79	3.83	4.40	3.72	4.48	4.32	4.28	3.84	4.17
CHM	1221	Appleby, Lavetta	18	94.4%	4.06	4.00	4.29	4.00	4.19	3.94	4.10	4.47	4.12	4.06	3.94	4.00	4.29	4.15
CHM	1221	Dean, Walter	20	90.0%	4.28	4.28	4.39	4.38	4.00	4.22	4.26	4.44	4.11	4.22	4.29	4.33	4.17	4.26
CHM	1221	Holubka, Joseph	15	66.7%	4.20	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.10	4.00	4.30	4.22	4.10	4.60	4.20	4.70	4.20	4.33
CHM	1221	Hopper, Lauren	20	80.0%	4.31	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.31	4.06	4.30	4.75	4.81	4.94	4.87	4.88	4.94	4.86
CHM	1221	Sky, Anthony	6	100.0%	3.17	3.00	4.17	3.20	3.33	3.33	3.36	4.17	2.67	3.50	3.00	3.83	3.17	3.39

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
CHM	1221	Villeneuve, Nicole	19	73.7%	4.64	4.64	4.79	4.86	4.50	4.69	4.68	4.71	4.64	4.71	4.79	4.50	4.64	4.67
CHM	1221	Woodworth, James	20	90.0%	4.17	4.12	4.50	4.28	3.94	3.88	4.16	4.17	4.17	4.39	4.28	3.89	4.28	4.19
CHM	2313	Timmons, Shannon	14	85.7%	4.58	3.75	4.42	4.75	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.75	4.42	4.75	4.67	5.00	4.42	4.65
CHM	3001	Madden, William	3	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.28	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17
CHM	3383	Villeneuve, Nicole	4	100.0%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
CHM	3403	Timmons, Shannon	7	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
CHM	3411	Timmons, Shannon	6	83.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
CHM	3434	Appleby, Lavetta	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.00	4.00	3.50	3.67	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75
CHM	3441	Madden, William	3	66.7%	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.42	4.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.50	3.50	3.92
CHM	4632	Villeneuve, Nicole	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.89	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.78
CHM	4643	Dean, Walter	3	100.0%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	3.67	4.22	3.33	3.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	3.33	3.94
CHM	4912	Timmons, Shannon	1	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
CHM	6153	Appleby, Lavetta	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.25
COM	94	Krupa, Tanja	32	96.9%	4.57	4.52	4.57	4.47	4.43	4.39	4.48	4.77	4.47	4.58	4.52	4.75	4.41	4.57
COM	94	McNicholl, Elaine	18	55.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.70	4.30	4.60	4.52	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.56	4.50	4.60	4.58
COM	94	Moyer, Daniel	31	83.9%	4.68	4.50	4.62	4.54	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.73	4.64	4.77	4.76	4.54	4.69	4.69
COM	94	Yezbick, Denise	16	81.3%	4.62	4.69	4.77	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.64	4.62	4.38	4.54	4.67	4.62	4.42	4.55
COM	100	Abbas, Nawal	27	33.3%	4.88	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.38	4.38	4.67	4.88	4.88	4.50	4.88	4.75	4.38	4.71
COM	1001	Anneberg, Lisa	22	81.8%	4.18	4.11	4.00	4.33	3.93	3.59	4.03	4.18	4.47	4.47	4.47	4.35	4.41	4.39
COM	1001	Hanna, Vance	21	57.1%	4.58	4.67	4.42	4.67	4.25	4.27	4.48	4.75	4.58	4.73	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.74
COM	1001	Balcom, Cynthia	56	100.0%	3.32	3.53	3.88	3.96	3.38	3.33	3.57	3.61	3.54	4.15	4.04	4.44	3.75	3.90
COM	1001	Barnard, Alexandria	22	86.4%	4.42	4.11	4.26	4.42	3.84	3.89	4.16	4.22	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.31
COM	1001	Craig, Brian	19	94.7%	3.83	4.18	4.44	4.11	3.67	4.00	4.04	4.67	4.50	4.44	4.44	4.61	4.56	4.54
COM	1001	Gaber, Jody	39	69.2%	4.15	4.22	4.07	4.30	3.92	3.89	4.09	4.26	4.44	4.63	4.44	4.67	4.31	4.46
COM	1001	Hsu, Jamie	41	56.1%	4.17	4.13	4.17	4.22	3.78	3.87	4.06	4.61	4.39	4.61	4.39	4.70	4.61	4.55
COM	1001	Jolly, James	20	95.0%	3.83	4.05	4.39	4.37	3.83	3.68	4.00	4.44	4.26	4.44	4.28	4.37	4.50	4.36
COM	1001	Kight, Amanda	22	100.0%	4.58	4.32	4.63	4.78	4.39	4.32	4.50	4.77	4.86	4.86	4.77	4.86	4.95	4.85
COM	1001	Koch, Douglass	38	94.7%	3.61	3.64	3.94	3.58	3.33	3.33	3.57	4.26	3.57	4.41	3.89	4.49	4.14	4.12
COM	1001	Molloy, Sara	17	82.4%	4.43	4.36	4.71	4.77	3.93	4.21	4.40	4.57	4.64	4.79	4.93	4.64	4.71	4.71
COM	1002	Hanna, Vance	14	50.0%	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.71	4.50	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.88
COM	1103	Jolly, James	20	90.0%	4.50	4.22	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.51	4.78	4.67	4.72	4.50	4.78	4.78	4.70
COM	1103	Cady, Paul	22	68.2%	4.47	4.07	4.60	4.27	4.47	4.60	4.41	4.67	4.33	4.62	4.57	4.47	4.64	4.53
COM	1103	Finkelman, Louis	21	66.7%	4.43	4.21	4.21	4.14	3.93	4.21	4.19	4.57	4.29	4.62	4.00	4.64	4.57	4.45
COM	1103	Hillard, Donora	21	66.7%	4.79	4.71	4.93	4.86	4.79	4.86	4.82	4.92	5.00	4.93	5.00	4.86	4.92	4.93
COM	1103	Kelber, Nathan	40	80.0%	4.39	4.06	4.31	4.44	4.28	4.20	4.28	4.41	4.48	4.44	4.31	4.47	4.44	4.42
COM	1103	Kenaga, Heidi	38	73.7%	4.21	4.14	4.39	4.00	3.96	4.07	4.13	4.50	4.14	3.96	3.67	4.21	4.08	4.09
COM	1103	Levis, Joel	56	51.8%	4.07	3.79	3.72	3.38	3.97	3.93	3.81	4.34	3.75	3.04	3.38	3.76	3.76	3.69
COM	1103	McNicholl, Elaine	17	82.4%	4.64	4.36	4.50	4.43	4.54	4.29	4.45	4.86	4.64	4.79	4.57	4.71	4.79	4.73
COM	1103	Phillips, Melinda	20	90.0%	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.39	4.59	4.56	4.49	4.76	4.41	4.65	4.59	4.76	4.61	4.56
COM	1103	Schantz, Randy	21	90.5%	3.22	3.56	3.47	2.89	3.53	3.06	3.30	3.63	2.74	3.00	3.05	3.63	3.11	3.19
COM	1111	Abbas, Nawal	7	57.1%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.58	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.85	4.85
COM	2103	Conner, John	41	78.0%	4.65	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.41	4.59	4.51	4.78	4.72	4.70	4.68	4.87	4.84	4.77
COM	2103	Floyd, Stacha	29	82.8%	4.65	4.39	4.50	4.29	4.46	4.42	4.44	4.68	4.48	4.61	4.43	4.65	4.65	4.58
COM	2103	Glennan, Thomas	19	94.7%	4.65	4.61	4.72	4.72	4.44	4.61	4.63	4.83	4.72	4.78	4.67	4.72	4.82	4.75
COM	2103	Helterhoff, Holly	19	84.2%	4.69	4.63	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.81	4.75	4.81	4.69	4.81	4.88	4.79
COM	2103	Mack, April	25	84.0%	4.52	4.35	4.50	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.45	4.81	4.29	4.48	4.33	4.95	4.45	4.55
COM	2113	Stavish, Corinne	21	85.7%	4.56	4.29	4.59	4.28	4.39	4.56	4.44	4.78	4.56	4.50	4.39	4.72	4.71	4.60
COM	3453	Nunez, Richard	7	100.0%	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.00	3.00	3.33	3.76	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.17	4.43	4.57	4.49
COM	4963	Doornbos, Priya	5	100.0%	4.20	4.20	4.60	3.60	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.80	4.50	4.20	4.12
COM	4963	Helterhoff, Holly	6	100.0%	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.94
COM	6453	Nunez, Richard	6	100.0%	4.17	4.33	4.50	5.00	3.67	3.83	4.20	4.67	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.47
COM	6493	Helterhoff, Holly	1	100.0%	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.67	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.17

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
COM	7103	Doornbos, Priya	5	100.0%	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.33	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40
CRW	2513	Lamers, Sara	5	100.0%	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.37	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.47
EAE	1081	Walters, Filza	14	92.9%	4.23	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.08	4.00	4.28	4.85	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.92	4.77	4.79
EAE	1081	Yu, Daihong	9	100.0%	3.11	3.22	3.44	3.56	2.78	2.67	3.13	3.67	3.56	3.44	3.78	3.44	3.22	3.52
EAE	1093	Walters, Filza	6	100.0%	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.67	3.40	3.00	3.42	3.83	3.50	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.81
EAE	3113	Clarahan, Mollie	11	72.7%	3.25	3.50	4.00	3.38	3.38	3.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.25	4.00	3.13	3.40
EAE	4014	Nelson, Ralph	8	100.0%	4.38	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.79
EAE	4113	Nowicki, Michael	10	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.57	4.80	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.65
ECE	1012	Carpenter, Donald	6	50.0%	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.44	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.89
ECE	1013	Livernois, Michael	13	69.2%	3.78	4.22	4.33	4.11	4.11	4.00	4.09	4.67	3.89	4.33	4.44	3.22	4.11	4.11
ECE	1101	Sapp, Crystal	19	73.7%	4.57	4.50	4.79	4.79	4.71	4.64	4.67	4.86	4.79	4.79	4.86	4.79	5.00	4.85
ECE	1102	Bandara, Nishantha	26	65.4%	4.18	4.06	4.18	3.94	3.82	3.82	4.00	3.00	3.53	3.76	3.53	3.65	3.29	3.46
ECE	1413	Mata, Luis	11	81.8%	4.33	4.11	4.11	3.56	4.11	4.44	4.11	4.56	3.89	4.00	3.67	4.78	4.33	4.20
ECE	3013	Kowalkowski, Keith	18	100.0%	4.50	4.22	4.28	4.28	4.56	4.28	4.35	4.67	3.94	4.33	4.12	4.61	4.33	4.33
ECE	3213	Mata, Luis	6	83.3%	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.80	4.53	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.83
ECE	3324	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	9	100.0%	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.24	4.89	4.22	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.43
ECE	3424	Hettiarachchi, Hiroshan	11	63.6%	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.43	4.40	4.86	4.29	4.00	4.00	4.57	4.71	4.40
ECE	3523	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	9	100.0%	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.11	3.89	4.02	4.44	4.00	4.11	4.22	4.00	4.00	4.13
ECE	3723	Chynoweth, Matthew	12	58.3%	4.00	3.86	4.29	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.86	4.14	4.86	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.60
ECE	3823	Bandara, Nishantha	9	88.9%	3.75	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.38	3.25	3.60	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.46
ECE	4022	Mata, Luis	27	70.4%	4.00	4.31	4.42	4.05	4.00	4.00	4.11	4.33	4.21	4.16	4.33	4.44	4.22	4.31
ECE	4051	Tocco, John	12	75.0%	3.89	3.67	4.00	3.88	3.56	3.44	3.71	4.22	3.67	4.00	3.88	4.33	3.89	3.98
ECE	4243	Tocco, John	15	60.0%	4.22	4.33	4.11	3.89	4.11	4.11	4.13	4.44	3.89	4.11	3.67	4.56	4.11	4.13
ECE	4263	Tocco, John	16	62.5%	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.30	4.33	4.50	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.38
ECE	4443	Hettiarachchi, Hiroshan	12	91.7%	4.36	4.36	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.42	4.82	4.55	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.73	4.74
ECE	4544	Carpenter, Donald	14	64.3%	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ECE	4733	Bebawy, Mena	7	85.7%	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.31	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.50
ECE	4743	Kowalkowski, Keith	17	94.1%	4.69	4.44	4.75	4.69	4.31	4.38	4.54	4.88	4.75	4.81	4.56	4.88	4.81	4.78
ECE	4761	Elder, Charles	11	45.5%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.43	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.50
ECE	4843	Bandara, Nishantha	9	66.7%	4.00	4.17	4.17	3.83	4.17	4.17	4.08	3.83	3.67	4.17	3.83	4.50	3.83	3.97
ECE	5113	Mata, Luis	9	88.9%	4.13	4.25	3.75	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.38	4.88	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58
ECE	5213	Rener, Andrew	21	76.2%	4.25	4.13	4.31	3.69	4.07	4.31	4.13	4.44	4.00	4.13	4.31	4.31	4.38	4.26
ECE	5233	Judnic, Victor	8	87.5%	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.55	5.00	4.71	4.57	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.81
ECE	5323	Sklash, Michael	6	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
ECE	5533	Zager, Nicholas	14	71.4%	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.65	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.73
ECE	5713	Livernois, Michael	11	45.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.57	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
ECE	6743	Kowalkowski, Keith	11	63.6%	4.57	4.43	4.86	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.55	4.14	4.29	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.48
EEE	2111	Johnston, Richard	8	50.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.63	5.00	4.75	4.75	3.50	4.75	4.75	4.58
EEE	2111	Foster, Ronald	4	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.92	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.92
EEE	2114	Walker, Lewis	14	57.1%	1.88	2.00	1.63	2.38	2.13	1.75	1.96	2.88	1.75	2.13	2.38	1.88	1.25	2.04
EEE	2123	Anneberg, Lisa	17	88.2%	4.33	4.20	4.33	4.27	4.33	4.40	4.31	4.60	4.20	4.80	4.33	4.93	4.60	4.58
EEE	2123	Cloud, Michael	28	89.3%	4.32	4.33	4.04	4.20	3.92	4.04	4.13	4.80	4.28	4.56	4.48	4.56	4.52	4.53
EEE	2214	Jaber, Nabih	18	50.0%	4.00	3.89	4.11	3.89	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.67	3.89	4.22	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.30
EEE	2214	Johnston, Richard	10	50.0%	3.80	3.40	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.00	3.97	4.40	3.80	4.60	3.80	4.60	4.20	4.23
EEE	3011	Jaber, Nabih	21	71.4%	3.33	3.62	4.29	3.43	4.14	4.14	3.83	4.21	3.67	4.20	3.87	4.67	4.13	4.12
EEE	3121	Renehan, Bridget	7	71.4%	3.40	4.00	3.60	3.00	3.80	4.00	3.63	4.00	3.00	3.20	3.60	3.40	3.60	3.47
EEE	3124	Cloud, Michael	15	66.7%	4.80	4.30	4.70	4.80	4.00	4.30	4.48	5.00	4.80	4.56	4.70	3.89	4.50	4.58
EEE	3221	Anneberg, Lisa	9	77.8%	4.86	4.57	5.00	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.69	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.81
EEE	3223	Anneberg, Lisa	9	77.8%	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.86	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.62



Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EEE	3231	Foster, Ronald	15	46.7%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.14	4.71	4.57	4.60	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.86	4.62
EEE	3233	Foster, Ronald	18	50.0%	4.44	4.33	4.56	3.89	4.56	4.56	4.39	4.89	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.56	4.46
EEE	3311	Renehan, Bridget	9	44.4%	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.17	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
EEE	3314	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	11	36.4%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.92
EEE	3414	Kolasa, William	9	44.4%	4.50	4.00	4.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	4.04	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	5.00	3.75	4.33
EEE	3422	Johnston, Richard	8	62.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.77	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.70
EEE	3511	Johnston, Richard	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	3513	Walker, Lewis	6	50.0%	3.33	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.89
EEE	4241	Sweet, Benjamin	11	63.6%	4.71	4.33	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.50	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.83
EEE	4243	Sweet, Benjamin	9	88.9%	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.48	4.48	4.88	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.73	4.73
EEE	4253	Foster, Ronald	12	41.7%	3.60	3.40	4.20	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.76	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.10
EEE	4263	Anneberg, Lisa	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	4313	Johnston, Richard	4	75.0%	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	4323	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	13	84.6%	3.36	3.64	3.64	3.27	3.55	3.64	3.52	4.00	3.27	3.00	3.45	3.45	3.27	3.41
EEE	4423	Hua, Kun	9	100.0%	5.00	4.78	4.78	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.93	5.00	4.89	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
EEE	4514	Foster, Ronald	7	57.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.67
EEE	4713	Baset, Miah	6	83.3%	3.60	4.20	4.00	3.60	2.80	3.00	3.53	2.80	3.60	3.25	3.40	2.60	2.80	3.03
EEE	4811	Jaber, Nabih	16	56.3%	3.89	3.88	3.63	3.56	4.00	4.00	3.84	3.67	3.89	4.22	3.89	4.78	4.11	4.09
EEE	4822	Jaber, Nabih	6	50.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.67	3.00	3.67	3.67	3.33
EEE	4831	Jaber, Nabih	5	100.0%	3.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.54	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.63
EEE	4842	Jaber, Nabih	3	33.3%	4.00		5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.40	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.67
EEE	4911	Anneberg, Lisa	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.50
EEE	5114	Cloud, Michael	10	90.0%	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.72	5.00	5.00	4.78	4.67	4.89	5.00	4.89
EEE	5274	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	6	100.0%	2.67	2.83	3.00	2.33	3.00	3.33	2.86	3.50	2.83	2.67	2.83	3.00	2.67	2.92
EEE	5654	Hua, Kun	17	88.2%	4.47	4.47	4.73	4.53	4.27	4.47	4.49	4.73	4.67	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.53	4.69
EEE	6784	Hua, Kun	6	100.0%	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.69	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.78
EGE	1001	Fletcher, Robert	40	65.0%	3.85	3.84	3.96	3.50	3.42	3.38	3.66	4.46	3.85	4.23	3.96	4.42	4.00	4.15
EGE	1001	Gerhart, Andrew	22	50.0%	4.09	4.36	3.91	3.64	3.91	4.00	3.98	4.82	4.73	4.73	4.45	4.73	4.73	4.70
EGE	1001	Olivier, Philip	22	72.7%	3.00	2.80	3.00	2.63	3.00	2.67	2.88	3.00	2.38	3.20	2.63	3.13	2.75	2.84
EGE	1001	Carpenter, Donald	20	70.0%	3.86	3.86	3.85	4.36	3.71	3.92	3.91	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.62	4.51
EGE	1001	Meyer, Eric	22	59.1%	3.38	3.69	3.69	3.46	3.62	3.46	3.55	3.62	3.08	3.69	3.54	3.69	3.62	3.54
EGE	1001	Walters, Filza	19	78.9%	3.57	3.29	3.33	3.47	3.73	3.13	3.42	3.80	3.67	3.80	3.67	3.93	3.67	3.76
EGE	1001	Feierfeil, Gregory	21	57.1%	4.25	4.18	4.33	3.83	4.25	4.17	4.16	4.33	4.25	4.33	4.17	4.42	4.42	4.32
EGE	1012	Feierfeil, Gregory	19	21.1%	4.25	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.92	3.75	3.50	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.04
EGE	1023	Lisiecki, Laura	42	50.0%	4.25	3.86	4.05	3.81	3.33	3.67	3.79	4.38	3.52	4.05	3.67	3.19	3.67	3.80
EGE	1102	Fernandez, Vernon	33	48.5%	3.56	3.69	4.00	3.69	3.75	3.19	3.65	4.44	3.44	3.93	4.00	3.94	3.44	3.85
EGE	1102	Stuart, Charles	31	80.6%	4.24	4.16	4.12	4.24	4.28	4.20	4.21	4.80	4.40	4.84	4.76	4.80	4.72	4.72
EGE	2013	Riedel, Chris	19	63.2%	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.86
EGE	2013	Kerns, James	9	88.9%	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.40	4.25	4.00	4.75	4.00	4.63	4.25	4.31
EGE	2233	Reimer, Donald	29	65.5%	3.50	3.56	3.67	3.39	3.56	3.39	3.51	4.06	3.33	3.78	3.72	4.17	3.67	3.79
EGE	3003	Fletcher, Robert	17	82.4%	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.21	4.50	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.21	4.07	4.50	4.21	4.31
EGE	3003	Frasch, Lewis	16	75.0%	4.25	3.83	4.42	4.33	4.55	4.00	4.22	4.92	3.92	4.92	4.83	4.67	4.25	4.58
EGE	3012	Lisiecki, Laura	35	65.7%	4.13	4.39	4.22	4.13	3.61	3.83	4.05	4.17	4.04	4.14	4.13	3.52	3.83	3.95
EGE	3012	Sobolok, Steve	29	65.5%	4.16	4.32	4.37	4.32	3.89	4.00	4.17	4.37	4.05	4.42	4.47	4.22	4.16	4.28
EGE	3361	Reimer, Donald	11	54.5%	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.00	3.83	3.33	4.11	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.28
EGE	3903	Fletcher, Robert	8	87.5%	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.40	5.00	4.86	4.71	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.88
EGE	4311	Reimer, Donald	6	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.88	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67
EME	2011	Lisiecki, Laura	17	76.5%	4.31	4.31	4.31	4.54	4.38	4.38	4.37	4.77	4.31	4.69	4.69	4.38	4.54	4.56
EME	2012	Mullin, Thomas	31	58.1%	4.50	4.29	4.67	4.50	4.28	4.50	4.45	4.72	4.61	4.72	4.61	4.71	4.61	4.65
EME	3013	Yee, Kingman	21	95.2%	4.85	4.70	4.80	4.35	4.50	4.50	4.62	4.85	4.35	4.63	4.45	4.15	4.35	4.45
EME	3023	Ali, Sk	22	72.7%	3.75	4.13	4.13	3.94	4.00	3.87	3.97	4.25	3.56	4.27	3.69	3.81	3.81	3.89

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EME	3033	Fernandez, Vernon	7	71.4%	3.60	3.60	3.80	2.80	3.60	3.20	3.43	3.60	2.80	3.00	3.20	3.00	3.00	3.10
EME	3033	Pawlowski, Roger	12	83.3%	4.00	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.10	3.80	4.05	4.70	4.20	4.30	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.45
EME	3043	Riedel, Chris	24	66.7%	4.69	4.56	4.88	4.81	4.88	4.63	4.74	5.00	4.94	4.94	4.88	4.63	4.94	4.89
EME	3043	Hendershot, Ricky	24	66.7%	4.31	4.06	4.19	4.06	3.56	3.69	3.98	3.63	3.63	4.00	3.88	4.06	3.63	3.80
EME	3123	Gerhart, Andrew	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	3123	Liu, Liping	11	81.8%	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.30	4.44	4.11	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.26
EME	3133	Stuart, Charles	17	82.4%	4.64	4.71	4.64	4.36	4.29	4.57	4.54	4.79	4.64	4.79	4.71	4.93	4.86	4.79
EME	3214	Mynderse, James	9	100.0%	3.11	3.44	3.11	3.11	3.44	3.11	3.22	4.22	3.44	3.00	3.00	3.11	2.78	3.26
EME	3214	Kerns, James	8	87.5%	3.14	3.43	3.43	3.29	3.57	3.00	3.31	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.81
EME	4003	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	18	61.1%	4.00	4.36	4.45	4.18	4.64	4.55	4.36	4.73	4.18	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.64	4.52
EME	4013	Arslan, Selin	15	80.0%	4.25	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.35	4.67	4.58	4.33	4.42	4.50	4.58	4.51
EME	4013	Liu, Liping	9	88.9%	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.83	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.85
EME	4163	Williams, John	10	100.0%	4.80	4.60	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.82	4.70	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.77
EME	4212	Yee, Kingman	24	83.3%	4.00	3.71	3.60	4.25	3.74	3.65	3.82	3.95	4.25	4.35	3.95	3.85	4.00	4.06
EME	4252	Yee, Kingman	20	50.0%	3.90	3.60	4.00	4.22	3.80	3.70	3.84	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.10	4.40	4.42
EME	4333	Gill, Preetinder	22	59.1%	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.15	4.31	4.54	4.92	4.77	4.92	4.85	4.85	4.92	4.87
EME	4402	Fernandez, Vernon	17	76.5%	2.00	2.50	2.92	2.08	3.00	2.08	2.43	2.62	1.62	1.92	1.85	2.38	1.69	2.01
EME	4412	Gerhart, Andrew	7	100.0%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.76	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86
EME	4603	Hendershot, Ricky	13	69.2%	3.88	3.75	3.88	3.25	4.00	3.25	3.65	2.67	3.22	3.00	4.11	3.89	3.00	3.31
EME	4613	Arslan, Selin	19	73.7%	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.71	4.57	4.64	4.64	4.79	4.57	4.71	4.64	4.79	4.57	4.68
EME	5143	Yassine, Mahmoud	21	71.4%	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.47	4.73	4.40	4.53	4.73	4.33	4.67	4.73	3.67	4.27	4.40
EME	5153	Arslan, Selin	23	69.6%	4.81	4.88	4.81	4.81	4.81	4.88	4.83	4.94	4.94	4.88	4.94	4.88	4.88	4.91
EME	5213	Santangelo, Giuseppe	28	53.6%	4.27	4.47	4.47	4.20	3.87	4.27	4.26	4.60	4.20	4.67	4.47	4.53	4.33	4.47
EME	5253	Santangelo, Giuseppe	23	52.2%	4.58	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.75	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.58	4.42	4.67	4.25	4.47
EME	5273	Liu, Liping	8	62.5%	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.57	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
EME	5433	DeRose, Giuseppe	16	81.3%	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.54	4.54	4.69	4.65	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.77	4.92	4.85	4.85
EME	5603	Ali, Sk	15	66.7%	4.80	4.40	4.70	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.67	4.80	4.90	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.90	4.77
EME	5983	Fletcher, Robert	21	71.4%	4.87	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.73	4.80	4.80	4.87	4.87	4.73	4.73	4.87	4.87	4.82
EME	6103	Demeri, Mahmoud	4	75.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.72
EME	6203	Alwerfalli, Daw	23	21.7%	4.60	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	6283	Jawad, Badih	6	66.7%	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	6333	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	10	80.0%	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.88	3.75	3.75	4.13	3.88	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.88	4.06
EME	6403	Ali, Sk	18	66.7%	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.25	4.24	4.50	4.25	4.33	4.25	4.33	4.42	4.35
EME	6533	Riedel, Chris	8	87.5%	4.29	4.14	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.33	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.40
EME	6543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	6	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	6583	Gebara, Naji	7	85.7%	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.86
EME	6583	Sacino, Lisa	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.33
EME	6623	Makki, Imad	16	68.8%	3.73	3.91	3.82	4.09	4.18	3.82	3.92	4.55	3.91	4.36	3.91	3.91	4.00	4.11
EME	6673	Trewn, Jayant	9	66.7%	4.17	3.83	4.17	3.67	3.83	4.00	3.94	4.67	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.19
EME	6703	Sobolak, Steve	10	60.0%	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.64	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.86
EME	6803	Alwerfalli, Daw	19	57.9%	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.62	4.64	4.64	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.74
EME	7103	Nasser, Mohamed	9	55.6%	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.47	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.33
EME	7543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00		5.00	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.17
ERE	2024	Kfoury, Giscard	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.00	3.33	5.00	4.67	4.39	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.60
ERE	3014	Kfoury, Giscard	2	100.0%	3.50	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.87	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.92
ERE	3114	Kfoury, Giscard	3	100.0%	3.67	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.94	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
ESL	112	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	12	91.7%	4.18	3.82	3.82	3.91	3.91	3.82	3.91	4.45	4.09	4.00	3.82	4.36	4.09	4.14
ESL	132	Bauer, Emily	18	66.7%	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.92	4.76	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ESL	152	Finlay, Suzanne	19	89.5%	4.06	4.06	4.31	4.00	3.94	4.06	4.03	4.07	4.13	4.14	4.07	4.07	4.07	4.01
ESL	212	Edward, Pauline	10	80.0%	3.88	4.00	4.00	4.13	3.57	3.71	3.91	4.71	4.50	4.29	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.30



Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ESL	252	Saleh, Jehan	9	66.7%	4.33	3.83	4.17	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.96	4.17	4.00	4.00	3.60	3.50	4.17	4.04
ESL	312	Broughton, Katharine	9	55.6%	4.20	4.40	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.30
ESL	332	Broughton, Katharine	14	78.6%	4.27	4.27	4.09	4.27	3.55	3.82	4.05	4.73	4.45	4.64	4.73	4.64	4.73	4.65
ESL	332	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	10	90.0%	4.44	4.67	3.67	4.44	4.33	4.11	4.28	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.22	4.56	4.44	4.44
ESL	352	Bauer, Emily	15	93.3%	4.93	4.93	4.86	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ESL	352	Jawad, Megdieh	10	100.0%	4.40	4.22	4.60	4.40	4.10	4.22	4.35	4.50	4.30	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.43
ESL	412	Mirza, Megan	11	81.8%	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.44	5.00	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.63
ESL	432	Mirza, Megan	19	94.7%	4.41	4.18	4.50	4.50	4.19	4.17	4.32	4.50	4.61	4.67	4.61	4.50	4.61	4.58
ESL	432	Saleh, Jehan	10	90.0%	4.11	4.56	3.88	4.44	4.44	3.89	4.22	4.22	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.35
ESL	452	Mirza, Megan	17	100.0%	4.59	4.47	4.24	4.59	4.53	4.41	4.47	4.65	4.59	4.71	4.65	4.59	4.71	4.65
ESL	452	Saleh, Jehan	9	66.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
ESL	512	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	12	91.7%	3.73	3.45	3.55	3.82	3.73	3.82	3.68	4.27	4.09	4.27	3.91	4.09	4.18	4.14
ESL	532	Bauer, Emily	15	66.7%	4.67	4.56	4.50	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.63	4.90	4.80	4.90	5.00	4.78	4.89	4.88
ESL	532	Edward, Pauline	14	100.0%	4.71	4.36	4.71	4.71	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.00	4.54	4.54	4.31	4.31	4.62	4.38
ESL	552	Finlay, Suzanne	26	92.3%	3.50	3.63	3.61	3.46	3.46	3.39	3.51	3.48	3.43	3.55	3.48	3.43	3.39	3.47
ESL	622	Broughton, Katharine	10	60.0%	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.83	4.50	4.47
ESL	642	Abraham, Yvonna	13	92.3%	4.67	4.42	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.75	4.64	4.92	4.83	4.92	4.92	4.91	4.83	4.89
ESL	642	Neme, Jonathon	11	81.8%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.25	4.63	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.63	4.56	4.50	4.59
ESL	652	Neme, Jonathon	19	73.7%	4.71	4.50	4.79	4.38	4.21	4.38	4.51	4.57	4.67	4.62	4.71	4.69	4.71	4.67
FIN	5013	Browne, Warren	5	60.0%	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.94
FIN	6013	Tsebro, Pavlo	12	33.3%	3.25	2.50	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.33	3.38	4.75	3.00	4.00	2.75	4.75	4.00	3.88
FIN	6013	Halstead, John	9	44.4%	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.75	3.75	3.75	4.17	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.88
FSC	1214	Bozin, Keith	24	87.5%	3.80	4.14	4.35	3.90	4.40	4.25	4.15	4.60	4.05	4.40	4.20	4.75	4.65	4.44
GAM	2123	Ashton, Marshall	12	66.7%	4.63	4.50	4.75	3.88	4.63	4.50	4.48	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.58
GAM	2213	Ashton, Marshall	24	66.7%	4.31	4.13	4.19	4.13	4.06	4.25	4.18	4.69	4.31	4.56	4.56	4.75	4.50	4.56
GAM	3143	Ashton, Marshall	7	42.9%	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.78
GAM	4514	Ashton, Marshall	3	100.0%	2.67	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.33	2.00	2.17	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.22
GAM	4514	Rost, Steven	3	100.0%	2.00	1.67	1.67	2.00	2.00	2.33	1.94	2.33	2.00	2.67	2.33	2.67	2.00	2.33
GCS	2123	Bozin, Keith	7	100.0%	4.00	4.00	3.60	4.00	3.83	3.67	3.86	3.83	3.67	4.00	4.17	4.17	3.60	3.88
GCS	2131	Bozin, Keith	7	71.4%	4.00	3.40	4.00	4.20	3.80	4.00	3.90	4.25	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.37
GLG	1103	Thomas, David	28	96.4%	4.30	4.44	4.42	4.46	4.41	4.40	4.40	4.74	4.41	4.70	4.56	4.85	4.62	4.64
GLM	6163	Tsebro, Pavlo	8	12.5%	3.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.50
GLM	6403	Cairns, Diane	5	60.0%	3.00	3.33	3.33	3.33	2.33	3.33	3.11	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.00	3.50
HRM	3013	Egleston, David	38	60.5%	4.26	4.39	4.39	4.17	4.26	4.17	4.28	4.57	4.35	4.26	4.13	4.39	4.13	4.30
HRM	4013	Cusmano, Frank	12	75.0%	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.87
HRM	6023	Egleston, David	32	53.1%	4.06	4.35	4.12	3.76	3.82	3.88	4.00	4.35	3.88	3.65	3.71	4.12	3.65	3.89
HRM	6023	Emmons, Chris	12	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.75
HRM	6133	Ellison, Howard	21	57.1%	3.83	3.82	3.83	3.50	3.75	3.42	3.69	3.64	3.25	3.50	3.27	3.45	3.36	3.33
HTM	5043	Lindman, Gerald	5	20.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.83
IDD	1114	English, Derek	7	85.7%	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.17	3.83	4.00	4.03	4.17	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.83	4.17	4.00
IDD	1114	Falenski, Jason	7	100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.71	4.71	4.52
IDD	1114	Hanzel, Andrew	7	85.7%	3.67	3.50	4.33	3.33	4.00	4.00	3.81	4.80	3.67	4.67	3.50	4.67	4.17	4.21
IDD	2214	McPhail, Matthew	5	20.0%	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
IDD	2214	Palazzolo, Joseph	5	20.0%	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	5.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.50
IDD	2214	Zubrickas, Paul	5	40.0%	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	2.92	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	2.50	3.08
IDD	2215	English, Derek	5	20.0%	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50
IDD	2215	Graf, Benjamin	5	20.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17
INT	3103	Steenkamp, Annette	17	64.7%	3.73	4.18	4.36	3.91	3.82	4.09	4.02	4.64	3.82	4.18	3.91	4.45	4.00	4.17
INT	3203	Dada, Amanullah	10	40.0%	3.00	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.75	3.00	3.29	3.75	3.00	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.25	3.54
INT	4013	Chasse, James	19	47.4%	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.11	4.11	4.44	4.41	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.70
INT	4303	Kohnke, Anne	5	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IOE	3354	Fernandez, Vernon	5	40.0%	3.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.25	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58
IOE	3653	Sobolak, Steve	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
IOE	4552	Storinsky, Michael	4	25.0%	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.17
LDR	2001	Nagara, Keith	40	85.0%	3.15	3.41	3.36	3.29	3.44	2.94	3.26	3.91	3.06	3.82	3.42	4.12	3.39	3.62
LDR	2001	Balcom, Cynthia	47	76.6%	3.58	3.86	3.61	3.64	3.56	3.36	3.59	4.06	3.61	3.83	4.03	4.39	3.86	3.95
LDR	2001	Craigo, Brian	13	61.5%	4.13	4.38	4.00	3.88	3.50	3.88	3.96	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.63	4.25	4.21
LDR	2001	Faulkner, Ty	33	69.7%	4.30	4.57	4.43	4.30	4.39	4.27	4.38	4.74	4.48	4.61	4.61	4.74	4.57	4.62
LDR	2001	Hobart, Jamie	19	84.2%	4.31	3.69	4.19	4.50	3.81	3.81	4.05	4.50	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.63	4.63	4.57
LDR	2001	Mercier, Jennifer	15	40.0%	4.17	4.17	3.83	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.86	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.75
LDR	2001	Mirza, Megan	21	81.0%	4.41	4.35	4.18	4.41	3.94	4.12	4.24	4.41	4.35	4.47	4.35	4.65	4.47	4.45
LDR	2001	Nation, Christopher	38	86.8%	4.55	4.58	4.58	4.73	4.33	4.25	4.50	4.91	4.94	4.97	4.94	5.00	4.94	4.95
LDR	2001	Jolly, Katherine	22	72.7%	4.38	4.19	4.38	4.38	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.50	4.47	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.47	4.48
LDR	3000	Molloy, Sara	191	66.0%	4.08	3.76	4.00	4.20	3.63	3.51	3.87	4.13	4.41	4.42	4.34	4.22	4.23	4.29
LDR	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	44	43.2%	4.17	3.89	3.94	4.33	3.50	3.11	3.82	4.33	4.17	4.44	4.35	4.28	4.28	4.31
LDR	4000	Hobart, Jamie	24	66.7%	3.94	3.92	3.73	3.94	3.36	2.87	3.66	4.20	4.31	4.19	4.25	4.40	4.31	4.27
LDR	4000	Jolly, James	26	73.1%	4.29	4.31	4.38	4.44	4.12	3.19	4.14	4.69	4.65	4.71	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.65
LLT	1213	Cady, Paul	16	75.0%	4.27	4.27	4.42	4.45	4.17	4.42	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.27	4.42	4.50	4.41
LLT	1213	Finkelman, Louis	37	78.4%	4.21	4.38	4.34	4.28	4.25	4.23	4.29	4.72	4.34	4.38	4.38	4.79	4.57	4.53
LLT	1213	Hillard, Donora	20	55.0%	4.27	4.20	4.60	4.36	4.18	4.36	4.32	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.30	4.70	4.60	4.53
LLT	1213	Kelber, Nathan	8	75.0%	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.81	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
LLT	1213	Kustarz, Michelle	19	89.5%	4.41	4.18	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.59	4.63	4.53	4.59	4.47	4.35	4.52
LLT	1213	Phillips, Melinda	8	87.5%	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.88
LLT	1213	Wilhelm, Stephanie	17	76.5%	4.25	4.08	4.33	3.75	4.33	4.17	4.15	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.58	4.25	4.39
LLT	1223	Hadley, Margaret	10	90.0%	4.38	4.22	4.00	4.00	3.89	4.22	4.10	4.67	4.11	3.67	3.63	4.00	3.78	4.02
LLT	1223	Brinker, Ludger	13	69.2%	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.81
LLT	1223	Cady, Paul	20	65.0%	4.38	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.46	4.62	4.58	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.71
LLT	1223	Frenkel, Cindy	13	84.6%	4.20	4.64	4.82	4.55	4.36	4.70	4.56	5.00	4.73	4.91	4.73	4.91	4.82	4.85
LLT	1223	Hillard, Donora	20	95.0%	4.47	4.32	4.47	4.47	4.39	4.38	4.42	4.84	4.72	4.84	4.84	5.00	4.89	4.85
LLT	1223	Kustarz, Michelle	15	86.7%	4.46	4.46	4.54	4.46	4.38	4.50	4.46	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.75	4.75	4.62	4.68
LLT	1223	Lamers, Sara	29	96.6%	4.25	4.07	4.04	3.96	3.89	3.89	4.02	4.46	4.36	4.52	4.32	4.44	4.23	4.39
LLT	1223	Yezbick, Denise	39	92.3%	4.22	4.22	4.34	4.06	4.03	3.97	4.13	4.17	4.03	4.17	4.03	4.33	4.08	4.13
LLT	3413	Phillips, Melinda	17	88.2%	4.60	4.20	4.43	4.21	3.71	4.20	4.22	4.80	4.53	4.40	4.33	4.67	4.60	4.56
LLT	3443	Brinker, Ludger	14	78.6%	4.45	4.55	4.64	4.45	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.82	4.64	4.80	4.70	4.91	4.64	4.74
LLT	3623	Schantz, Randy	21	90.5%	2.32	2.32	2.58	1.89	2.18	1.72	2.17	3.79	2.47	2.05	1.94	3.16	2.17	2.61
LLT	4223	Lamers, Sara	1	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
LLT	4513	Stavish, Corinne	12	91.7%	4.90	4.70	4.78	4.80	4.60	4.89	4.78	4.90	4.64	4.80	4.27	4.55	4.90	4.53
LLT	4523	Lamers, Sara	15	93.3%	4.14	3.93	4.64	3.86	4.23	4.29	4.17	4.79	3.86	4.57	4.64	4.50	4.29	4.44
MCO	1003	Gaber, Jody	16	100.0%	4.56	4.75	4.73	4.67	4.40	4.87	4.64	4.79	4.64	4.79	4.64	4.79	4.86	4.75
MCO	2003	Swantek, Beth	15	80.0%	4.42	4.33	4.18	4.17	4.08	4.42	4.26	4.55	4.58	4.50	4.25	4.42	4.50	4.46
MCO	2563	Raj, Roop	11	90.9%	4.50	4.50	4.67	3.90	4.67	4.50	4.45	4.90	4.70	4.60	4.70	4.89	4.78	4.73

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCO	3633	Helterhoff, Holly	4	100.0%	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.33	4.50	4.25	4.32
MCO	3713	Swantek, Beth	12	75.0%	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.44	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.61
MCO	4073	Anderanin, Paula	8	75.0%	4.00	4.17	4.00	3.60	3.67	4.33	3.98	4.83	4.00	4.33	3.40	4.33	4.50	4.26
MCO	4073	Moore, Laura	9	100.0%	4.78	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.88	4.83	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.96
MCO	4933	Gaber, Jody	5	60.0%	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	35	Zendeli, Bashkim	30	60.0%	4.22	4.29	4.39	4.18	4.28	4.56	4.32	4.47	4.47	4.31	4.50	4.62	4.69	4.49
MCS	44	Coval, Brad	13	61.5%	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.65	5.00	5.00	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.96
MCS	51	Mills, Hans	32	68.8%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.48	4.38	4.41	4.42	4.45	4.41	4.45	4.45	4.50	4.45	4.45
MCS	51	Nelson, Patrick	22	68.2%	4.40	4.43	4.29	4.27	4.29	4.31	4.31	4.60	4.21	4.27	4.31	4.33	4.53	4.38
MCS	51	Race, Matthew	19	68.4%	4.50	4.31	4.54	4.38	4.15	4.23	4.35	4.92	4.58	4.62	4.69	4.85	4.77	4.74
MCS	74	Liddy, Richard	32	78.1%	4.00	3.83	4.21	4.13	3.87	3.96	4.00	4.00	3.64	4.12	4.04	3.92	4.00	3.96
MCS	83	Timbre, Keith	19	78.9%	4.46	4.36	4.14	4.14	3.93	4.00	4.15	4.36	4.20	4.40	4.29	4.43	4.27	4.31
MCS	93	Liddy, Richard	20	80.0%	4.13	3.88	4.25	4.19	3.87	4.00	4.04	4.00	4.07	4.53	4.13	3.80	4.07	4.10
MCS	93	Timbre, Keith	5	60.0%	4.33	4.50	5.00	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.36	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.33	4.00	4.50
MCS	1003	Alnaji, Amina	22	100.0%	4.50	4.30	4.55	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.45	4.52	4.63	4.50	4.51
MCS	1003	Shaba, Amal	23	78.3%	4.63	4.65	4.56	4.44	4.41	4.40	4.54	4.47	4.60	4.63	4.47	4.57	4.53	4.55
MCS	1113	Coval, Brad	26	76.9%	4.35	4.25	4.35	4.33	4.50	4.30	4.35	4.60	4.55	4.60	4.55	4.50	4.40	4.53
MCS	1113	Mills, Hans	58	79.3%	4.56	4.56	4.59	4.66	4.57	4.64	4.58	4.53	4.57	4.64	4.61	4.65	4.60	4.58
MCS	1123	Husseini, Ghassan	9	77.8%	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.81	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.83
MCS	1142	Johnston, Richard	19	63.2%	3.92	3.64	4.17	3.67	3.75	4.00	3.86	4.08	3.92	4.25	4.09	3.91	4.00	4.05
MCS	1142	Shaba, Amal	24	83.3%	4.11	4.33	4.11	4.24	3.00	3.94	3.95	3.94	3.75	3.88	4.29	4.18	3.53	3.97
MCS	1142	Zurawski, Erik	18	61.1%	4.36	3.64	4.55	4.73	4.27	4.55	4.35	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.65
MCS	1142	Kendela, HEND	19	73.7%	3.86	4.21	3.71	3.71	4.00	3.64	3.86	3.79	3.21	3.57	3.29	3.38	3.43	3.45
MCS	1203	Khoury, Michael	20	80.0%	3.63	4.00	4.25	3.80	3.94	3.25	3.81	4.31	3.88	4.13	3.88	4.38	3.75	4.05
MCS	1203	Khurfe, Atallah	15	60.0%	4.11	4.33	4.44	4.44	3.89	3.78	4.17	4.11	3.67	4.22	4.22	4.33	3.89	4.07
MCS	1203	Vaynberg, Yelena	18	61.1%	3.60	3.64	3.70	3.82	3.36	3.18	3.52	3.64	3.45	4.67	4.00	4.00	3.60	3.86
MCS	1214	Bukaita, Wisam	25	84.0%	4.10	4.11	4.35	4.20	3.86	3.85	4.07	4.14	4.05	4.10	3.81	4.00	3.71	3.96
MCS	1214	Rosen, Michael	18	61.1%	4.56	4.00	4.36	4.55	4.60	4.50	4.39	5.00	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.91	4.64	4.79
MCS	1224	Camiener, Alan	23	69.6%	3.81	4.00	4.19	4.00	3.50	3.56	3.83	3.69	3.69	4.00	3.94	4.00	3.69	3.83
MCS	1224	Rosen, Michael	12	75.0%	4.00	4.11	4.11	4.43	3.89	4.00	4.09	4.56	3.56	4.22	4.44	4.44	4.22	4.24
MCS	1414	Bukaita, Wisam	17	76.5%	4.23	4.15	4.15	4.31	4.08	4.08	4.17	3.77	3.77	4.08	4.00	3.54	3.50	3.78
MCS	1414	Alyass, Kussiy	28	67.9%	3.47	3.53	3.78	3.56	3.22	3.44	3.53	3.89	2.68	3.24	3.26	2.53	2.89	3.09
MCS	1414	Cartwright, Christopher	52	63.5%	4.66	4.33	4.59	4.68	4.48	4.56	4.53	4.67	4.33	4.23	4.58	4.63	4.42	4.48
MCS	1414	Zendeli, Bashkim	30	50.0%	4.27	4.00	4.36	4.33	4.00	4.07	4.16	4.53	3.73	4.07	4.27	4.33	4.27	4.20
MCS	1424	Arlinghaus, Frank	32	59.4%	4.11	4.06	4.20	4.44	4.22	4.21	4.18	4.61	3.89	4.18	4.22	3.94	3.83	4.11
MCS	1424	Bauer, Glen	33	78.8%	4.56	4.50	4.68	4.58	4.67	4.68	4.60	4.96	4.85	4.96	4.77	4.88	4.96	4.90
MCS	1424	Merscher, Michael	32	90.6%	4.76	4.72	4.72	4.62	4.62	4.72	4.70	4.93	4.76	4.43	4.55	4.62	4.71	4.67
MCS	1514	Azar, Ghassan	19	47.4%	3.75	3.75	3.88	3.78	3.25	3.63	3.70	4.00	3.63	3.88	3.88	4.00	3.75	3.85
MCS	1514	Shaba, Amal	14	92.9%	4.31	4.08	4.38	4.00	3.92	4.08	4.12	4.31	4.38	4.31	3.85	4.38	4.08	4.22
MCS	1524	Camiener, Alan	6	66.7%	2.75	3.00	4.50	4.33	3.25	2.50	3.33	3.25	3.25	4.25	4.50	3.75	3.50	3.75
MCS	1643	Bindschadler, David	20	85.0%	3.18	3.59	3.82	2.94	3.29	3.25	3.34	3.41	3.00	3.81	3.44	4.29	3.44	3.55
MCS	1643	Mills, Hans	12	75.0%	4.33	4.44	4.63	4.22	4.11	4.33	4.34	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.56	4.22	4.35
MCS	2113	Lowry, Pamela	18	77.8%	4.14	4.07	4.14	4.07	4.14	4.00	4.10	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.21	4.23
MCS	2193	Bindschadler, David	3	100.0%	3.00	3.33	4.00	4.00	2.67	3.33	3.39	3.33	3.33	4.00	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.70
MCS	2313	Abro, Sabah	10	70.0%	5.00	5.00	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.98	5.00	5.00	4.43	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.88
MCS	2414	Olivier, Philip	27	59.3%	2.25	2.88	2.69	2.00	2.63	2.13	2.43	2.31	2.13	3.13	2.81	3.33	2.25	2.63
MCS	2414	Alyass, Kussiy	32	71.9%	3.64	3.78	4.00	3.96	3.26	3.24	3.66	3.86	3.18	3.29	3.86	2.57	3.00	3.30
MCS	2414	Merscher, Michael	30	96.7%	4.62	4.52	4.82	4.38	4.62	4.68	4.60	4.93	4.76	4.48	4.52	4.69	4.83	4.70
MCS	2414	Zhu, Guang-Chong	28	100.0%	4.56	4.43	4.63	4.30	4.38	4.38	4.42	4.82	4.57	4.64	4.59	4.89	4.65	4.69
MCS	2423	Arlinghaus, Frank	31	67.7%	4.35	4.19	4.43	4.40	4.29	4.24	4.33	4.75	4.19	4.38	4.10	4.25	4.26	4.33
MCS	2423	Liddy, Richard	22	100.0%	4.18	3.75	4.30	4.27	3.47	3.86	3.98	3.75	3.62	4.40	4.43	4.24	3.76	4.03

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate		The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
				Rate															
MCS	2423	Nelson, Patrick	20	90.0%	4.61	4.50	4.44	4.33	4.50	4.44	4.47	5.00	4.69	4.67	4.39	4.89	4.67	4.71	
MCS	2514	Wang, Yin	33	66.7%	3.67	3.50	3.91	3.76	3.77	3.76	3.73	4.52	3.36	3.36	3.86	3.86	3.76	3.78	
MCS	2523	Vaynberg, Yelena	26	88.5%	4.10	3.77	4.20	4.18	3.77	3.95	4.00	4.09	3.91	4.41	4.13	4.45	3.91	4.13	
MCS	2523	Zendeli, Bashkim	6	50.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.61	
MCS	2534	Fawcett, David	21	61.9%	4.00	4.00	4.31	3.92	3.15	3.92	3.88	4.38	3.92	4.15	4.08	4.00	4.08	4.10	
MCS	3324	Cally, John	4	100.0%	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.29	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.65	
MCS	3403	Khoury, Michael	21	71.4%	4.00	3.87	4.27	4.27	4.20	3.60	4.03	4.80	4.07	4.33	4.27	4.60	4.07	4.36	
MCS	3403	Makar-Limanov, Olga	10	80.0%	3.38	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.29	3.00	3.43	3.50	2.88	3.50	3.38	3.25	2.63	3.19	
MCS	3403	Turfe, Atallah	26	84.6%	3.55	3.71	3.52	3.60	3.57	3.19	3.55	4.05	3.38	3.33	3.48	3.43	3.33	3.50	
MCS	3413	Merschler, Michael	9	100.0%	4.89	4.67	4.88	4.63	4.38	4.75	4.69	4.89	4.89	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.75	4.69	
MCS	3543	Alnaji, Amina	14	78.6%	3.91	4.00	4.55	4.18	4.20	4.55	4.24	4.36	3.90	4.09	4.27	4.55	4.27	4.22	
MCS	3563	Engalan, Joe	24	79.2%	4.16	4.26	4.42	3.42	3.89	3.95	4.01	4.84	4.47	4.33	3.47	4.32	4.00	4.22	
MCS	3633	Farrehi, Khashayar	17	88.2%	3.80	3.60	4.07	3.93	3.53	3.93	3.80	4.00	3.80	4.20	4.29	4.20	4.13	4.09	
MCS	3663	Baroody, Rami	9	88.9%	3.75	3.38	4.00	3.88	4.00	4.13	3.85	3.75	3.63	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.63	3.69	
MCS	3663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	10	60.0%	2.50	2.67	2.17	2.67	2.67	2.17	2.47	2.60	2.17	3.00	3.00	2.33	2.50	2.62	
MCS	3673	Haddad, Kamel	12	83.3%	4.10	3.40	4.30	4.22	3.56	3.70	3.87	4.50	3.75	4.38	4.29	4.13	4.00	4.17	
MCS	3683	Brown, David	4	75.0%	3.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	
MCS	3733	Cartwright, Christopher	7	85.7%	4.17	4.00	4.20	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.14	3.50	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.08	
MCS	3863	Turfe, Atallah	15	86.7%	4.38	4.31	4.42	4.62	4.42	3.92	4.37	4.38	4.31	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.45	
MCS	3863	Zhu, Guang-Chong	19	94.7%	4.72	4.56	4.44	4.59	4.33	4.50	4.53	4.94	4.65	4.82	4.76	4.94	4.76	4.81	
MCS	4613	Brown, David	15	86.7%	4.58	4.62	4.62	4.77	4.31	4.54	4.57	4.62	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.38	4.54	4.59	
MCS	4633	Ostrowski, David	6	100.0%	3.67	4.00	4.17	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.94	4.83	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.17	4.36	
MCS	4643	El-Bathy, Mohammed	10	40.0%	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	
MCS	4653	Farrehi, Khashayar	16	62.5%	3.60	3.60	4.10	3.90	3.80	3.60	3.77	4.11	3.70	4.30	4.22	4.00	3.80	3.95	
MCS	4663	Farrehi, Khashayar	18	66.7%	3.75	4.00	4.33	4.08	3.33	3.67	3.86	4.00	3.83	4.17	4.33	4.25	4.17	4.13	
MCS	4813	Zhu, Guang-Chong	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.44	5.00	4.67	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67	
MCS	4833	Shamir, Lior	17	88.2%	4.36	3.75	4.55	3.93	4.21	4.47	4.17	4.07	4.47	4.40	4.43	4.67	4.47	4.42	
MCS	4983	Rosen, Michael	1	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MCS	4993	Nelson, Patrick	1	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MCS	4993	Wang, Yin	2	100.0%	3.50	4.00	4.50	3.00	4.50	4.50	4.02	3.50	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.35	
MCS	4993	Dillard, Terrance	3	66.7%	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.08	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	3.92	
MCS	5403	Chung, Chan-Jin	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MCS	5403	Ruszala, Jonathan	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MCS	5813	Fawcett, David	5	40.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	3.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.58	4.58	
MCS	5993	Dillard, Terrance	3	100.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.44	
MCS	6123	Roberts, Michael	7	85.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MCS	6323	Al Hamando, Mazin	5	100.0%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	
MCS	6623	Ostrowski, David	5	100.0%	3.40	3.00	3.60	4.25	3.60	3.40	3.51	4.60	3.40	4.20	4.00	4.20	3.80	4.03	
MCS	6723	El-Bathy, Mohammed	5	40.0%	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	
MCS	7013	Shamir, Lior	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MCS	7033	Shamir, Lior	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MCS	7993	Shamir, Lior	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MET	6213	Lowry, Pamela	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.39	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.89	
MET	6243	Lowry, Pamela	8	12.5%	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MGT	1213	Kohnke, Anne	21	52.4%	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.64	4.82	4.76	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	
MGT	2113	Jolly, James	20	70.0%	4.79	4.79	4.86	4.43	4.79	4.86	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.93	4.86	4.92	
MGT	2113	Raghavan, Padmini	19	73.7%	4.29	4.36	4.43	4.21	4.43	4.43	4.36	4.64	4.43	4.36	4.36	4.57	4.50	4.48	
MGT	2203	Cole, Matthew	22	50.0%	4.82	4.55	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.53	
MGT	2203	Shuayto, Nadia	21	47.6%	4.90	4.90	4.60	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.82	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
MGT	3103	Luttrell, Ernest	19	57.9%	4.27	4.64	4.64	4.36	4.27	4.55	4.45	4.73	4.45	4.64	4.64	4.45	4.36	4.55	
MGT	3113	Raghavan, Srikant	19	78.9%	4.53	4.20	4.53	4.53	4.20	4.47	4.41	4.67	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.56	

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MGT	5013	Cole, Matthew	15	46.7%	3.43	4.00	4.29	3.00	3.86	3.43	3.67	4.00	3.29	2.86	2.43	3.43	2.50	3.09
MGT	5033	Lindman, Gerald	20	45.0%	4.11	4.22	4.22	3.50	3.89	4.22	4.02	4.22	3.78	4.11	4.25	4.22	4.11	4.08
MGT	6013	Castelli, Patricia	17	76.5%	4.77	4.77	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.77	4.81	4.85	4.77	4.92	4.77	4.77	4.85	4.82
MGT	6013	Gregorich, David	20	40.0%	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.60	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.67
MGT	6033	Rapplee, Richard	16	43.8%	4.29	4.29	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.21	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	3.86	4.29	4.24
MGT	6043	Greenfield, Glenn	23	65.2%	4.67	4.53	4.87	4.53	4.60	4.73	4.66	4.93	4.80	5.00	4.87	5.00	5.00	4.93
MGT	6053	Marx, Thomas	14	35.7%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.77	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
MGT	6063	Stavros, Jacqueline	19	63.2%	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.64	4.67	4.67	4.67
MGT	6063	Thibideau, Michael	8	37.5%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.72	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
MGT	6073	Lindman, Gerald	7	14.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00
MGT	6153	Allen, Victor	37	43.2%	4.31	4.00	4.50	4.38	4.06	4.06	4.22	4.63	4.44	4.50	4.31	4.56	4.50	4.49
MGT	6153	Paryani, Kioumars	16	56.3%	4.22	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.11	4.50	4.44	4.42
MGT	6163	Chapman, Mark	9	88.9%	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.38	4.27	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.60
MGT	6223	Taleb, Hassan	21	81.0%	4.88	4.65	4.88	5.00	4.94	4.94	4.88	4.94	5.00	4.94	4.94	5.00	5.00	4.97
MGT	8013	Castelli, Patricia	10	60.0%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.80	4.83	4.67	4.64	4.67	4.17	4.83	3.83	4.83	4.67	4.50
MGT	8073	Stavros, Jacqueline	5	80.0%	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.88	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MGT	8083	Bush, Richard	5	60.0%	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.72	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
MGT	8093	Kohnke, Anne	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
MIS	5023	Chang, Peter	12	50.0%	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.58	4.83	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.00	4.39
MIS	6013	Hoffner, Vernon	23	43.5%	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.70	3.70	3.60	3.80	3.90	3.80	4.10	3.60	4.00	3.80	3.87
MIS	6013	Evans Mach, Patrick	6	50.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MIS	6013	Steenkamp, Annette	21	52.4%	4.18	4.45	4.45	3.64	4.18	4.27	4.20	4.50	3.80	4.27	4.10	4.18	4.18	4.17
MIS	6113	Chang, Peter	19	63.2%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.03	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.89
MIS	6123	Hoffner, Vernon	16	50.0%	2.75	2.88	2.75	2.75	3.00	2.75	2.81	3.13	3.00	2.88	2.75	2.88	3.13	2.96
MIS	6143	Evans Mach, Patrick	17	58.8%	3.90	4.30	4.20	4.30	3.80	3.80	4.05	4.20	3.80	4.10	4.30	3.80	3.80	4.00
MIS	7243	Chang, Peter	10	60.0%	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	3.83	4.03	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.33	3.83	4.17	4.17
MIS	7593	Allen, Victor	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
MIS	7643	Hoffner, Vernon	7	14.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MKT	3013	Shuayto, Nadia	20	60.0%	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.54	4.42	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.57
MKT	6013	Pogue, Laura	16	56.3%	3.67	4.11	4.33	3.67	4.22	4.33	4.06	4.33	3.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.89	3.93
MKT	6013	Shuayto, Nadia	13	76.9%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.50	5.00	4.90	4.85
MKT	6013	Gregorich, David	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.89
MSE	5183	Mynderse, James	10	60.0%	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.67	4.54	4.83	4.17	4.80	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.54
MSE	6153	Yu, Jingsheng	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17
OPM	6033	Eren, Serhat	22	81.8%	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.50	4.39	4.33	4.48	4.35	4.44	4.39	4.41	4.28	4.28	4.32
OPM	6033	Raghavan, Srikant	16	62.5%	3.80	3.80	4.30	4.30	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.60	3.80	4.70	4.30	4.60	3.90	4.32
PHY	1083	Lewis, Marcus	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.72	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.83
PHY	1101	Lewis, Marcus	5	100.0%	3.75	4.00	3.75	4.20	3.50	3.60	3.73	4.00	3.60	3.80	4.40	4.00	3.80	3.93
PHY	1154	Bozin, Keith	14	78.6%	4.64	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.45	4.91	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.79
PHY	1154	Murphy, Timothy	19	89.5%	4.31	4.24	4.38	3.94	4.31	4.13	4.21	4.29	4.06	3.94	3.88	4.50	3.88	4.07
PHY	2213	Rands, Marilyn	26	61.5%	4.63	4.56	4.63	4.79	4.00	4.50	4.52	4.69	4.44	4.87	4.75	4.75	4.56	4.66
PHY	2213	Schneider, Scott	15	80.0%	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.00	4.09	4.40	4.25	4.73	4.55	4.82	4.55	4.82	4.73	4.70
PHY	2221	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	3.67	4.67	4.48	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.72
PHY	2221	Lewis, Marcus	4	100.0%	3.75	3.50	3.75	4.33	3.75	4.25	3.88	3.75	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.04
PHY	2221	Murphy, Timothy	1	100.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.17
PHY	2221	Rands, Marilyn	6	66.7%	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.75	4.39	4.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.54
PHY	2221	Tobos, Valentina	14	64.3%	4.56	4.44	4.22	4.56	4.22	4.33	4.39	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.48
PHY	2221	Woodworth, James	4	100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.54	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.67	4.83

Fall 2013 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate		The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
				Rate															
PHY	2223	Raman, Jyothi	15	100.0%	4.27	4.07	4.21	4.40	3.80	4.13	4.15	4.67	4.27	4.07	4.33	4.21	4.20	4.30	
PHY	2223	Roessler, David	10	60.0%	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.36	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.78	
PHY	2231	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	20	85.0%	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.19	4.25	4.06	4.09	4.44	3.94	4.47	4.29	4.41	4.47	4.34	
PHY	2231	Lewis, Marcus	6	83.3%	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.33	
PHY	2413	Tobos, Valentina	24	70.8%	4.59	4.41	4.29	4.47	4.35	4.24	4.39	4.71	4.53	4.63	4.63	4.53	4.59	4.60	
PHY	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	29	75.9%	3.59	3.27	4.10	3.38	4.05	3.82	3.69	4.23	3.45	3.38	3.50	4.00	3.64	3.69	
PHY	2413	Schneider, Scott	14	92.9%	4.69	4.62	4.67	4.85	4.54	4.62	4.67	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.85	4.92	4.83	4.76	
PHY	2413	Zhou, Changgong	52	80.8%	4.02	3.80	3.56	3.67	4.00	3.57	3.77	4.55	3.52	4.05	3.67	4.24	3.67	3.95	
PHY	2421	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	10	90.0%	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.13	4.43	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.25	4.63	4.52	
PHY	2421	Lewis, Marcus	14	100.0%	4.43	4.23	4.29	4.46	4.21	4.43	4.28	4.21	4.29	4.29	4.21	4.43	4.21	4.27	
PHY	2421	Madden, William	16	100.0%	4.50	4.07	4.38	4.56	4.69	4.44	4.45	4.25	4.31	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.41	
PHY	2421	Murphy, Timothy	18	83.3%	4.13	4.20	4.07	3.60	4.13	3.93	4.01	4.20	3.80	4.33	3.71	4.36	4.13	4.09	
PHY	2421	Rands, Marilyn	14	100.0%	4.29	4.14	4.08	4.31	4.14	4.23	4.20	3.57	3.93	4.29	4.07	3.93	3.79	3.93	
PHY	2421	Tobos, Valentina	25	92.0%	4.57	4.50	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.50	4.50	4.57	4.52	4.57	4.65	4.61	4.61	4.59	
PHY	2421	Woodworth, James	13	92.3%	4.73	4.42	4.42	4.36	4.50	4.67	4.51	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.71	
PHY	2423	Tobos, Valentina	16	81.3%	4.54	4.46	4.17	4.38	4.00	4.38	4.32	4.15	4.38	4.69	4.23	4.54	4.46	4.41	
PHY	2423	Roessler, David	23	69.6%	4.60	3.93	4.07	4.77	4.67	4.64	4.42	4.88	4.69	4.75	4.88	4.94	4.81	4.82	
PHY	2431	Lewis, Marcus	39	71.8%	4.39	4.30	4.21	4.32	4.39	4.33	4.32	4.36	4.14	4.33	4.43	4.54	4.21	4.33	
PHY	3653	Schneider, Scott	6	83.3%	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
PHY	6123	Rands, Marilyn	4	75.0%	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.44	
PSY	1213	Delogu, Franco	15	86.7%	4.42	4.62	4.23	4.38	4.17	4.46	4.40	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.38	4.69	4.77	4.65	
PSY	1213	Watson, Donna	14	64.3%	4.11	4.25	4.11	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.12	4.56	4.22	4.50	4.11	4.33	4.33	4.33	
PSY	1213	Anthony, Bart	10	30.0%	5.00	4.00	4.67	3.67	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.39	
PSY	2113	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	9	77.8%	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.90	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.95	
PSY	2613	Munevar, Gonzalo	6	100.0%	3.33	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.75	4.20	3.67	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.20	4.07	
PSY	3223	Delogu, Franco	7	71.4%	4.20	4.20	4.80	3.40	4.80	3.60	4.17	4.60	3.50	4.20	3.40	5.00	4.00	4.13	
PSY	3313	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	19	73.7%	3.79	3.79	4.00	4.14	4.07	3.86	3.94	4.08	3.64	3.85	4.07	3.46	3.57	3.75	
PSY	3413	Munevar, Gonzalo	14	85.7%	4.33	4.58	4.58	3.83	4.42	4.58	4.39	4.92	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.54	
PSY	3623	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	17	58.8%	5.00	4.90	4.90	5.00	4.30	4.80	4.82	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
PSY	4113	Delogu, Franco	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
PSY	4513	Munevar, Gonzalo	1	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
RES	7013	Steenkamp, Annette	10	70.0%	3.43	4.00	3.86	4.00	3.71	3.86	3.81	4.57	4.00	4.57	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.29	
RES	7043	Raghavan, Srikant	6	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.39	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61	
SPN	3833	Anderson, Elizabeth	6	100.0%	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
SPN	4833	Anderson, Elizabeth	1	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
SSC	2303	Dengate, Richard	71	85.9%	4.07	3.78	3.71	3.93	3.98	3.83	3.89	4.49	3.61	3.88	3.92	4.36	3.97	4.04	
SSC	2303	Kler, Marlene	25	80.0%	4.20	4.10	4.10	4.35	3.70	4.10	4.09	4.25	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.25	4.20	4.28	
SSC	2303	Reed, Jason	24	91.7%	4.24	4.41	4.30	4.05	4.23	4.05	4.19	4.62	4.23	4.64	4.27	4.59	4.50	4.47	
SSC	2413	Mansour, Malinda	18	88.9%	4.56	4.56	4.57	4.31	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.81	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.75	4.56	4.61	
SSC	2413	Stern, Marvin	36	88.9%	3.65	3.97	3.94	3.42	3.90	3.63	3.72	4.34	3.69	3.90	3.73	4.58	3.91	3.99	
SSC	2413	Vogt, Philip	27	81.5%	3.79	3.95	3.91	2.90	3.95	3.52	3.68	4.68	3.95	3.58	3.10	4.10	3.45	3.80	
SSC	2413	Wirth, Daniel	15	80.0%	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.09	4.08	4.25	4.21	4.67	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.58	4.58	4.47	
SSC	2423	Barrett, Jason	33	69.7%	4.43	4.65	4.57	4.52	4.55	4.57	4.54	4.90	4.81	4.57	4.57	4.81	4.76	4.74	
SSC	2423	Mansour, Malinda	25	92.0%	4.55	4.41	4.36	4.57	4.43	4.39	4.44	4.78	4.64	4.65	4.59	4.65	4.70	4.66	
SSC	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	31	90.3%	4.32	4.48	4.15	4.04	4.20	4.42	4.26	4.77	4.37	4.59	4.71	4.77	4.83	4.65	
SSC	2423	Stern, Marvin	36	83.3%	3.77	3.93	4.10	3.56	4.03	3.83	3.87	4.23	3.83	4.17	3.80	4.66	4.00	4.11	
SSC	3143	Vogt, Philip	6	83.3%	4.20	4.40	4.40	3.60	4.00	4.40	4.17	4.60	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.80	4.40	4.33	
SSC	3383	Kler, Marlene	9	77.8%	4.14	4.14	4.29	3.86	3.43	3.71	3.93	4.71	4.00	4.43	4.00	4.29	4.14	4.26	
SSC	4143	Barrett, Jason	19	89.5%	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.81	4.88	4.74	4.88	4.88	4.80	4.81	4.93	4.87	4.85	



Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
SSC	4723	Powell, Jeffrey	19	84.2%	4.50	4.63	4.33	4.44	4.25	4.56	4.46	4.94	4.81	4.69	4.56	5.00	4.88	4.81
SSC	6013	Shamir, Mirit	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.71	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.54
TAS	1013	Nelson, Robert	8	62.5%	4.40	4.20	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.27	4.80	4.20	4.40	4.40	5.00	4.80	4.60
TAS	2033	Blau, Ben	8	75.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.47	4.67	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.31
TAS	2053	Blau, Ben	6	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	3.75	4.25	4.29	4.25	4.25	3.75	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.04
TAS	3053	Blau, Ben	8	100.0%	3.75	4.14	3.63	3.13	3.75	3.75	3.65	4.63	3.86	3.63	3.43	4.25	3.88	3.97
TAS	4133	Giese, Dieter	5	100.0%	3.60	3.40	4.00	3.40	3.20	3.80	3.57	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.57
TCE	2073	Lamus, Christopher	13	61.5%	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.54	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.73
TCE	2143	Toro, Keith	12	58.3%	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.21	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.38
TCE	4113	Hammond, Jason	14	57.1%	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.38	4.75	4.63	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.77
TEE	2033	Power, Joseph	5	100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.23	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60
TEE	2093	Gaur, Deepali	4	100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.13	4.00	3.75	4.33	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.16
TEE	3103	Walker, Lewis	10	60.0%	1.50	2.17	1.67	2.00	1.83	1.33	1.75	4.00	1.83	2.20	1.60	2.50	1.50	2.35
TEE	4224	Gaur, Deepali	7	71.4%	3.20	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.20	3.53	4.40	3.20	3.40	4.00	4.20	3.40	3.77
TIE	3203	Shamamy, Patricia	11	72.7%	4.38	4.38	4.75	4.38	3.88	4.00	4.29	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.25	4.50	4.52
TIE	4115	Cook, Kenneth	11	81.8%	4.11	4.33	4.22	3.89	4.56	4.33	4.24	5.00	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.89	4.69
TIE	4115	Swain, James	5	80.0%	3.25	4.00	3.75	3.50	4.25	2.75	3.58	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.25	4.25	4.25
TIE	4913	Carnovale, Frank	4	100.0%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.75	5.00	4.58	5.00	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.58
TIE	4913	Hammond, Jason	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TIE	4913	Kelso, Anthony	5	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TME	1023	Cuper, Jerry	14	64.3%	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.85	5.00	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.85
TME	3113	Shamamy, Patricia	15	80.0%	4.67	4.50	4.75	4.67	4.42	4.50	4.58	4.75	4.58	4.75	4.75	4.58	4.58	4.67
TME	3113	Cook, Steven	5	60.0%	3.67	2.50	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.67	3.72	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33
TME	3333	Abro, Sabah	20	90.0%	4.78	4.61	4.78	4.61	4.61	4.61	4.67	4.89	4.94	4.94	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.89
TME	4103	Shamamy, Patricia	8	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.56	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69
TME	4413	Shamamy, Patricia	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>10,906</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>4.19</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>4.13</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>4.11</b>	<b>4.16</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>4.18</b>	<b>4.34</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>4.41</b>	<b>4.28</b>	<b>4.32</b>